

PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF A SECOND ORDER EVOLUTIVE VARIATIONAL INEQUALITY*

M. Biancardi, A. Gallo**

ABSTRACT - Hereafter we shall analyse the existence and regularity properties of the solution of the periodic problem related to a second order evolutive variational inequality.

SUNTO - Si analizzano le questioni di esistenza e regolarità della soluzione per il problema periodico connesso ad una disequazione variazionale di evoluzione del secondo ordine.

INTRODUCTION

Let Ω_1 and Ω_2 be two open sets of R^N with $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2 \neq \emptyset$, $V_l (l=1,2)$ a real separable Hilbert space with dense and continuous embedding in $L^2(\Omega_l)$.

Let us denote by:

$(\cdot, \cdot), \cdot $	the inner product and the norm in $L^2(\Omega)$,
$(\cdot, \cdot)_l, \cdot _l$	the inner product and the norm in $L^2(\Omega_l)$,
$\ \cdot\ $	the norm in V_l ,
$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$	the pairing between V_l and its dual V_l'

* Research performed under the financial support of M.U.R.S.T.

** Authors' Address: Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni.
Facoltà di Ingegneria, via Claudio, 21 - 80125 Napoli, Italy.

Furthermore, let K be the convex closed part of $V_1 \times V_2$:

$$\{(z_1, z_2) \in V_1 \times V_2 : z_1 \leq z_2 \text{ a.e. on } \Omega\}.$$

Given $f_l \in L^2(0, T; V_l')$ ($0 < T < +\infty$) and operators $A_l, B_l \in \mathcal{L}(V_l, V_l')$ such that:

$$\langle A_l y, z \rangle_l = \langle A_l z, y \rangle_l, \quad \langle B_l y, z \rangle_l = \langle B_l z, y \rangle_l \quad \forall y, z \in V_l,$$

$$\langle A_l z, z \rangle_l \geq a_l \|z\|_l^2, \quad \langle B_l z, z \rangle_l \geq b_l \|z\|_l^2 \quad \forall z \in V_l \quad (a_l, b_l = \text{const.} > 0),$$

we consider the following

PROBLEM (P). Find $(u_1, u_2) \in \prod_{l=1}^2 H^1(0, T; V_l)$ so that:

$$u_l'' \in L^2(0, T; V_l'),$$

$$u_l(0) = u_l(T), \quad u_l'(0) = u_l'(T),$$

$$(u_1'(t), u_2'(t)) \in K \quad \text{a.e. on }]0, T[,$$

$$\sum_{l=1}^2 \int_0^T \langle u_l''(t) + A_l u_l(t) + B_l u_l'(t) - f_l(t), v_l'(t) - u_l'(t) \rangle_l dt \geq 0$$

$$\forall (v_1, v_2) \in \prod_{l=1}^2 H^1(0, T; V_l) \text{ with } v_l(0) = v_l(T) \text{ and } (v_1'(t), v_2'(t)) \in K \text{ a.e. on }]0, T[.$$

Of course our problem (P) will not have a unique solution. As a matter of fact:

if (u_1, u_2) is one solution of problem (P), then any other solution will only be of the type $(u_1 + z_1, u_2 + z_2)$, where z_l is an arbitrary element of V_l .

Obviously $(u_1 + z_1, u_2 + z_2)$ satisfies our problem (P). On the other hand, assuming that (\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2) is an other solution, we immediately find that:

$$\|\bar{u}_l' - u_l'\|_{L^2(0, T; V_l')} = 0,$$

and therefore $\bar{u}_l = u_l + z_l$ with $z_l \in V_l$.

We shall now develop two existence theorems for our problem, theorems 4 and 5 (n. 3), with two different hypothesis on f_l : in the first we assume $f_l \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega_l))$, in the second $f_l \in H^1(0, T; V_l')$, $f_l(0) = f_l(T)$.

For the proof we shall essentially adopt a penalty method [1], [3], [4] based on an existence theorem concerning periodic solutions of an abstract

non linear second order differential equation. Moreover, in proving this theorem [th. 1, n. 1] we follow a technique inspired to the "elliptic regularization" [3], [5]. The results given by theorems 2 and 3 [n. 2] for the penalized problem will then be used to prove the above mentioned existence theorems for our problem (P).

A regularity theorem "with respect to x " is also given in n. 3, theorem 6, when $V_1 = V_2 = H_0^1(\Omega)$ and operators A_l, B_l , within constant factors, are identical to a uniformly elliptic second order linear differential operator. The uniform ellipticity of this last operator allows us to obtain upper limitations by introducing a "special base" of $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

1. Let V and H be real Hilbert spaces: $V \subseteq H$, with dense and continuous embedding.

We identify H with its dual and denote with

$(\cdot, \cdot), |\cdot|$ the inner product and the norm in H ,

$\|\cdot\|$ the norm in V ,

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the pairing between V and its dual V' .

Let also $f \in L^2(0, T; V')$ and A, B the operators from V into V' : A linear and continuous, B strictly monotone and hemicontinuous. Let us suppose that:

$$\langle Ay, z \rangle = \langle Az, y \rangle \quad \forall y, z \in V,$$

$$\langle Az, z \rangle \geq a \|z\|^2 \quad \forall z \in V, \quad (a = \text{const.} > 0)$$

$$\langle Bz, z \rangle \geq b \|z\|^2 \quad \forall z \in V, \quad (b = \text{const.} > 0)$$

for each $v \in L^2(0, T; V)$ $Bv(\cdot) \in L^2(0, T; V')$,

operator $v \in L^2(0, T; V) \rightarrow Bv(\cdot)$ is bounded.

THEOREM 1. In the above stated assumptions, there exists only one solution $u \in H^1(0, T; V)$ of the following problem:

(1) $u'' \in L^2(0, T; V')$,

(2) $\langle u''(t), z \rangle + \langle Au(t), z \rangle + \langle Bu'(t), z \rangle = \langle f(t), z \rangle$ a.e. on $]0, T[\quad \forall z \in V$,

(3) $u(0) = u(T), u'(0) = u'(T)$.

PROOF. Firstly, if $u_1, u_2 \in H^1(0, T; V)$ are solutions of the problem (1), (2), (3), then

$$\int_0^T \langle Bu_1'(t) - Bu_2'(t), u_1'(t) - u_2'(t) \rangle dt = 0$$

must hold and therefore

$$u_1'(t) = u_2'(t) \text{ a. e. on }]0, T[$$

owing to the strict monotonicity of B . Thus there exists a $z_0 \in V$ such that

$$u_1(t) = u_2(t) + z_0 \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

This condition in turn is fulfilled iff

$$\langle Az_0, z \rangle = 0 \quad \forall z \in V,$$

namely $z_0 = 0$. In order to prove the existence of the solution, we introduce the Hilbert space:

$$W = \left\{ v \in H^1(0, T; V) : v'' \in L^2(0, T; H), v(0) = v(T), v'(0) = v'(T) \right\}$$

equipped with norm

$$\|v\|_W = \left(\int_0^T \|v(t)\|^2 dt + \int_0^T \|v'(t)\|^2 dt + \int_0^T |v''(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \forall v \in W$$

and then denote with $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$ the pairing between W and its dual W' . Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we set, for any $u, v \in W$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle C^\varepsilon u, v \rangle\rangle = & \varepsilon \left[\int_0^T \langle u''(t), v''(t) \rangle dt + \int_0^T \langle Au(t), v(t) \rangle dt \right] + \\ & + \int_0^T \langle u''(t), v'(t) \rangle dt + \int_0^T \langle Au(t), v'(t) \rangle dt + \\ & + \int_0^T \langle Bu'(t), v'(t) \rangle dt - \int_0^T \langle f(t), v'(t) \rangle dt. \end{aligned}$$

$C^\varepsilon : W \rightarrow W'$ is obviously a bounded, strictly monotone, hemicontinuous and coercive operator. Therefore ([3], theorem 2.1, pg. 171) there exists a unique $u_\varepsilon \in W$ solution of equation

$$(4) \quad \langle\langle C^\varepsilon u_\varepsilon, v \rangle\rangle = 0 \quad \forall v \in W.$$

Eq. (4), written with $v = u_\varepsilon$, gives the upper limitations

$$(5) \quad \varepsilon \left[\int_0^T |u_\varepsilon''(t)|^2 dt + \int_0^T \|u_\varepsilon(t)\|^2 dt \right] \leq c,$$

$$(6) \quad \int_0^T \|u_\varepsilon'(t)\|^2 dt \leq c, \quad (c = \text{const.} > 0 \text{ indep. from } \varepsilon)$$

as well as

$$(7) \quad \int_0^T \|\bar{u}_\varepsilon(t)\|^2 dt \leq c$$

where $\bar{u}_\varepsilon(t) = u_\varepsilon(t) - u_\varepsilon(0)$. Inequalities (5), (6), (7) imply the existence of $\bar{u} \in H^1(0, T; V)$, with $\bar{u}(0) = \bar{u}(T) = 0$, of $g \in L^2(0, T; V')$ and of a positive numerical infinitesimal sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ such that for $n \rightarrow +\infty$

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{u}_{\varepsilon_n} &\rightarrow \bar{u} \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; V), \\ \bar{u}'_{\varepsilon_n} &\rightarrow \bar{u}' \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; V), \\ Bu'_{\varepsilon_n}(\cdot) &\rightarrow g \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; V'), \\ \varepsilon_n \left[\|u''_{\varepsilon_n}\|_{L^2(0, T; H)} + \|u_{\varepsilon_n}\|_{L^2(0, T; V)} \right] &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Starting from (4) and using (8), we come to relation

$$(9) \quad \int_0^T (\bar{u}'(t), v''(t)) dt = \int_0^T \langle A\bar{u}(t) + g(t) - f(t), v'(t) \rangle dt \quad \forall v \in W.$$

Let now $\varphi_0 \in C_0^\infty(]0, T[)$ with $\int_0^T \varphi_0(t) dt = 1$, $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(]0, T[)$ and $z \in V$. From (9), setting

$$v(t) = \left(\int_0^t \left[\varphi(s) - \varphi_0(s) \int_0^T \varphi(t) dt \right] ds \right) z \quad \forall t \in [0, T]$$

we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^T \bar{u}'(t) \varphi'(t) dt, z \right) = & \left\langle \int_0^T [A\bar{u}(t) + g(t) - f(t)] \varphi(t) dt, z \right\rangle + \\ & + \left(\int_0^T \bar{u}'(t) \varphi_0'(t) dt \int_0^T \varphi(t) dt, z \right) + \\ & - \left\langle \int_0^T [A\bar{u}(t) + g(t) - f(t)] \varphi_0(t) dt \int_0^T \varphi(t) dt, z \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

or

$\bar{u}''(t) = -[A\bar{u}(t) + g(t) - f(t)] - \theta$ a.e. on $]0, T[$ in the V' sense
being θ the element of V'

$$\int_0^T \bar{u}'(t) \varphi_0'(t) dt - \int_0^T [A\bar{u}(t) + g(t) - f(t)] \varphi_0(t) dt.$$

Let u_0 be the element of V for which $Au_0 = \theta$, and given $u = \bar{u} + u_0$, it is obvious that u satisfies (1), the first of (3) and that:

$$(10) \quad \langle u''(t), z \rangle + \langle Au(t), z \rangle + \langle g(t), z \rangle = \langle f(t), z \rangle \quad \text{a.e. on }]0, T[\quad \forall z \in V.$$

We may also note that the second of (3) holds too. Indeed, chosen $\psi \in C^2([0, T])$ with $\psi(0) = \psi(T)$ and $\psi'(0) = \psi'(T) = 1$, recalling (9) and (10), we get $\forall z \in V$:

$$\begin{aligned} (u'(T) - u'(0), z) &= (u'(T)\psi'(T) - u'(0)\psi'(0), z) = \left\langle \int_0^T [u'(t)\psi'(t)]' dt, z \right\rangle = \\ &= \int_0^T \langle u''(t), \psi'(t)z \rangle dt + \int_0^T \langle u'(t), \psi''(t)z \rangle dt = \\ &= \int_0^T \langle u''(t), \psi'(t)z \rangle dt + \int_0^T \langle Au(t) + g(t) - f(t), \psi'(t)z \rangle dt = \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Because of (10), (2) is acquired as soon as we are able to prove that

$$(11) \quad Bu'(\cdot) = g.$$

From (4), with $v = u_{\epsilon_n}$, we obtain:

$$\int_0^T \langle Bu'_{\epsilon_n}(t), u'_{\epsilon_n}(t) \rangle dt \leq \int_0^T \langle f(t), u'_{\epsilon_n}(t) \rangle dt$$

from which, because of the second of (8),

$$(12) \quad \lim'' \int_0^T \langle Bu'_{\epsilon_n}(t), u'_{\epsilon_n}(t) \rangle dt \leq \int_0^T \langle f(t), \bar{u}'(t) \rangle dt.$$

Assuming $z = u'(t)$, (10) produces the following equality:

$$(13) \quad \int_0^T \langle g(t), u'(t) \rangle dt = \int_0^T \langle f(t), u'(t) \rangle dt.$$

The second and third of (8), together with (12) and (13), imply (11), since operator

$$v \in L^2(0, T; V) \rightarrow Bv(\cdot)$$

is bounded, monotone and hemicontinuous ([3], proposition 2.5, pg. 179).

REMARK. Proof of the existence is essentially the same when assuming "B monotone" instead of "B strictly monotone".

2. Let us suppose

$$V = V_1 \times V_2, \quad H = L^2(\Omega_1) \times L^2(\Omega_2)$$

and write for each $z = (z_1, z_2), y = (y_1, y_2) \in V$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Az, y \rangle &= \langle A_1 z_1, y_1 \rangle_1 + \langle A_2 z_2, y_2 \rangle_2, \\ \langle Lz, y \rangle &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left([z_1 - z_2]^+, y_1 - y_2 \right) \text{ with } \varepsilon > 0, \\ \langle Bz, y \rangle &= \langle B_1 z_1, y_1 \rangle_1 + \langle B_2 z_2, y_2 \rangle_2 + \langle Lz, y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Of course Hilbertian spaces V, H and operators A, B , from V into V' , meet the assumptions stated at the beginning of n. 1. Therefore, from theorem 1, there exists a unique $(u_{1\varepsilon}, u_{2\varepsilon}) \in \prod_{l=1}^2 H^1(0, T; V_l)$ solution of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} (14) \quad & u_{l\varepsilon}'' \in L^2(0, T; V_l'), \\ (15) \quad & \sum_1^2 l \left\langle u_{l\varepsilon}''(t) + A_l u_{l\varepsilon}(t) + B_l u_{l\varepsilon}'(t) - f_l(t), z_l \right\rangle_l + \\ & \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left([u_{1\varepsilon}'(t) - u_{2\varepsilon}'(t)]^+, z_1 - z_2 \right) = 0 \\ & \text{a.e. on }]0, T[\quad \forall (z_1, z_2) \in V_1 \times V_2, \end{aligned}$$

$$(16) \quad u_{l\varepsilon}(0) = u_{l\varepsilon}(T), \quad u_{l\varepsilon}'(0) = u_{l\varepsilon}'(T).$$

THEOREM 2. If for $l = 1, 2$ $f_l \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega_l))$ we then have:

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} & u_{l\varepsilon}'' \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega_l)), \\ & \left\| u_{l\varepsilon}'' \right\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega_l))} \leq c. \quad (c = \text{const.} > 0 \text{ indep. from } \varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Let $\{z_j\}$ be a base of V_l and, for each $n \in N$, V_{ln} be the space spanned by $\{z_{l1}, \dots, z_{ln}\}$. Theorem 1 and the finite dimensions of V_{ln} assure the existence of a unique $(w_{1n}, w_{2n}) \in \prod_{l=1}^2 H^2(0, T; V_{ln})$ such that

$$(18) \quad \sum_1^2 l \left\{ \left(w_{ln}''(t), z_l \right)_l + \left\langle A_l w_{ln}(t) + B_l w_{ln}'(t), z_l \right\rangle_l - (f_l(t), z_l)_l \right\} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\left[w_{1n}'(t) - w_{2n}'(t) \right]^+, z_1 - z_2 \right) = 0$$

a.e. on $]0, T[\quad \forall (z_1, z_2) \in V_{1n} \times V_{2n}$,

$$w_{ln}(0) = w_{ln}(T), \quad w_{ln}'(0) = w_{ln}'(T).$$

Immediate consequence of (18) are the upper limitations:

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| w_{ln}' \right\|_{L^2(0, T; V_l)} \leq c, \\ & \left\| w_{ln}'' \right\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega_l))} \leq c, \end{aligned} \quad (c = \text{const.} > 0 \text{ indep. from } \varepsilon \text{ and } n)$$

and also

$$\left\| \bar{w}_{ln} \right\|_{L^2(0, T; V_l)} \leq c,$$

where $\bar{w}_{ln}(t) = w_{ln}(t) - w_{ln}(0)$ Therefore $\bar{w}_l \in H^1(0, T; V_l)$, with

$$(20) \quad \bar{w}_l'' \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega_l)), \quad \bar{w}_l(0) = \bar{w}_l(T) = 0, \quad \bar{w}_l'(0) = \bar{w}_l'(T),$$

and $h \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ exist so that, to within a subsequence, for $n \rightarrow +\infty$:

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{w}_{ln} &\rightarrow \bar{w}_l && \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; V_l), \\ w_{ln}' &\rightarrow w_l' && \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; V_l), \\ w_{ln}'' &\rightarrow w'' && \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega_l)), \\ \left[w_{1n}' - w_{2n}' \right]^+ &\rightarrow h && \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \end{aligned}$$

Using (21) and equality

$$\overline{\bigcup_{n \in N} V_{In}} = V_I,$$

we easily derive from the first of (18) this relation:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_1^2 \int_0^T \left\{ \left(\bar{w}_i''(t), \varphi'(t) z_i \right)_i + \left\langle A_i \bar{w}_i(t) + B_i \bar{w}_i'(t), \varphi'(t) z_i \right\rangle_i - \left(f_i(t), \varphi'(t) z_i \right)_i \right\} dt + \\ & + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T \left(h(t), \varphi'(t) (z_1 - z_2) \right) dt = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(]0, T[) \text{ and } \forall (z_1, z_2) \in V_1 \times V_2, \end{aligned}$$

the latter being equivalent, a.e. on $]0, T[$, to:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left[\bar{w}_1''(t) + A_1 \bar{w}_1(t) + B_1 \bar{w}_1'(t) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} h(t) - f_1(t) \right] = 0 \text{ in the sense of } V_1', \\ & \frac{d}{dt} \left[\bar{w}_2''(t) + A_2 \bar{w}_2(t) + B_2 \bar{w}_2'(t) - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} h(t) - f_2(t) \right] = 0 \text{ in the sense of } V_2', \end{aligned}$$

which in turn lead to existence of $F_i \in V_i'$ such that, a.e. on $]0, T[$:

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} & \bar{w}_1''(t) + A_1 \bar{w}_1(t) + B_1 \bar{w}_1'(t) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} h(t) - f_1(t) = F_1, \\ & \bar{w}_2''(t) + A_2 \bar{w}_2(t) + B_2 \bar{w}_2'(t) - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} h(t) - f_2(t) = F_2. \end{aligned}$$

Given $w_{i0} = A_i^{-1} F_i$ and $w_i = \bar{w}_i - w_{i0} \in H^1(0, T; V_i)$, from (20), (22) we get respectively:

$$(23) \quad w_i'' \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega_i)), \quad w_i(0) = w_i(T), \quad w_i'(0) = w_i'(T)$$

$$(24) \quad \sum_1^2 l \left\{ \left\langle w_l''(t), z_l \right\rangle_l + \left\langle A_l w_l(t) + B_l w_l'(t), z_l \right\rangle_l - \left\langle f_l(t), z_l \right\rangle_l \right\} + \\ + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle h(t), z_1 - z_2 \rangle = 0 \quad \text{a.e. on }]0, T[\quad \forall (z_1, z_2) \in V_1 \times V_2.$$

Since from (18) and the second of (21)

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T \left(\left[w_{1n}'(t) - w_{2n}'(t) \right]^+, w_{1n}'(t) - w_{2n}'(t) \right) dt \leq \\ \leq \sum_1^2 l \int_0^T \left(f_l(t), w_l'(t) \right)_l dt - \sum_1^2 l \int_0^T \left(B_l w_l'(t), w_l'(t) \right)_l dt$$

and from (23), (24)

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T \left(h(t), w_1'(t) - w_2'(t) \right) dt = \sum_1^2 l \int_0^T \left(f_l(t), w_l'(t) \right)_l dt + \\ - \sum_1^2 l \int_0^T \left(B_l w_l'(t), w_l'(t) \right)_l dt,$$

we may write

$$(25) \quad h(t) = \left[w_1'(t) - w_2'(t) \right]^+ \quad \forall t \in]0, T[$$

since

$$v \in L^2(0, T; V) \rightarrow Lv(\cdot)$$

is a bounded, monotone and hemicontinuous operator. From (23), (24) and (25) we see that $w_l = u_l \varepsilon$. Consequently (17) hold: the first of (17) is true because of the first of (20), the second because of (19) and the third of (21).

THEOREM 3. If for $l = 1, 2$ $f_l \in H^1(0, T; V_l)$ and $f_l(0) = f_l(T)$, then we have:

$$u_{l\varepsilon}'' \in L^2(0, T; V_l),$$

$$(c = \text{const.} > 0 \text{ indep. from } \varepsilon)$$

$$\|u_{l\varepsilon}''\|_{L^2(0, T; V_l)} \leq c.$$

PROOF. Proceeding as with the beginning of the proof of theorem 2 and considering the present hypothesis on f_l , we see that there exists a unique

$$(w_{1n}, w_{2n}) \in \prod_{l=1}^2 H^3(0, T; V_{ln}) \text{ which fulfills the following conditions:}$$

(26)

$$\sum_1^2 l \left\{ \left(w_{ln}''(t), z_l \right)_l + \left(A_l w_{ln}(t) + B_l w_{ln}'(t) - f_l(t), z_l \right)_l \right\} +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[\left(w_{1n}'(t) - w_{2n}'(t) \right)^+, z_1 - z_2 \right] = 0 \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \text{ and } \forall (z_1, z_2) \in V_1 \times V_2,$$

$$(27) \quad w_{ln}(0) = w_{ln}(T), \quad w_{ln}'(0) = w_{ln}'(T), \quad w_{ln}''(0) = w_{ln}''(T).$$

By differentiating the left member of (26), accounting for both the second and third of (27) and inequality:

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} \left[w_{1n}'(t) - w_{2n}'(t) \right]^+, w_{1n}''(t) - w_{2n}''(t) \right) \geq 0 \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

we obtain

$$\|w_{ln}''\|_{L^2(0, T; V_l)} \leq c. \quad (c = \text{const.} > 0 \text{ indep. from } \varepsilon \text{ and } n)$$

This proof is completed by proceeding similarly to what reasoned with theorem 2.

3. Results obtained in n. 2 will now allow us to produce some existence theorems for problem (P).

THEOREM 4. If for $l = 1, 2$ $f_l \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega_l))$ then there exists a $(u_1, u_2) \in \prod_{l=1}^2 H^1(0, T; V_l)$, with $u_l'' \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega_l))$, which is solution of problem (P).

PROOF. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ let $(u_{1\varepsilon}, u_{2\varepsilon}) \in \prod_{l=1}^2 H^1(0, T; V_l)$ be a solution of problem (14), (15), (16). Because of theorem 2 $u_{l\varepsilon}'' \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega_l))$ and we have:

$$(28) \quad \left\| u_{l\varepsilon}'' \right\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega_l))} \leq c. \quad (c = \text{const.} > 0 \text{ indep. from } \varepsilon)$$

Consequence of (15), (16) are the upper limitations:

$$(29) \quad \left\| u_{l\varepsilon}' \right\|_{L^2(0, T; V_l)} \leq c,$$

(c = const. > 0 indep. from ε)

$$(30) \quad \left\| \left[u_{1\varepsilon}' - u_{2\varepsilon}' \right]^+ \right\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))}^2 \leq c\varepsilon.$$

The existence of $(u_1, u_2) \in \prod_{l=1}^2 H^1(0, T; V_l)$ with

$$u_l'' \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega_l)), \quad u_l(0) = u_l(T), \quad u_l'(0) = u_l'(T),$$

and of a positive numerical infinitesimal sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ such that for $n \rightarrow +\infty$:

$$(31) \quad \begin{aligned} u_{l\varepsilon_n} - u_{l\varepsilon_n}(0) &\rightarrow u_l \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; V_l), \\ u_{l\varepsilon_n}' &\rightarrow u_l' \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; V_l), \\ u_{l\varepsilon_n}'' &\rightarrow u_l'' \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega_l)). \end{aligned}$$

is guaranteed by (28) and (29).

Thus, solution of problem (P) is (u_1, u_2) . Indeed, on one hand

$$(u_1'(t), u_2'(t)) \in K \quad \text{a. e. on }]0, T[$$

since, holding (30) and the second of (31), we have:

$$\int_0^T \left| [u_1'(t) - u_2'(t)]^+ \right|^2 dt \leq \lim \int_0^T \left| [u_{1\varepsilon_n}'(t) - u_{2\varepsilon_n}'(t)]^+ \right|^2 dt = 0.$$

On the other hand, by virtue of inequality

$$\int_0^T \left([u_{1\varepsilon_n}'(t) - u_{2\varepsilon_n}'(t)]^+, [v_1'(t) - u_{1\varepsilon_n}'(t)] - [v_2'(t) - u_{2\varepsilon_n}'(t)] \right) dt \leq 0,$$

where (v_1, v_2) is an arbitrary element of $\prod_{l=1}^2 H^1(0, T; V_l)$, satisfying conditions:

$$(v_1'(t), v_2'(t)) \in K \quad \text{a. e. on }]0, T[, \quad v_l(0) = v_l(T).$$

from (15), (16) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1^2 l \int_0^T \left\{ (u_{l\varepsilon_n}''(t), v_l'(t))_l + \left\langle A_l [u_{l\varepsilon_n}(t) - u_{l\varepsilon_n}(0)], v_l'(t) \right\rangle_l + \left\langle B_l u_{l\varepsilon_n}'(t), v_l'(t) \right\rangle_l + \right. \\ \left. - (f_l(t), v_l'(t) - u_{l\varepsilon_n}'(t))_l \right\} dt \geq \sum_1^2 l \int_0^T \left\langle B_l u_{l\varepsilon_n}'(t), u_{l\varepsilon_n}'(t) \right\rangle_l dt \end{aligned}$$

and from here, taking the limit as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we obtain because of (31):

$$\sum_1^2 \int_0^T \left\{ \left(u_l''(t), v_l'(t) \right)_l + \left(A_l u_l'(t), v_l'(t) \right)_l + \left(B_l u_l'(t), v_l'(t) \right)_l + \right. \\ \left. - \left(f_l(t), v_l'(t) - u_l'(t) \right)_l \right\} dt \geq \sum_1^2 \int_0^T \left(B_l u_l'(t), u_l'(t) \right)_l dt.$$

Using theorem 3, with the above procedure we prove the following

THEOREM 5. If for $l=1,2$ $f_l \in H^1(0,T;V_l')$ and $f_l(0) = f_l(T)$ then there exists a $(u_1, u_2) \in H^2(0,T;V_l)$ solution of problem (P).

Let us complete the study of problem (P) by analysing a particular case. Let: $\Omega_1 = \Omega_2 = \Omega$ be a $C^{1,1}$ open, bounded, connected set of R^n and $V_1 = V_2 = H_0^1(\Omega)$.

We now consider the uniformly elliptic second order linear differential operator

$$A = -\sum_{ij}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(a_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) \quad \text{with } a_{ij} = a_{ji} \in C^{0,1}(\Omega),$$

and let

$$A_l = \alpha_l A, \quad B_l = \beta_l A,$$

α_l and β_l being positive constants.

THEOREM 6. If for $l=1,2$ $f_l \in L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$, then there exists a $(u_1, u_2) \in \left[H^1(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)) \right]^2$ with $u_l'' \in L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$, solution to problem (P).

PROOF. In the light of the proof given for theorem 4 and of statements made in the introduction concerning solutions of problem (P), it is evidently enough to prove that for the solution $(u_{1\varepsilon}, u_{2\varepsilon})$ of the problem (14), (15), (16) we have:

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} u'_{i\varepsilon} \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)), \quad u''_{i\varepsilon} \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \\ (c = \text{const.} > 0 \text{ indep. from } \varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

$$\|u'_{i\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega))} + \|u''_{i\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))} \leq c.$$

Assumptions made for Ω and operator A assure ([2], Remark 31, pg. 308; [7], theor. 2.1, pg. 201) the existence of a base $\{z_j\}$ of $H_0^1(\Omega)$ of functions of $H_2(\Omega)$ such that

$$(33) \quad Az_j = \lambda_j z_j$$

where $\{\lambda_j\}$ is a positively diverging sequence of positive numbers. Let V_n be the space spanned by $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$, from theorem 1 there is a unique $(w_{1n}, w_{2n}) \in [H^2(0, T; V_n)]^2$ solution of the problem:

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_1^2 l \left(w''_{in}(t) + \alpha_l A w_{in}(t) + \beta_l A w'_{in}(t) - f_l(t), y_l \right) + \\ + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left([w'_{1n}(t) - w'_{2n}(t)]^+, y_1 - y_2 \right) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. on }]0, T[\quad \forall (y_1, y_2) \in V_n^2, \\ (35) \quad w_{in}(0) = w_{in}(T), \quad w'_{in}(0) = w'_{in}(T). \end{aligned}$$

Recalling (33), (34), may also be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1^2 l \left(w''_{in}(t) + \alpha_l A w_{in}(t) + \beta_l A w'_{in}(t) - f_l(t), A y_l \right) + \\ + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left([w'_{1n}(t) - w'_{2n}(t)]^+, A [y_1 - y_2] \right) = 0 \\ \text{a.e. on }]0, T[\quad \forall (y_1, y_2) \in V_n^2 \end{aligned}$$

and this, together with (35) and the following inequality

$$\left(\left[w'_{1n}(t) - w'_{2n}(t) \right]^+, A \left[w'_{1n}(t) - w'_{2n}(t) \right] \right) \geq 0 \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

allow us to acquire the upper limitation:

$$\| Aw'_{in} \|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \leq c \quad (c = \text{const.} > 0 \text{ indep. from } \varepsilon \text{ and } n)$$

from which ([7], theor. 2.1, pg. 201):

$$(36) \quad \| w'_{in} \|_{L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega))} \leq c.$$

The further upper limitation

$$(37) \quad \| w''_{in} \|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \leq c \quad (c = \text{const.} > 0 \text{ indep. from } \varepsilon \text{ and } n)$$

follows because of (34), (35), (36).

Inequalities (36), (37) bring us to (32) with the same technique used for theorem 2 considering that now $F_l \in L^2(\Omega)$ and that $w_{l0} = A_l^{-1} F_l \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ ([7], theor. 2.1, pg. 201).

REFERENCES

1. H. BRÉZIS, *Problèmes unilatéraux*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 51 (1972), 1-168.
2. H. BRÉZIS, *Analisi funzionale*, Liguori ed., 1986.
3. J.L. LIONS, *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Dunod, Gauthier-Villars, 1969.
4. J.L. LIONS, *Sur quelques questions d'Analyse de Mécanique et de Contrôl Optimal*, Conférence à Montreal, 1976.
5. J.L. LIONS, E. MAGENES, *Problèmes aux limites non homogènes et applications*, vol. I, Dunod, 1968.
6. J. NAUMANN, *Periodic solutions to certain evolution inequalities*, Czech. Math. Journ. 27 (1977), 424-433.
7. J. NEČAS, *Les méthodes directes en théorie des équations elliptiques*, Masson, 1967.
8. G. PRODI, *Soluzioni periodiche dell'equazione delle onde con termine dissipativo non lineare*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Padova 35, 1965.
9. L. SCHWARTZ, *Distribution à valeurs vectorielles*, I, II, Annales Institut Fourier, 7 (1957), 1-141; 8 (1958), 1-209.

*Finito di stampare nel mese di gennaio 1996 dalla Tipografia «Monotipia Cremonese»,
Cremona*