

# CONNECTEDNESS, ARCWISE- CONNECTEDNESS AND CONVEXITY FOR LEVEL-SETS OF MULTIDIMENSIONAL DISTRIBUTION FUNCTIONS

Luisa Tibiletti\*

**SUNTO** - Si individuano condizioni sufficienti per garantire le proprietà di connessione, arc-connessione e convessità degli insiemi di livello delle funzioni di ripartizione multidimensionali.

**ABSTRACT** - Sufficient conditions for guaranteeing connectedness, arcwise-connectedness and convexity for level-sets of multidimensional distribution functions are provided.

## 1. INTRODUCTION\*\*

General concavity properties of  $n$ -dimensional ( $n \geq 2$ ) distribution functions (d.f.'s) have become of recent interest in the literature. See, for example, TONG [9], IYENGAR-TONG [3] for concavity of special distribution classes, TIBILETTI [7] for d.f. quasi-concavity, MARSHALL-OLKIN [4] for Schur-concavity.

In this note we confine our attention to connectedness, arcwise-connectedness and convexity of the d.f. level-sets. Sufficient conditions for guaranteeing above mentioned properties are stated. This issue can be relevant both for theoretical and applied statistical analysis. Recently,

---

\* Istituto di Matematica Finanziaria, Facoltà di Economia e Commercio, Piazza Arbarello 8, I-10122 Torino, Tel. +39-11-546805, fax +39-11-544004.

\*\* *Acknowledgments*

The Author wishes to thank M. Li Calzi for some valuable suggestions and comments. Obviously, she is completely responsible for any remaining error.

TIBILETTI [8] has introduced a new notion of  $q$ -th quantile (where  $q \in [0,1]$ ) of the random vector  $X$  as a point belonging to the  $q$ -th level-set of the d.f. of  $X$ . Previous properties characterise the quantile sets. The plan of the paper is as follows. Section 2 contains notations and preliminaries. Sufficient conditions for level-set connectedness, arcwise-connectedness and convexity are formulated in Section 3. Section 4 collects some final remarks.

## 2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be a random vector. Denote by

$$F(x) = P\left\{\bigcap_{i=1}^n (X_i \leq x_i)\right\}$$

the distribution function of  $X$  and by

$$F_i(x) = P\{X_i \leq x\}$$

the one-dimensional marginal associated to the random variable  $X_i$ . Our aim is to investigate the properties concerning the level-sets of  $F$ , *i.e.*,

$$I_q = \left\{x \in \mathfrak{R}^n : F(x) = q\right\}, \text{ where } q \in [0,1].$$

For sake of completeness, we recall the definitions utilised throughout the work.

**Definition 1.** A set  $D \in \mathfrak{R}^n$  is said to be *connected* if there exist nonempty disjoint sets  $S^1 \subset \mathfrak{R}^n$ ,  $S^2 \subset \mathfrak{R}^n$ , such that neither contains cluser points of the other, satisfying  $D = S^1 \cup S^2$ .

**Definition 2.** A set  $D \in \mathfrak{R}^n$  is said to be *arcwise-connected* if for every pair of points  $x \in D, y \in D$  there exists a continuous vector valued function  $H(x, y; \vartheta)$ , called an arc, defined on the unit interval and with values in  $D$  such that

$$H(x, y; 0) = y \qquad H(x, y; 1) = x.$$

We recall that every arcwise-connected set is connected, while the opposite does not necessarily hold.

**Definition 3.** A subset  $D$  of the  $n$ -dimensional real Euclidean space  $\mathfrak{R}^n$  is a *convex set* if for every  $x, y \in D$  and  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$  we have  $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in D$ .

(See for example AVRIEL *et al.* [1] for further details).

### 3. CONNECTEDNESS, ARCWISE-CONNECTEDNESS AND CONVEXITY CONDITIONS

Below, sufficient conditions are provided in order to guarantee the connectedness, arcwise-connectedness and convexity of the d.f. level sets.

**Proposition 1.** Assume  $F$  be continuous. Then,  $I_q$  is a connected set, for all  $q \in [0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* Consider the set-valued map  $g: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^n$  such that

$$g(q) = I_q = \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{R}^n : F(x) = q \right\}.$$

Since  $F$  is continuous  $I_q = F^{-1}(q)$  is a closed set and the graph of  $g$  is upper semi-continuous. It is immediate to prove that  $g$  is also lower semi-continuous, then  $g$  is continuous. Thus,  $I_q$  turns out to be connected, because it is the image of a connected set.

**Proposition 2.** Assume  $F$  be continuous and partially strictly increasing<sup>1</sup> on  $C = [a_1, b_2] \times \dots \times [a_n, b_n]$ ,  $C \subseteq \mathfrak{R}^n$ . Then  $I_q$  is arcwise-connected on  $C$ , for all  $q \in [0, 1]$ .

**Proof.** Introduce the function

$$\gamma(x) = F(x) - q.$$

Let  $x \in I_q \cap C$ . Clearly,  $\gamma(x) = 0$ . Suppose to fix  $(n - 1)$  components of  $x$ , let  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$  be. Thus, the single-variable function  $\gamma$  is continuous, strictly increasing and changes its sign on  $[a_n, b_n]$ . By the implicit function theorem

---

<sup>1</sup>  $F$  is said to be partially strictly increasing on  $C = [a_1, b_2] \times \dots \times [a_n, b_n]$  if

$$t(x_i) = F(x_1^*, \dots, x_{i-1}^*, x_{i+1}^*, \dots, x_n^*)$$

is a strictly increasing function on  $[a_i, b_i]$  for all  $x_j^* \in [a_j, b_j], j \neq i$ .

(see, for example, NIKAIDO [5]) there exists one and only one continuous function  $\varphi_n: \mathfrak{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ , defined for  $a_s < x_s < b_s$ , ( $s = 1, \dots, n-1$ ), such that

$$\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, \varphi_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})) = 0$$

Therefore, if  $x \in I_q$  it follows that

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = F(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, \varphi_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})) = G(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}),$$

where  $G: \mathfrak{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ , is a continuous and partially strictly increasing function.

Also, the repeated use of the theorem shows that there exist continuous functions  $\varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} G(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) &= G(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, \varphi_{n-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2})) = \dots = K(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \\ &= K(x_1, x_2, \varphi_3(x_1, x_2)) = W(x_1, x_2) = W(x_1, \varphi_2(x_1)) = T(x_1) \end{aligned}$$

Note that:  $\varphi_i, i = 2, \dots, n$  depends on the remaining  $\varphi_j, j \neq i$ ; moreover, from the uniqueness of  $\varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n$  derives that fixed  $x_1$  there exists a unique  $(n-1)$ -dimensional vector  $(x_2, \dots, x_n)$  such that  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in I_q$ .

Consider  $x, y \in I_q$ , so that  $F(x) = F(y) = q$ . Let  $H$  be defined on  $[0, 1] \subseteq \mathfrak{R}$  by

$$H(x, y; \vartheta) = (t_1, \dots, t_n), \quad \text{where}$$

$$t_1 = \vartheta x_1 + (1 - \vartheta)y_1$$

$$t_2 = \varphi_2(t_1),$$

$$t_3 = \varphi_3(t_1, t_2)$$

.....

$$t_n = \varphi_n(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-1}).$$

Since  $H$  is a continuous arc with value in  $I_q$  our claim comes out.

An example of arcwise-connected set is given below.

**Example** Let  $F$  be a d.f. defined via

$$F(x_1, x_2) = (1 - e^{-x_1})(1 - e^{-x_2}).$$

Observe that

$$I_q = \left\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_2 = \log \frac{(1 - e^{-x_1})}{(1 - e^{-x_1} - q)} \right\}, \quad q \in [0, 1].$$

It is clear that  $I_q$  is arcwise-connected. In fact, we can connect each pair of points  $x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2) \in I_q$  by the arc

$$H(x, y; \vartheta) = \left( t, \log \frac{(1 - e^{-t})}{(1 - e^{-t} - q)} \right).$$

where  $t = \vartheta x_1 + (1 - \vartheta)y_1$  and  $\vartheta \in [0, 1]$ .

**Proposition 3.** Assume  $F$  be continuous and partially strictly increasing on  $C = [a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times [a_n, b_n]$ . Let  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in I_q \cap C$ , with  $q \in (0, 1)$ . For each  $x_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  there exists an unique  $(n-1)$ -dimensional vector such that

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n) \in I_q \cap C.$$

In one dimension, the  $q$ -th level set  $I_q$  is connected, then also convex for all  $q \in [0, 1]$ . Nevertheless, this property is not preserved in higher dimensions.

In fact, all level sets of  $F$  are convex iff  $F$  is *quasi-monotone*, i.e.,  $F$  is both quasi-concave and quasi-convex, and this property holds only for a class of generalised uniform distributions.

#### 4 SOME FURTHER FINAL REMARKS

Now, some further remarks are collected.

**Remark 1.** To check whether  $F$  is partially strictly increasing or not, it could be useful to calculate  $\nabla F$ . If  $\nabla F$  exists (obviously, a sufficient condition is that the density function is continuous) and  $\nabla F > 0$  the property holds.

**Remark 2.** Straightforward calculations show that for the d.f.  $F$  results

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} = f_i(x_i)F(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n | x_i) \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n$$

where

$f(x_i)$  is the one-dimensional marginal density function of  $X_i$ ,

$F(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n | x_i)$  is the conditional distribution function of  $X$  given  $X_i = x_i$ .

Thus, the condition  $\nabla F > 0$  requires that all one-dimensional densities and all conditional d.f.'s be not null.

Note that under these assumptions, the implicit function theorem guarantees that functions  $\varphi_i, i = 2, \dots, n$  are also continuously differentiable.

**Remark 3.**  $F$  can be only partially increasing, even if each one-dimensional marginal  $F_i (i = 1, \dots, n)$  is strictly increasing<sup>2</sup>. Additional conditions have to be added. Clearly, the assumption that the copula has to be partially strictly increasing yields our claim (for further details on the copula and a historical overview, the reader can refer to SCHWEIZER [6]).

**Remark 4.** Above discussion deals with the d.f. (also called cumulative function)  $F$  of the random vector  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . nevertheless, analogous results can be expanded upon for every partially decumulative-cumulative function

$$F_{i_1, \dots, i_n}(x) = P \left\{ \left( \bigcap_{i=i_1, \dots, i_k} \{X_i \leq x_i\} \right) \cap \left( \bigcap_{i=i_{k+1}, \dots, i_n} \{X_i > x_i\} \right) \right\},$$

of  $X$ , where  $i_1, \dots, i_n$  are integers from 1 to  $n$ , such that  $i_j \neq i_t$  if  $j \neq t$  (for further details on the partially cumulative-decumulative function level-sets see TIBILETTI [8]).

---

<sup>2</sup> For example, consider the Fréchet cumulative function

$$F(x, y) = \text{Min}(F_1(x_1), F_2(x_2)),$$

where  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are strictly increasing (see FRÉCHET [2]). Let  $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{R}$  such that

$$F_1(x) < F_2(y) < F_2(z),$$

where  $y < z$ . Since  $F(x, y) = F(x, z) = F_1(x_1)$ , then  $F$  is not partially strictly increasing.

## REFERENCES

1. M. AVRIEL, W. DIEWERT, S. SCHAIBLE, *Generalized Concavity*, Plenum Press, New York, 1988.
2. M. FRÉCHET, *Sur les tableaux de corrélation dont les marges sont données*, Annales de l'Université de Lyon, Sec. A, (1951), 3-77.
3. S. IYENGAR, Y.L. TONG, *Convexity properties of elliptically contoured distributions with applications*, Sankyā. 51, Series A, Pt. 1, (1989), 13-29.
4. A.W. MARSHALL, I. OLKIN, *Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and its Applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
5. H. NIKAIDO, *Convex Structures and Economic Theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1968.
6. B. SCHWEIZER, *Thirty years of copulas*, in G. Dall'Aglio, S. Kotz and G. Salinelli (eds.) *Advances in Probability Distributions with Given Marginals*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1991.
7. L. TIBILETTI, *Quasi-concavity Property of Multivariate Distribution Functions*, 1994 submitted.
8. L. TIBILETTI, *On a new notion of multidimensional quantile*, *Metron*, forthcoming.
9. Y.L. TONG, *The Multivariate Normal Distribution*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.