

Utility of Syndromic Surveillance in Detecting Potential Human Exposures to Rabies

Kelley Bemis*, Megan T. Patel, Mabel Frias and Demian Christiansen

Communicable Disease, Cook County Department of Public Health, Forest Park, IL, USA

Objective

To determine whether unreported cases of potential human exposure to rabies can be detected using an emergency department (ED) syndromic surveillance system and to assess both reporting completeness and compliance with clinical guidelines related to rabies exposures in suburban Cook County.

Introduction

Rabies post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) can prevent fatal encephalitis associated with exposure to the rabies virus. However, overuse and inappropriate administration of rabies PEP are common.¹ Mandatory reporting of potential rabies exposures provides opportunities for public health practitioners to monitor the appropriateness of PEP administration and offer recommendations. In Illinois, potential human exposure to rabies, including any person started on PEP and any person with contact to a bat, must be reported to the local health authority. Previous investigations into the completeness of rabies reporting have concluded that active surveillance in addition to mandatory reporting may be useful.² As rabies PEP is often given in an emergency department setting, syndromic surveillance records may provide a basis for estimating completeness of reporting and identifying candidates for active surveillance follow up.

Methods

Emergency department records from 45 local hospitals between 1/1/2013 and 6/30/2015 were queried for chief complaints or discharge diagnoses pertaining to rabies, PEP, or contact with a bat. Exclusionary terms and manual record review eliminated unrelated visits. Cases of potential human exposure to rabies reported to the Cook County Department of Public Health (CCDPH) during the same time period were extracted from the Illinois National Electronic Disease Surveillance System. Cases were matched to ED records based on provider, visit date, age, sex, and zip code. The remaining unmatched individuals with ≥ 2 visits were considered probable unreported instances of PEP initiation. Demographics of unreported individuals were compared to reported individuals using chi square.

Results

Between 1/1/2013 and 6/30/2015, 241 individuals visited local EDs with a chief complaint or discharge diagnosis related to bat contact or rabies PEP. Of these 241, 63 (26%) were previously reported to CCDPH. Of the remaining 178, 80 (45%) had ≥ 2 visits suggesting a true instance of unreported PEP initiation. Reporting of these individuals was less common in winter compared to spring, summer, and fall (18% versus 64%, 48%, 54%, respectively, $p=.03$). Region of patient residence also exhibited an association with reporting ranging from 92% in the South District to 28% in the North District ($p<.01$). Regional trends likely reflect differential reporting behaviors among hospitals in the area, ranging from 100% to 0%. Of note, the 63 previously reported individuals identified by syndromic surveillance queries represented only 54% of the individuals reported to CCDPH during the same time period, suggesting that underreporting as measured here remains a significant underestimate. Based on these results, CCDPH instituted a new active surveillance

policy for individuals visiting local EDs with a chief complaint or discharge diagnosis related to bat contact or rabies PEP, retroactive to 1/1/2015. Individuals identified through active surveillance will be compared with individuals reported through passive surveillance to assess differences in whether PEP was given in accordance with recommendations and administered correctly.

Conclusions

A large proportion of potential human exposures to rabies in Suburban Cook County are not reported. Analysis of syndromic surveillance records is an effective tool for evaluating reporting completeness, identifying targets for active surveillance, and ensuring compliance with clinical best practice and reporting requirements.

Keywords

Syndromic surveillance; Rabies; Post-exposure prophylaxis; Evaluation

References

1. Moran GJ, Talan DA, Mower W, et al. Appropriateness of rabies postexposure prophylaxis treatment for animal exposures. *JAMA*. 2000 Aug 23;284(8):1001–7.
2. Thiede H, Close NS, Koepsell J, Baer A, Duchin JS. Completeness of reporting of rabies postexposure prophylaxis in King County, Washington. *J Public Health Manag Pract*. 2008 Oct;14(5):448–53.

*Kelley Bemis

E-mail: kbemis@cookcountyhhs.org

