Acknowledgement to reviewers

In an effort to facilitate the selection of appropriate peer reviewers for *Pythagoras*, we ask that you take a moment to update your electronic portfolio on https://pythagoras. org.za for our files, allowing us better access to your areas of interest and expertise, in order to match reviewers with submitted manuscripts.

If you would like to become a reviewer, please visit the journal website and register as a reviewer.

To access your details on the website, you will need to follow these steps:

1. Log into the online journal at https://pythagoras.org.za

2. In your 'user home' [https://pythagoras.org.za/index.php/pythagoras/user] select 'edit my profile' under the heading 'my account' and insert all relevant details, bio statement and reviewing interest(s).

3. It is good practice as a reviewer to update your personal details regularly to ensure contact with you throughout your professional term as reviewer to *Pythagoras*.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if you require assistance in performing this task.

Publisher:
publishing@aosis.co.za
Tel: +27 21 975 2602
Tel: 086 1000 381

The quality of the articles in *Pythagoras* and the credibility and reputation of our journal crucially depend on the expertise and commitment of our peer reviewers.

Reviewing is an important part of scholarly work, making a substantial contribution to the field. Reviewers' comments serve two purposes, guided by two inter-dependent objectives:

- *Pythagoras wishes to publish only original manuscripts of the highest possible quality, making a meaningful contribution to the field.* Reviewers advise the Editor on the scholarly merits of the manuscript to help evaluate the manuscript and to decide whether or not to publish it. Reviewers are encouraged to reject a manuscript if it is scientifically flawed, merely sets out observations with no analysis, provides no new insights, or is of insufficient interest to warrant publication.

- *Pythagoras is committed to support authors in the mathematics education community.* Reviewers help the author to improve the quality of their manuscript. Reviewers are encouraged to write their comments in a constructive and supportive manner and to be sufficiently detailed to enable the author to improve the manuscript and make the changes that may eventually lead to acceptance.

The following summary of outcomes of the reviewing process for this volume shows that our reviewers do well in achieving both objectives:

No. of manuscripts processed in 2018 (outcome complete)	35
Accepted without changes	0
Accepted with minor changes (to the satisfaction of the Editor)[1]	2
Accepted after major revisions (re-submit, then re-review)[2]	10
Rejected after review – not acceptable to be published in *Pythagoras*	3
Rejected without review – not acceptable to be published in *Pythagoras*[3]	20
No. of articles currently in review	4

We sincerely thank the following people who have reviewed these manuscripts for this volume of *Pythagoras*. We very much appreciate their time, expertise and support of *Pythagoras* amidst pressures of work.

Alwyn Olivier
Anita Campbell
Anass Bayaga
Antonia Makina
Balarabe Yushau
Barbara Posthuma
Bhekumusa Khuzwayo
Carol Bohlmann
Carol Macdonald
Cerenus Pfeiffer
Clement Dlamini
Cyril Julie
David Mogari
Dirk Wessels
Duan van der Westhuizen
Gerrit Stols
Helena Miranda

Ingrid Mostert
Jenefer Golding
Judah Makonye
Kakoma Luneta
Karen Junqueira
Lekwa Mokwana
Luckson Kaino
Marc North
Marie Joubert
Marthie van der Walt
Maureen Ledibane
Mdutshekelwa Ndlovu
Michael Murray
Mogege Mosimege
Faaiz Gierdien
Nosisi Feza
Nyna Amin

Olatunde Osiyemi
Patrick Barmby
Peter Pausigere
Piera Biccard
Rajendran Govender
Richard Alexander
Satsope Maoto
Shaheeda Jaffer
Sibawu Siyepu
Suela Kacerja
Tulsi Morar
Verona Leendertz
Wajeeh Daher
Willy Mwakapenda
Zulkardi Zulkardi

1. Accepted after one round of review, with 'minor' changes as specified by reviewers and Editor.

2. Accepted after two or more rounds of review, with 'major' changes specified by reviewers and Editor.

3. All submissions undergo a preliminary review by the editorial team to ascertain if it falls within the aims and scope of *Pythagoras*, is of sufficient interest to our readers, offers substantially new knowledge, and is of sufficient quality to be sent for review.