

# Knowledge and Attitudes Towards Basic Life Support Among Health Students at a Saudi Women's University

Maha A. Al-Mohaisen

## المعرفة والنظرة تجاه الإنعاش الحيوي الأساسي بين طالبات الكليات الصحية في جامعة نسائية سعودية

مها عبدالرحمن المحيسن

**ABSTRACT: Objectives:** Awareness of basic life support (BLS) is paramount to ensure the provision of essential life-saving medical care in emergency situations. This study aimed to measure knowledge of BLS and attitudes towards BLS training among female health students at a women's university in Saudi Arabia. **Methods:** This prospective cross-sectional study took place between January and April 2016 at five health colleges of the Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. All 2,955 students attending the health colleges were invited to participate in the study. Participants were subsequently asked to complete a validated English-language questionnaire which included 21 items assessing knowledge of BLS and six items gauging attitudes to BLS. **Results:** A total of 1,349 students completed the questionnaire (response rate: 45.7%). The mean overall knowledge score was very low ( $32.7 \pm 13.9$ ) and 87.9% of the participants had very poor knowledge scores. A total of 32.5% of the participants had never received any BLS training. Students who had previously received BLS training had significantly higher knowledge scores ( $P < 0.001$ ), although their knowledge scores remained poor. Overall, 77.0% indicated a desire to receive additional BLS training and 78.5% supported mandatory BLS training. **Conclusion:** Overall knowledge about BLS among the students was very poor; however, attitudes towards BLS training were positive. These findings call for an improvement in BLS education among Saudi female health students so as to ensure appropriate responses in cardiac arrest or other emergency situations.

**Keywords:** Basic Cardiac Life Support; Health Occupations Students; Medical Education; Knowledge; Attitudes; Saudi Arabia.

**المخلص:** الهدف: التوعية بالإنعاش الحيوي الأساسي أمر بالغ الأهمية لضمان توفير الرعاية الطبية الأساسية المنقذة للحياة في حالات الطوارئ. هدفت هذه الدراسة إلى قياس مدى المعرفة والنظرة إلى التدريب على الإنعاش الحيوي الأساسي بين طالبات الكليات الصحية في جامعة للبنات في المملكة العربية السعودية. **الطريقة:** هذه دراسة مستقبلية مستعرضة أجريت في الفترة ما بين يناير وأبريل 2016 في خمس كليات صحية في جامعة الأميرة نورة بنت عبد الرحمن، الرياض، المملكة العربية السعودية. دعيت جميع طالبات الكليات الصحية 2,955 طالبة للمشاركة في الدراسة. طلب من المشاركات استكمال استبيانات باللغة الانجليزية تضمنت 21 بنداً تهدف إلى تقييم المعرفة بالإنعاش الحيوي الأساسي وستة بنود تقيس نظرة الطالبات تجاه الإنعاش الحيوي الأساسي. **النتائج:** أكمل الاستبيان 1,349 طالبة (معدل الاستجابة: 45.7%). كانت نتيجة متوسط المعرفة الشاملة منخفضة جداً ( $32.7 \pm 13.9$ ) وكانت نتيجة المعرفة سيئة للغاية عند 87.9% من المشاركات. كما أن 32.5% من المشاركات لم يتلقون أي تدريب على الإنعاش الحيوي الأساسي. كان مدى المعرفة أفضل لدى الطالبات اللاتي تلقين التدريب على الإنعاش الحيوي الأساسي سابقاً ( $P < 0.001$ )، على الرغم من أن مستوى معرفتهن ظل سيئاً للغاية. وعموماً، أبدت 77.0% من المشاركات رغبتهن في تلقي تدريب إضافي على الإنعاش الحيوي الأساسي بينما أيد 78.5% منهن جعل التدريب إلزامياً. **الخلاصة:** كانت المعرفة الشاملة حول الإنعاش الحيوي الأساسي بين الطالبات سيئة للغاية. ومع ذلك، كانت النظرة إلى التدريب عالية إيجابية. تدعو هذه النتائج للتوسع في تعليم الإنعاش الحيوي الأساسي لطالبات الكليات الصحية السعوديات وذلك لضمان الاستجابة المناسبة لهن في حالات السكتة القلبية أو غيرها من حالات الطوارئ.

**الكلمات المفتاحية:** الإنعاش القلبي الحيوي الأساسي؛ طلاب المهن الصحية؛ التعليم الطبي؛ المعرفة؛ التوجه؛ المملكة العربية السعودية.

### ADVANCES IN KNOWLEDGE

- To the best of the author's knowledge, this is the largest study to investigate knowledge and attitudes towards basic life support (BLS) among women in Saudi Arabia.
- The findings of this study revealed that the majority of Saudi female health students had positive attitudes towards BLS but poor BLS knowledge.

**APPLICATION TO PATIENT CARE**

- *This study emphasises the importance of improving current BLS education programmes among health students at a Saudi women's university, particularly as this population is likely to be actively involved in patient care in the future and may need to demonstrate appropriate BLS skills in emergency situations.*

**A**DEQUATE AWARENESS OF BASIC LIFE SUPPORT (BLS) and cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) is an important global issue to ensure that individuals can provide necessary life-saving care in emergency situations.<sup>1-3</sup> In Saudi Arabia, there is a lack of data regarding awareness and attitudes towards BLS; however, current evidence suggests that individuals in Saudi Arabia have low levels of BLS knowledge, but positive attitudes towards BLS training.<sup>4-8</sup> Although several studies have highlighted this important issue, they are subject to several limitations, including small sample sizes, a lack of validated tools to assess BLS knowledge, infrequent exploration of attitudes towards BLS training and imprecise definitions of factors associated with low BLS knowledge levels.<sup>5-7</sup> Furthermore, there is currently no detailed information regarding BLS knowledge and attitudes among women in Saudi Arabia, as either gender was not specified or female participants were underrepresented in previously published studies.<sup>5-7</sup> Female health students represent a primary target for BLS education in the community.<sup>9-12</sup> This study therefore aimed to evaluate knowledge and attitudes towards BLS among Saudi female health students at the Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University (PNU) in Riyadh, the largest female-only university in Saudi Arabia. In particular, BLS knowledge was compared according to college and year of study and the effects of prior BLS training on BLS knowledge and attitudes towards training was investigated.

## Methods

This prospective, cross-sectional study took place between January and April 2016 and involved all 2,955 students attending the five health colleges (Medicine, Dentistry, Nursing, Pharmacy and Health & Rehabilitation Sciences) at PNU. These colleges share a common preparatory year known as the basic health sciences year. Previous research has indicated average BLS knowledge scores of 38–45% among allied health medical students; as such, the minimum required sample size was calculated to be 614 using a test value of 45% with a 5% margin of error, 95% confidence level ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ), beta value of 0.20 and 80% power.<sup>6</sup>

Previously validated questionnaires to assess knowledge of CPR and BLS were updated according to recent American Heart Association guidelines,

where appropriate.<sup>9,13,14</sup> Attitudes towards BLS were evaluated using another previously validated questionnaire.<sup>3</sup> As such, the final self-administered questionnaire contained 27 questions assessing BLS knowledge and skills (21 multiple choice questions) and attitudes towards BLS (six multiple choice questions). As the majority of courses at PNU are taught in English, the questionnaire was distributed in its original English-language format. The final questionnaire was pilot-tested among a group of 30 female health students which resulted in an overall Cronbach's alpha value of 0.81 (0.76 for the knowledge section and 0.74 for the attitudes section). No changes were made to the questionnaire as a result of the pilot study. Subsequently, the questionnaire was distributed to all female health students at PNU by two research coordinators during class at the end of scheduled lectures. The importance of the study for improving BLS education at PNU was explained verbally during distribution of the questionnaire. The participants who had previously taken part in the pilot study were subsequently included in the main study.

Data were analysed using the Statistical Analysis System software, Version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina, USA). Categorical variables were reported as numbers and percentages while continuous variables were expressed as means and standard deviations. Responses to knowledge questions were analysed according to an answer key developed from the original questionnaires; subsequently, the percentage of accurate responses for each multiple choice question was calculated.<sup>9,13,14</sup> The overall knowledge score for the entire sample was expressed as the percentage of correct answers out of all 21 knowledge questions. Accordingly, knowledge levels were classified as excellent (90–100%), very good (80–89%), good (70–79%), acceptable (60–69%), poor (50–59%) or very poor (<50%). Associations were calculated using analysis of variance, Fisher's exact, Chi-squared or Tukey's multiple comparison tests, as appropriate. A *P* value of  $\leq 0.050$  was considered statistically significant.

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of PNU before data collection (IRB #08121504). The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All of the participants gave informed verbal consent and were assured that completion of the questionnaire was voluntary and anonymous.

**Table 1:** Characteristics of health students attending a women's university in Saudi Arabia (N = 1,349)

Characteristic	n (%)
<b>Year of study</b>	
Basic health sciences*	362 (26.8)
1 <sup>st</sup> year	237 (17.6)
2 <sup>nd</sup> year	242 (17.9)
3 <sup>rd</sup> year	260 (19.3)
4 <sup>th</sup> year	197 (14.6)
5 <sup>th</sup> year	51 (3.8)
<b>College</b>	
Medicine	231 (17.1)
Dentistry	129 (9.6)
Nursing	159 (11.8)
Pharmacy	208 (15.4)
Health & Rehabilitation Sciences	260 (19.3)

\*A common preparatory year for students in all health colleges.

## Results

A total of 1,349 students returned completed questionnaires (response rate: 45.7%). The response rates from the individual colleges were 56.4%, 83.4%, 83.2%, 40.1%, 34.3% and 29.6% for the Basic Health Sciences, Medicine, Dentistry, Nursing, Pharmacy and Health & Rehabilitation Sciences colleges, respectively. The mean age was  $20.2 \pm 1.5$  years. The distribution of the participants according to college and year of study is shown in Table 1. Overall, the mean knowledge score for the entire cohort was very low ( $32.7 \pm 13.9$ ; 95% confidence interval: 32.0–33.4) and the majority of participants (87.9%) demonstrated very low BLS knowledge levels. When analysed by year of study, basic health sciences students had a mean knowledge score of  $27.1 \pm 13.2$ , which was significantly lower than the mean scores of the first-, second-, third-, fourth- and fifth-year students ( $34.5 \pm 14.4$ ,  $38.8 \pm 12.5$ ,  $31.6 \pm 12.6$ ,  $34.2 \pm 14.6$  and  $35.6 \pm 12.4$ , respectively;  $P < 0.001$ ). Second-year students had significantly higher scores compared to students in other years ( $P < 0.010$ ), with the exception

**Table 2:** Basic life support knowledge levels and scores according to college and year of study among health students attending a women's university in Saudi Arabia (N = 1,349)

	Mean total score $\pm$ SD	P value*	Median score (IQR)	Range	Knowledge levels, n (%)				P value*
					Good	Acceptable	Poor	Very poor	
<b>College</b>									
Medicine	$34.3 \pm 14.1^{\dagger}$		33.3 (23.8–42.9)	0.0–71.4	1 (0.4)	9 (3.9)	19 (8.2)	202 (87.4)	
Dentistry	$33.6 \pm 13.0^{\dagger}$		33.3 (23.8–38.1)	4.8–71.4	1 (0.8)	4 (3.1)	10 (7.8)	114 (88.4)	
Nursing	$35.9 \pm 13.8^{\dagger}$	$<0.001^{\ddagger}$	33.3 (28.6–42.9)	4.8–66.7	0 (0.0)	10 (6.3)	19 (11.9)	130 (81.8)	$<0.001$
Pharmacy	$35.6 \pm 12.5^{\dagger}$		35.7 (28.6–42.9)	4.8–66.7	0 (0.0)	6 (2.9)	25 (12.0)	177 (85.1)	
HRS	$34.4 \pm 14.4^{\dagger}$		33.3 (23.8–47.6)	4.8–66.7	0 (0.0)	9 (3.5)	32 (12.3)	219 (84.2)	
<b>Year of study</b>									
BHS <sup>§</sup>	$27.1 \pm 13.2^{\dagger}$		28.6 (19.0–38.1)	0.0–66.7	0 (0.0)	3 (0.8)	15 (4.1)	344 (95.0)	
1 <sup>st</sup> year	$34.5 \pm 14.4^{\backslash\backslash}$		33.3 (23.8–42.9)	0.0–66.7	0 (0.0)	8 (3.4)	31 (13.1)	198 (83.5)	
2 <sup>nd</sup> year	$38.8 \pm 12.5$		38.1 (28.6–47.6)	4.8–61.9	0 (0.0)	10 (4.1)	40 (16.5)	192 (79.3)	
3 <sup>rd</sup> year	$31.6 \pm 12.6^{\S}$	$<0.001$	28.6 (23.8–38.1)	4.8–66.7	0 (0.0)	7 (2.7)	14 (5.4)	239 (91.9)	$<0.001$
4 <sup>th</sup> year	$34.2 \pm 14.6^{\S}$		33.3 (23.8–42.9)	4.8–71.4	2 (1.0)	12 (6.1)	14 (7.1)	168 (85.7)	
5 <sup>th</sup> year	$35.6 \pm 12.4$		33.3 (26.2–47.6)	14.3–61.9	0 (0.0)	1 (1.9)	6 (11.5)	45 (86.5)	
<b>Total</b>	$32.7 \pm 13.9$	-	<b>33.3 (23.8–42.9)</b>	<b>0.0–71.4</b>	<b>2 (0.1)</b>	<b>41 (3.0)</b>	<b>120 (8.9)</b>	<b>1,186 (87.9)</b>	-

SD = standard deviation; IQR = interquartile range; HRS = Health & Rehabilitation Sciences; BHS = basic health sciences.

\*P values are based on an analysis of variance test for continuous scores and Fisher's exact test for categorical scores. <sup>†</sup> $P = 0.491$  for comparison among the five groups. <sup>‡</sup> $P < 0.001$  for comparison between the five groups. <sup>§</sup>A common preparatory year for students in all health colleges. <sup>¶</sup> $P < 0.001$  in comparison with the other five groups individually in paired comparisons. <sup>\backslash\backslash</sup> $P < 0.010$  in comparison with second-year students. No statistically significant difference was observed between second- and fifth-year students based on Tukey's multiple comparison test ( $P = 0.626$ ).

**Table 3:** Frequency of correct responses to questionnaire items assessing basic life support knowledge among health students attending a women's university in Saudi Arabia (N = 1,349)

Correct response	n (%)
EMS stands for emergency medical services	672 (49.8)
CPR stands for cardiopulmonary resuscitation	905 (67.1)
If a 50-year-old man complains of retrosternal chest pain and nausea, contact EMS, administer aspirin and allow him to rest	513 (38.0)
If a colleague displays slurring of speech and right upper limb weakness, it could be a stroke which would require thrombolysis, so you should contact EMS	342 (25.4)
If you see a person collapse on the road, check if he is conscious, breathing and has a pulse	1,012 (75.0)
To find out if a person is unconscious, shake them and shout at them	244 (18.1)
To find a person's carotid pulse, feel their neck	743 (55.1)
After confirming that a person is unconscious, not breathing and has no pulse, you should contact EMS	162 (12.0)
The phone number for EMS is 997	969 (71.8)
The location of chest compressions in CPR is the mid-chest	659 (48.9)
The correct rate of chest compressions for adults and children is 100–120 times/minute	249 (18.5)
The correct depth of chest compressions for adults is 5–6 cm	285 (21.1)
The correct ratio of chest compressions to rescue breaths is 30:2	503 (37.3)
The correct depth of chest compressions for children and infants is at least two-thirds of the depth of the chest	60 (4.4)
The correct location for chest compressions for infants is one finger breadth below the nipple line	393 (29.1)
Rescue breathing in infants is given mouth-to-mouth and mouth-to-nose	340 (25.2)
If you do not want to give mouth-to-mouth CPR, not administering CPR is not an appropriate course of action	346 (25.6)
The chance of survival for individuals experiencing an out-of-hospital cardiac arrest increases two-fold if the patient receives sufficient BLS before the arrival of EMS personnel	90 (6.7)
If you come across an unresponsive adult who has been removed from fresh water and is breathing spontaneously, keep him in the recovery position	109 (8.1)
If someone appears to be choking, confirm foreign body aspiration by talking to them	131 (9.7)
If an infant shows symptoms of foreign body aspiration and you have confirmed that they are unable to cry/cough, perform back blows and chest compressions of five cycles each, then open the mouth and remove the foreign body only if it can be seen	536 (39.7)

EMS = emergency medical services; CPR = cardiopulmonary resuscitation; BLS = basic life support.

**Table 4:** Frequency and attitudes towards basic life support training among health students attending a women's university in Saudi Arabia (N = 1,349)

Questionnaire item	n (%)
<b>Have you had previous BLS training?</b>	
Yes, in college	293 (21.7)
Yes, outside college	154 (11.4)
Yes, both in and outside college	119 (8.8)
No	438 (32.5)
I don't know	345 (25.6)
<b>Do you want more BLS training?</b>	
Yes	1,039 (77.0)
No	81 (6.0)
I don't know	229 (17.0)
<b>If yes, why do you want more BLS training?*</b>	
A family history of heart disease	52 (5.0)
Avoiding unnecessary deaths in the community	446 (42.9)
Important for my future work	369 (35.5)
Other reasons	78 (7.5)
No answer	94 (9.0)
<b>If you have had no BLS training outside of college, what was the reason?†</b>	
Little interest	20 (1.9)
Little time	312 (29.0)
Not sure where courses are held	346 (32.2)
Cost	77 (7.2)
No answer	321 (29.8)
<b>Do you think BLS training should be mandatory and, if so, where should it be provided?</b>	
Yes, in health colleges only	100 (7.4)
Yes, in all colleges	456 (33.8)
Yes, in all workplaces regardless of occupation	503 (37.3)
No, BLS training should be optional	42 (3.1)
I don't know	248 (18.4)
<b>When do you think BLS training should first be provided?</b>	
High school	562 (41.7)
1 <sup>st</sup> year of college	318 (23.6)
3 <sup>rd</sup> year of college	115 (8.5)
Just before graduation	127 (9.4)
I don't know	227 (16.8)

BLS = basic life support.

\*Total dataset for this variable was 1,039 as the question was targeted only at those students who wanted more BLS training.

†Total dataset for this variable was 1,076 as the question was targeted only at those students who had not previously received BLS training outside of college.

**Table 5:** Basic life support knowledge levels and scores according to previous training history among female health students attending a women's university in Saudi Arabia (N = 1,349)

Previous training	Mean total score $\pm$ SD	P value*	Median score (IQR)	Range	Knowledge levels, n (%)				P value*
					Good	Acceptable	Poor	Very poor	
None	32.7 $\pm$ 13.1 <sup>†</sup>	<0.001	33.3 (23.8–38.1)	0.0–61.9	0 (0.0)	13 (3.0)	40 (9.1)	385 (87.9)	<0.001
In college	41.7 $\pm$ 13.0		42.9 (33.3–52.4)	4.8–71.4	1 (0.3)	23 (7.8)	54 (18.4)	215 (73.4)	
Outside college	32.4 $\pm$ 10.9 <sup>†</sup>		33.3 (23.8–38.1)	4.8–71.4	1 (0.6)	2 (1.3)	3 (1.9)	148 (96.1)	
Both in and outside college	33.3 $\pm$ 12.6 <sup>†</sup>		33.3 (23.8–42.9)	9.5–66.7	0 (0.0)	2 (1.7)	14 (11.8)	103 (86.6)	

SD = standard deviation; IQR = interquartile range.

\*P values are based on an analysis of variance test for continuous scores and Fisher's exact test for categorical scores. <sup>†</sup>P = 0.856 for comparison between the three groups.

of fifth-year students ( $P = 0.626$ ) [Table 2]. The frequency of correct responses to all of the knowledge questions is displayed in Table 3.

A total of 32.5% of the students had never received any BLS training. Overall, attitudes towards BLS were positive; most participants reported that they wanted more BLS training (77.0%) and supported mandatory BLS training (78.5%) [Table 4]. Students who had previously received BLS training in college had significantly greater BLS knowledge scores compared to those who had received BLS training outside college, those who had received BLS training both in and outside college and those who never

received BLS training (41.7  $\pm$  13.0 versus 32.4  $\pm$  10.9, 33.3  $\pm$  12.6 and 32.7  $\pm$  13.1, respectively;  $P < 0.001$ ). There was no significant difference in knowledge scores between those who had never received BLS training before and those who had received training outside college or both in and outside college ( $P = 0.856$ ) [Table 5].

According to their previous history of BLS training, 98.3% of students with no prior BLS training wanted further BLS training in comparison to 87.9% of the students who had prior BLS training ( $P < 0.001$ ). In addition, students without prior training also favoured earlier BLS training (i.e. training provided in high

**Table 6:** Attitudes to basic life support training according to previous training history among health students attending a women's university in Saudi Arabia (N = 1,349)

	Previous training, n (%)					P value*					
	None (n = 438)	Any previous training (n = 566)	In college (n = 293)	Outside college (n = 154)	Both in and outside college (n = 119)	P1 <sup>†</sup>	P2 <sup>‡</sup>	P3 <sup>§</sup>	P4 <sup>¶</sup>	P5 <sup>  </sup>	P6 <sup>**</sup>
<b>Do you want more BLS training?</b>											
Yes	398 (98.3)	437 (87.9)	260 (91.2)	99 (90.8)	78 (75.7)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.900	<0.001	<0.001
No	7 (1.7)	60 (12.1)	25 (8.8)	10 (9.2)	25 (24.3)						
<b>Do you think BLS training should be mandatory?</b>											
Yes	389 (97.7)	506 (94.6)	281 (97.6)	125 (89.9)	100 (92.6)	<0.001	0.885	0.008	<0.001	0.021	0.016
No	9 (2.3)	29 (5.4)	7 (2.4)	14 (10.1)	8 (7.4)						
<b>When do you think BLS training should first be provided?</b>											
High school or 1 <sup>st</sup> year of college	348 (82.5)	392 (73.1)	217 (75.6)	87 (62.1)	88 (80.7)	<0.001	0.026	0.674	0.004	0.279	<0.001
3 <sup>rd</sup> year of college or just before graduation	74 (17.5)	144 (26.9)	70 (24.4)	53 (37.9)	21 (19.3)						

BLS = basic life support.

\*P values are based on Chi-squared or Fisher's exact tests, as appropriate. <sup>†</sup>Comparison among all four groups. <sup>‡</sup>Comparison between no training and in college training. <sup>§</sup>Comparison between no training and both in and outside college training. <sup>¶</sup>Comparison between in college training and outside college training. <sup>||</sup>Comparison between in college training and both in and outside college training. <sup>\*\*</sup>Comparison between no training and any previous training.

school or first year of college) more frequently than those with any prior training ( $P < 0.001$ ). A significantly larger percentage of students without prior training supported mandatory training compared with students who had received training outside of college or both in and outside of college (97.7% versus 89.9% and 92.6%, respectively;  $P = 0.008$ ); however, they did not support mandatory training significantly more than students who had received training in college (97.7% versus 97.6%;  $P = 0.885$ ) [Table 6].

## Discussion

To the best of the author's knowledge, this is the largest study to evaluate BLS knowledge and attitudes towards training among Saudi women. Unfortunately, although the results of the study indicated that female health students had overall positive attitudes towards BLS training, the majority of the students were severely deficient in BLS knowledge. These findings are in agreement with those of previous research from Saudi Arabia, which have consistently shown poor BLS awareness but favourable attitudes towards BLS training.<sup>4,5,8</sup> In addition, the knowledge scores observed in the current study were similar to those reported among dental students in Riyadh; however, the current cohort more frequently demonstrated very poor knowledge levels in comparison to two other studies from Saudi Arabia (87.9% versus 49.6% and 67%, respectively).<sup>6-8</sup> The lower scores observed in the present sample may be due to the lack of BLS training in the PNU colleges' curricula, even though BLS education is strongly encouraged. In contrast, Alotaibi *et al.* found that female students achieved significantly higher scores than male students when comparing BLS knowledge levels by gender among Saudi dental students.<sup>8</sup> Reddy *et al.* similarly observed higher mean knowledge scores among female dental students compared to their male counterparts.<sup>15</sup> Interestingly, Alotaibi *et al.* have also shown that Saudi men are more reluctant to perform CPR on a stranger in comparison to women; however, this factor was not evaluated in the present study.<sup>8</sup>

Poor BLS knowledge scores among health students have been reported in many countries.<sup>9,16-20</sup> Perceived barriers to BLS competency—including a lack of adequate education (i.e. knowledge acquisition) and educational reinforcement (i.e. knowledge retention)—should be addressed in order to improve BLS knowledge and skills among healthcare trainees. In the current study, internal BLS training performed in college resulted in better outcomes than external training, including better knowledge scores and more favourable attitudes towards BLS. Therefore,

integrating a BLS training programme into the undergraduate curricula could be beneficial; this recommendation has been previously advocated in order to improve students' resuscitation skills.<sup>16</sup> Early exposure to BLS training in college with subsequent refresher courses for reinforcement is essential to improve BLS knowledge acquisition and retention among students.<sup>10,13,21</sup> Another proposed recommendation to improve BLS knowledge among Saudi female health students is to simplify BLS training to be more appropriate and cost-effective.<sup>13</sup> For example, poorly executed and inefficient chest compressions and rescue breaths prevent effective CPR, whereas high-quality standard CPR produces 25–33% of normal cardiac output and oxygen delivery; as such, competency in these two basic skills is vital.<sup>14,22,23</sup> In the present study, only 18.5% of the students knew the correct rate of chest compressions and 21.1% were aware of the recommended chest compression depth. This observation is alarming considering the simple, yet critical, value of chest compression skills.<sup>14</sup> Peer-led training may also serve to increase the number of female BLS educators at PNU, which could further disseminate BLS knowledge in both the university itself and the wider community.<sup>24</sup>

There are several limitations to this study. While this study measured BLS knowledge and attitudes, it did not evaluate actual BLS skills among the students. As many of the participants had not previously received BLS training and the majority had poor knowledge levels, their practical BLS skills are expected to be poor. Further research evaluating students' BLS skills in practice is required but should accompany effective BLS educational programmes. In addition, although the number of participants was large, there was a low response rate from some of the colleges. This may be because the questionnaires were manually distributed after lectures when some of the senior students and interns may have been based in teaching hospitals for their practical training. Consequently, future studies should consider distributing questionnaires by e-mail in order to reach a larger cohort.

## Conclusion

The findings from this study suggest that more BLS training is necessary among Saudi female health college students at PNU. Despite having very positive attitudes towards BLS training, many of the students had never received BLS training; moreover, very poor BLS knowledge levels were observed, even amongst the trained students. As students who had received BLS training in college had higher knowledge scores, it is advised that BLS training be incorporated into the

university curricula, preferably for first-year students and with refresher courses offered in subsequent years.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Ms Anita Choco and Ms Waad Al-Negaimshi for their invaluable help with data collection during this study.

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author declares no conflicts of interest.

#### FUNDING

No funding was received for this study.

## References

- Bhanji F, Donoghue AJ, Wolff MS, Flores GE, Halamek LP, Berman JM, et al. Part 14: Education - 2015 American Heart Association guidelines update for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care. *Circulation* 2015; 132:S561-73. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000268.
- Aroor AR, Saya RP, Attar NR, Saya GK, Ravinanthanan M. Awareness about basic life support and emergency medical services and its associated factors among students in a tertiary care hospital in South India. *J Emerg Trauma Shock* 2014; 7:166-9. doi: 10.4103/0974-2700.136857.
- Kanstad BK, Nilsen SA, Fredriksen K. CPR knowledge and attitude to performing bystander CPR among secondary school students in Norway. *Resuscitation* 2011; 82:1053-9. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2011.03.033.
- Al-Turki YA, Al-Fraih YS, Jalaly JB, Al-Maghlouth IA, Al-Rashoudi FH, Al-Otaibi AE, et al. Knowledge and attitudes towards cardiopulmonary resuscitation among university students in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Med J* 2008; 29:1306-9.
- Alanazi A, Bin-Hotan M, Alqahtani H, Alhalyabah A, Alanazi A, Al-Oraibi S. Community awareness about cardiopulmonary resuscitation among secondary school students in Riyadh. *World J Med Sci* 2013; 8:186-9. doi: 10.5829/idosi.wjms.2013.8.3.7337.
- Alanazi A, Alsalmeh M, Alsomali O, Almurshdi AM, Alabdali A, Al-Sulami M, et al. Poor basic life support awareness among medical and College of Applied Medical Sciences students necessitates the need for improvement in standards of BLS training and assessment for future health care providers. *Middle East J Sci Res* 2014; 21:848-54. doi: 10.5829/idosi.mejsr.2014.21.05.82335.
- Almesned A, Almeman A, Alakhtar AM, AlAboudi AA, Alotaibi AZ, Al-Ghasham YA, et al. Basic life support knowledge of healthcare students and professionals in the Qassim University. *Int J Health Sci (Qassim)* 2014; 8:141-50. doi: 10.12816/0006080.
- Alotaibi OA, Alamri F, Almuffeh L, Alsougi W. Basic life support: Knowledge and attitude among dental students and staff in the College of Dentistry, King Saud University. *Saudi J Dent Res* 2016; 7:51-6. doi: 10.1016/j.sjdr.2015.06.001.
- Chandrasekaran S, Kumar S, Bhat SA, Saravanakumar, Shabbir PM, Chandrasekaran V. Awareness of basic life support among medical, dental, nursing students and doctors. *Indian J Anaesth* 2010; 54:121-6. doi: 10.4103/0019-5049.63650.
- Pande S, Pande S, Parate V, Pande S, Sukhsohale N. Evaluation of retention of knowledge and skills imparted to first-year medical students through basic life support training. *Adv Physiol Educ* 2014; 38:42-5. doi: 10.1152/advan.00102.2013.
- Choi HS, Lee DH, Kim CW, Kim SE, Oh JH. Peer-assisted learning to train high-school students to perform basic life-support. *World J Emerg Med* 2015; 6:186-90. doi: 10.5847/wjem.j.1920-8642.2015.03.004.
- Perkins GD, Hulme J, Shore HR, Bion JF. Basic life support training for health care students. *Resuscitation* 1999; 41:19-23. doi: 10.1016/S0300-9572(99)00037-4.
- Celenza T, Gennat HC, O'Brien D, Jacobs IG, Lynch DM, Jelinek GA. Community competence in cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Resuscitation* 2002; 55:157-65. doi: 10.1016/S0300-9572(02)00201-0.
- Neumar RW, Shuster M, Callaway CW, Gent LM, Atkins DL, Bhanji F, et al. Part 1: Executive summary - 2015 American Heart Association guidelines update for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care. *Circulation* 2015; 132:S315-67. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000252.
- Reddy S, Doshi D, Reddy P, Kulkarni S, Reddy S. Awareness of basic life support among staff and students in a dental school. *J Contemp Dent Pract* 2013; 14:511-17. doi: 10.5005/jp-journal-als-10024-1353.
- Zaheer H, Haque Z. Awareness about BLS (CPR) among medical students: Status and requirements. *J Pak Med Assoc* 2009; 59:57-9.
- Lami M, Nair P, Gadhvi K. Improving basic life support training for medical students. *Adv Med Educ Pract* 2016; 7:241-2. doi: 10.2147/AMEPS102111.
- Tan EC, Severien I, Metz JC, Berden HJ, Biert J. First aid and basic life support of junior doctors: A prospective study in Nijmegen, the Netherlands. *Med Teach* 2006; 28:189-92. doi: 10.1080/01421590500312847.
- Price CS, Bell SE, Janes SE, Ardagh M. Cardio-pulmonary resuscitation training, knowledge and attitudes of newly-qualified doctors in New Zealand in 2003. *Resuscitation* 2006; 68:295-9. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2005.07.002.
- Mac Giolla Phadraig C, Ho JD, Guerin S, Yeoh YL, Mohamed Medhat M, Doody K, et al. Neither basic life support knowledge nor self-efficacy are predictive of skills among dental students. *Eur J Dent Educ* 2016; Epub ahead of print. doi: 10.1111/eje.12199.
- Abbas A, Bukhari SI, Ahmad F. Knowledge of first aid and basic life support amongst medical students: A comparison between trained and un-trained students. *J Pak Med Assoc* 2011; 61:613-16.
- Berg RA, Hemphill R, Abella BS, Aufderheide TP, Cave DM, Hazinski MF et al. Part 5: Adult basic life support - 2010 American Heart Association guidelines for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care. *Circulation* 2010; 122:S685-705. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.970939.
- Perkins GD, Handley AJ, Koster RW, Castrén M, Smyth MA, Olsveengen T, et al. European Resuscitation Council guidelines for resuscitation 2015: Section 2 - Adult basic life support and automated external defibrillation. *Resuscitation* 2015; 95:81-99. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2015.07.015.
- Harvey PR, Higenbottam CV, Owen A, Hulme J, Bion JF. Peer-led training and assessment in basic life support for healthcare students: Synthesis of literature review and fifteen years practical experience. *Resuscitation* 2012; 83:894-9. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2012.01.013.