

# On Locally-Balanced 2-Partitions of Complete Multipartite Graphs

Aram H. Gharibyan<sup>†</sup> and Petros A. Petrosyan<sup>†‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Department of Informatics and Applied Mathematics, YSU,

<sup>‡</sup>Institute for Informatics and Automation Problems of NAS RA

e-mail: aramgharibyan@gmail.com, petros\_petrosyan@ysu.am, pet\_petros@ipia.sci.am

## Abstract

A 2-partition of a graph  $G$  is a function  $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{\mathbf{White}, \mathbf{Black}\}$ . A 2-partition  $f$  of a graph  $G$  is locally-balanced with an open neighborhood if for every  $v \in V(G)$ ,

$$||\{u \in N_G(v): f(u) = \mathbf{White}\}| - |\{u \in N_G(v): f(u) = \mathbf{Black}\}|| \leq 1,$$

where  $N_G(v) = \{u \in V(G): uv \in E(G)\}$ . A 2-partition  $f'$  of a graph  $G$  is locally-balanced with a closed neighborhood if for every  $v \in V(G)$ ,

$$||\{u \in N_G[v]: f'(u) = \mathbf{White}\}| - |\{u \in N_G[v]: f'(u) = \mathbf{Black}\}|| \leq 1,$$

where  $N_G[v] = N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$ . In this paper we give necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of locally-balanced 2-partitions of complete multipartite graphs.

**Keywords:** 2-partition, Locally-balanced 2-partition, Equitable coloring, Complete multipartite graph.

## 1. Introduction

All graphs considered in this work are finite, undirected, and have no loops or multiple edges. Let  $V(G)$  and  $E(G)$  denote the sets of vertices and edges of a graph  $G$ , respectively. The set of neighbors of a vertex  $v$  in  $G$  is denoted by  $N_G(v)$ . Let  $N_G[v] = N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$ . A graph  $G$  is called a complete  $n$ -partite ( $n \geq 2$ ) graph if its vertices can be partitioned into  $n$  nonempty independent sets  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  such that each vertex in  $X_i$  is adjacent to all the other vertices in  $X_j$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ . Let  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$  denote a complete  $n$ -partite graph with independent sets  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  of sizes  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n$ . The terms and concepts that we do not define can be found in [8, 15].

A 2-partition of a graph  $G$  is a function  $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{\mathbf{White}, \mathbf{Black}\}$ . A 2-partition  $f$  of a graph  $G$  is *locally-balanced with an open neighborhood* if for every  $v \in V(G)$ ,

$$||\{u \in N_G(v): f(u) = \mathbf{White}\}| - |\{u \in N_G(v): f(u) = \mathbf{Black}\}|| \leq 1.$$

A 2-partition  $f'$  of a graph  $G$  is *locally-balanced with a closed neighborhood* if for every  $v \in V(G)$ ,

$$||\{u \in N_G[v]: f'(u) = \mathbf{White}\}| - |\{u \in N_G[v]: f'(u) = \mathbf{Black}\}|| \leq 1.$$

The concept of locally-balanced 2-partition of graphs was introduced by Balikyan and Kamalian [12] in 2005, and it can be considered as a special case of equitable colorings of hypergraphs [1]. In [1], Berge obtained some sufficient conditions for the existence of equitable colorings of hypergraphs. In [7, 9, 10, 14], the authors considered the problems of the existence and construction of proper vertex-coloring of a graph for which the number of vertices in any two color classes differ by at most one. In [11], 2-vertex-colorings of graphs were considered for which each vertex is adjacent to the same number of vertices of every color. In particular, in [11], it was proved that the problem of the existence of such a coloring is *NP*-complete even for the  $(2p, 2q)$ -biregular  $(p, q \geq 2)$  bipartite graphs. In [12], Balikyan and Kamalian proved that the problem of existence of locally-balanced 2-partition with an open neighborhood of bipartite graphs with maximum degree 3 is *NP*-complete. Later, they also proved [13] the similar result for locally-balanced 2-partitions with a closed neighborhood. In [2, 3, 4], the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of locally-balanced 2-partitions of trees were obtained. In [6], the authors obtained some necessary conditions for the existence of locally-balanced 2-partitions of Eulerian graphs. In particular, they proved some results on the existence of locally-balanced 2-partitions of rook's graphs and cycle powers.

In the present paper we give necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of locally-balanced 2-partitions of complete multipartite graphs. A preliminary version of this paper was presented at the 6th Polish Combinatorial Conference, Bedlewo, Poland, 2016 [5].

## 2. Main Results

Before we formulate and prove our results, we introduce some terminology and notation. For any 2-partition  $\varphi$  of a graph  $G$ , we define  $\bar{\varphi}$  as follows: for any  $v \in V(G)$ , let

$$\bar{\varphi}(v) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{Black}, & \text{if } \varphi(v) = \mathbf{White}, \\ \mathbf{White}, & \text{if } \varphi(v) = \mathbf{Black}. \end{cases}$$

If  $\varphi$  is a 2-partition of a graph  $G$  and  $v \in V(G)$ , then define  $\#(v)$  and  $\#[v]$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \#(v) &= |\{u \in N_G(v): \varphi(u) = \mathbf{White}\}| - |\{u \in N_G(v): \varphi(u) = \mathbf{Black}\}|, \\ \#[v] &= |\{u \in N_G[v]: \varphi(u) = \mathbf{White}\}| - |\{u \in N_G[v]: \varphi(u) = \mathbf{Black}\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $\varphi$  is a locally-balanced 2-partition with an open neighborhood (with a closed neighborhood) if for every  $v \in V(G)$ ,  $|\#(v)| \leq 1$  ( $|\#[v]| \leq 1$ ).

If  $G$  is a complete  $n$ -partite graph and  $X$  is a part of  $G$ , then  $X$  is called an odd (even) part if  $|X|$  is odd ( $|X|$  is even). If  $G$  is a complete  $n$ -partite graph, then by  $m_1$ ,  $m_2$ , and  $m_{\geq 3}$  we denote the number of parts of  $G$  with one vertex, two vertices and at least three vertices, respectively. If  $\varphi$  is a 2-partition of a complete  $n$ -partite graph  $G$  and  $X$  is a part of  $G$ , then by  $W_X$  ( $B_X$ ) we denote the number of **White** (**Black**) vertices of the part  $X$ . If  $\varphi$  is a 2-partition of a complete  $n$ -partite graph  $G$ , then by  $W$  ( $B$ ) we denote the number of **White** (**Black**) vertices in  $G$ .

We begin with the following simple lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $\varphi$  is a locally-balanced 2-partition of  $G$ , then  $\bar{\varphi}$  is also a locally-balanced 2-partition of  $G$ .*

**Lemma 2.** *If  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$  has a locally-balanced 2-partition with an open neighborhood, then for any part  $X$ ,  $|W_X - B_X| \leq 1$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\varphi$  be a locally-balanced 2-partition with an open neighborhood of  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$ . Suppose, to the contrary, that there exists a part  $X'$  such that either  $W_{X'} - B_{X'} \geq 2$  or  $B_{X'} - W_{X'} \geq 2$ . By Lemma 1., we can assume that there exists a part  $X'$  such that

$$W_{X'} - B_{X'} \geq 2 \quad (1)$$

It is easy to see that for any  $v \in X$ ,

$$\#(v) = W - B - W_X + B_X.$$

From this and taking into account that for any  $v \in V(K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n})$ ,  $-1 \leq \#(v) \leq 1$ , we obtain that for any part  $X$ ,

$$-1 \leq W - B - W_X + B_X \leq 1. \quad (2)$$

By (1) and (2), we have

$$W - B \geq 1. \quad (3)$$

For any part  $Y$  ( $Y \neq X'$ ), by (2), we have

$$-1 \leq W - B - W_Y + B_Y \leq 1.$$

From this and (3), we obtain that for any part  $Y$  ( $Y \neq X'$ ),

$$W_Y - B_Y \geq 0. \quad (4)$$

Let us consider any  $v \in X$  ( $X \neq X'$ ). Clearly,

$$\#(v) = \sum_{Y, Y \neq X} (W_Y - B_Y) = \sum_{Y, Y \neq X, X'} (W_Y - B_Y) + W_{X'} - B_{X'}.$$

By (1) and (4), we get  $\#(v) \geq 2$ , which is a contradiction. ■

**Theorem 1.** *The graph  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$  has a locally-balanced 2-partition with an open neighborhood if and only if the number of odd parts is even or one.*

**Proof.** Assume that  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$  has  $k$  even parts and  $s$  odd parts. Suppose that  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$  has a locally-balanced 2-partition with an open neighborhood, but contains odd number  $s$  ( $s \geq 3$ ) odd parts. Lemma 2 implies that for any part  $X$  of  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$ ,  $|W_X - B_X| \leq 1$ . We decompose all the vertices of the graph into three groups as follows:

1. all even parts  $X_i$  (here, we have  $W_{X_i} - B_{X_i} = 0$ , by Lemma 2);
2. all odd parts  $X'_i$  with  $W_{X'_i} > B_{X'_i}$  (here, we have  $W_{X'_i} - B_{X'_i} = 1$ , by Lemma 2);
3. all odd parts  $X''_i$  with  $W_{X''_i} < B_{X''_i}$  (here, we have  $W_{X''_i} - B_{X''_i} = -1$ , by Lemma 2.).

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k$  be parts of the first group;  $X'_1, X'_2, \dots, X'_m$  be parts of the second group;  $X''_1, X''_2, \dots, X''_t$  be parts of the third group. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $m > t$ . Consider two cases.

Case 1:  $t = 0$ .

Clearly,  $m \geq 3$ . Let us consider a vertex  $v \in X'_1$ . By 1 and 2, we have

$$\#(v) = \sum_{Y, Y \neq X'_1} (W_Y - B_Y) = \sum_{i=1}^k (W_{X_i} - B_{X_i}) + \sum_{i=2}^m (W_{X'_i} - B_{X'_i}) = m - 1 \geq 2,$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 2:  $t > 0$ .

Let us consider a vertex  $v \in X''_1$ . By 1, 2 and 3, we have

$$\#(v) = \sum_{Y, Y \neq X''_1} (W_Y - B_Y) = \sum_{i=1}^k (W_{X_i} - B_{X_i}) + \sum_{i=1}^m (W_{X'_i} - B_{X'_i}) +$$

$$\sum_{i=2}^t (W_{X''_i} - B_{X''_i}) = m - (t - 1) = (m - t) + 1 \geq 2,$$

which is a contradiction.

Now we show that if the number of odd parts is even or one, then  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$  has a locally-balanced 2-partition with an open neighborhood.

First we color even parts uniformly.

Next we consider two cases.

Case A) The number of odd parts is  $2l$ . First  $l$  odd parts we color as follows: if  $X$  is such a part with  $2p + 1$  ( $p \geq 0$ ) vertices, then any  $p$  vertices get the color **Black** and the rest vertices get the color **White**. Next  $l$  odd parts we color similarly by taking the color **White** instead of **Black**, and vice versa.

Case B)  $X$  is the only odd part with  $2p + 1$  ( $p \geq 0$ ) vertices.

In this case we color any  $p$  vertices of  $X$  with the color **Black** and the rest vertices with the color **White**.

It is easy to see that the above-mentioned 2-partition is a locally-balanced 2-partition of  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$  with an open neighborhood. ■

**Theorem 2.** *If  $m_1 = 0$ , then the graph  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$  has a locally-balanced 2-partition with a closed neighborhood if and only if it has no odd part.*

**Proof.** Let  $\varphi$  be a locally-balanced 2-partition with a closed neighborhood of  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$ . Suppose, to the contrary, that there exists an odd part  $X$ . Since  $m_1 = 0$ , we have  $|X| \geq 3$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $W_X > B_X$ . We consider two cases.

Case 1:  $B_X = 0$ .

Consider a vertex  $v \in X$ . Clearly,  $\varphi(v) = \mathbf{White}$ . This implies that

$$\#[v] = W - B - W_X + B_X + 1.$$

Since  $\varphi$  is a locally-balanced 2-partition with a closed neighborhood of  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$ , we have

$$-1 \leq W - B - W_X + B_X + 1 \leq 1.$$

This implies that

$$W - B \geq W_X - 2 > 0.$$

Case 2:  $B_X > 0$ .

Consider a vertex  $v \in X$  with  $\varphi(v) = \mathbf{Black}$ . This implies that

$$\#[v] = W - B - W_X + B_X - 1.$$

Since  $\varphi$  is a locally-balanced 2-partition with a closed neighborhood of  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$ , we have

$$-1 \leq W - B - W_X + B_X - 1 \leq 1.$$

This implies that  $W - B \geq W_X - B_X > 0$ .

In any case we obtain

$$W - B > 0. \tag{5}$$

First we consider the case when for any part  $Y$ ,  $W_Y \geq B_Y$ . Let us consider a vertex  $v \in X'$  ( $X' \neq X$ ) with  $\varphi(v) = \mathbf{White}$ . This implies that

$$\#[v] = \sum_{Y, Y \neq X'} (W_Y - B_Y) + 1 =$$

$$W_X - B_X + \sum_{Y, Y \neq X, X'} (W_Y - B_Y) + 1 \geq 2,$$

which is a contradiction.

So, we may assume that there exists a part  $X'$  such that  $W_{X'} < B_{X'}$ .

If  $W_{X'} = 0$ , then we consider a vertex  $v \in X'$ . Clearly,  $\varphi(v) = \mathbf{Black}$ . From this and taking into account that  $\varphi$  is a locally-balanced 2-partition with a closed neighborhood of  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$ , we have

$$-1 \leq W - B - W_{X'} + B_{X'} - 1 \leq 1.$$

Hence,  $W - B \leq 2 - B_{X'} \leq 0$ , which contradicts (5).

If  $W_{X'} > 0$ , then we consider a vertex  $v \in X'$  with  $\varphi(v) = \mathbf{White}$ . From this and taking into account that  $\varphi$  is a locally-balanced 2-partition with a closed neighborhood of  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$ , we have

$$-1 \leq W - B - W_{X'} + B_{X'} + 1 \leq 1.$$

Hence,  $W - B \leq W_{X'} - B_{X'} < 0$ , which contradicts (5).

Let  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$  be a complete  $n$ -partite graph without an odd part. In this case we color each part uniformly. ■

**Lemma 3.** *If  $m_1 > 0$  and  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$  has a locally-balanced 2-partition with a closed neighborhood, then  $|W - B| \leq 1$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\varphi$  be a locally-balanced 2-partition with a closed neighborhood of  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$ . Consider a vertex  $v$  of the part  $X$  with  $|X| = 1$ . Since  $\varphi$  is a locally-balanced 2-partition with a closed neighborhood of  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$ , we have  $\#[v] = W - B$ , and hence

$$-1 \leq W - B \leq 1.$$

■

**Remark 1.** Clearly, Lemma 3. implies that if  $|V(K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n})|$  is even, then  $W = B$ , and if  $|V(K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n})|$  is odd, then  $|W - B| = \pm 1$  (in fact, by Lemma 1., we may assume that  $W - B = 1$ ).

**Theorem 3.** If  $m_1 > 0$  and  $|V(K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n})|$  is even, then  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$  has a locally-balanced 2-partition with a closed neighborhood if and only if it has no odd part  $X$  with at least three vertices.

**Proof.** Let  $\varphi$  be a locally-balanced 2-partition with a closed neighborhood of  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$ . Suppose that there exists an odd part  $X$  with at least three vertices. We may assume that  $W_X > B_X$ . We consider two cases.

Case 1:  $B_X = 0$ .

Consider a vertex  $v \in X$ . Clearly,  $\varphi(v) = \mathbf{White}$ . This implies that

$$\#[v] = W - B - W_X + B_X + 1.$$

By Remark 1, we get  $\#[v] = 1 - W_X \leq -2$ , which is a contradiction.

Case 2:  $B_X > 0$ .

Consider a vertex  $v \in X$  with  $\varphi(v) = \mathbf{Black}$ . This implies that

$$\#[v] = W - B - W_X + B_X - 1.$$

By Remark 1 and taking into account that  $W_X > B_X$ , we obtain

$$\#[v] = B_X - W_X - 1 \leq 2,$$

which is a contradiction.

Now let  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$  be a complete  $n$ -partite graph with  $m_1 > 0$  odd parts. Clearly,  $m_1$  is even.

Each even part we color uniformly. Then we color  $\frac{m_1}{2}$  odd parts with the color **Black** and the other  $\frac{m_1}{2}$  odd parts with the color **White**.

It is easy to see that this 2-partition is a locally-balanced 2-partition with a closed neighborhood of  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$ . ■

**Theorem 4.** If the graph  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$  has an odd number of vertices,  $m_1 > 0$  and there exists a part  $X$  such that  $|X| = 2 + 2k$  ( $k \in \mathbf{N}$ ), then  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$  has no locally-balanced 2-partition with a closed neighborhood.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\varphi$  is a locally-balanced 2-partition with a closed neighborhood of  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$ . By Remark 1 and Lemma 1, we get

$$W - B = 1.$$

Let us consider four cases.

Case 1:  $B_X = 0$ .

Let us consider a vertex  $v \in X$ . Since  $\varphi$  is a locally-balanced 2-partition with a closed neighborhood of  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$ , we have

$$\#[v] = W - B - W_X + B_X + 1 = 2 - W_X \leq -2,$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 2:  $W_X = 0$ .

Let us consider a vertex  $v \in X$ . Since  $\varphi$  is a locally-balanced 2-partition with a closed neighborhood of  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$ , we have

$$\#[v] = W - B - W_X + B_X - 1 = B_X \geq 4,$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 3:  $W_X > B_X > 0$ .

Let us consider a vertex  $v \in X$  with  $\varphi(v) = \mathbf{Black}$ . Since  $\varphi$  is a locally-balanced 2-partition with a closed neighborhood of  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$ , we have

$$\#[v] = W - B - W_X + B_X - 1 = B_X - W_X \leq -2,$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 4:  $0 < W_X \leq B_X$ .

Let us consider a vertex  $v \in X$  with  $\varphi(v) = \mathbf{White}$ . Since  $\varphi$  is a locally-balanced 2-partition with a closed neighborhood of  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$ , we have

$$\#[v] = W - B - W_X + B_X + 1 = 2 + B_X - W_X \geq 2,$$

which is a contradiction. ■

**Theorem 5.** *If the graph  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$  has an odd number of vertices,  $m_1 > 0$  and each part  $X$  of the graph has either two vertices or an odd number of vertices, then  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$  has a locally-balanced 2-partition with a closed neighborhood if and only if  $m_1 \geq 2m_2 + m_{\geq 3} - 1$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\varphi$  is a locally-balanced 2-partition with a closed neighborhood of  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$ . By Remark 1 and Lemma 1, we have

$$W - B = 1. \tag{6}$$

Let us consider a part  $X$  with only two vertices  $u$  and  $v$ .

If  $\varphi(v) = \mathbf{White}$  and  $\varphi(u) = \mathbf{Black}$ , then since  $\varphi$  is a locally-balanced 2-partition with a closed neighborhood of  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$ , we have

$$\#[v] = W - B - W_X + B_X + 1 = 2,$$

a contradiction.

Similarly, if  $\varphi(v) = \mathbf{Black}$  and  $\varphi(u) = \mathbf{White}$ , then we consider the vertex  $u$ .

If  $\varphi(v) = \varphi(u) = \mathbf{Black}$ , then since  $\varphi$  is a locally-balanced 2-partition with a closed neighborhood of  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$ , we have

$$\#[v] = W - B - W_X + B_X - 1 = 2,$$

a contradiction.

This implies that  $\varphi(v) = \varphi(u) = \mathbf{White}$ .

Let  $X$  be an odd part with at least three vertices.

If  $W_X = 0$ , then by considering a vertex  $v \in X$  and taking into account that  $\varphi$  is a locally-balanced 2-partition with a closed neighborhood of  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$ , we obtain

$$\#[v] = W - B - W_X + B_X - 1 = B_X \geq 3,$$

a contradiction.

If  $0 < W_X < B_X$ , then by considering a vertex  $v \in X$  with  $\varphi(v) = \mathbf{White}$  and taking into account that  $\varphi$  is a locally-balanced 2-partition with a closed neighborhood of  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$ , we obtain

$$\#[v] = W - B - W_X + B_X + 1 = 2 + B_X - W_X \geq 3,$$

a contradiction. This shows that

$$W_X > B_X. \quad (7)$$

Let us consider two cases.

Case 1:  $|X| = 3$ .

By (7), we have that there are two possible cases: all vertices of  $X$  are **White** or two of them are **White** and the last one is **Black**.

If  $W_X = 3$  and  $B_X = 0$ , then for any  $v \in X$ , we have

$$\#[v] = W - B - W_X + B_X + 1 = -1.$$

This implies that for any  $v \in X$ ,  $-1 \leq \#[v] \leq 1$ .

If  $W_X = 2$  and  $B_X = 1$ , then for any  $v \in X$ , we have either  $\#[v] = W - B - W_X + B_X + 1 = 1$  (if  $\varphi(v) = \mathbf{White}$ ) or  $\#[v] = W - B - W_X + B_X - 1 = -1$  (if  $\varphi(v) = \mathbf{Black}$ ).

This implies that for any  $v \in X$ ,  $-1 \leq \#[v] \leq 1$ .

Case 2:  $|X| \geq 5$ .

By (7), we have  $W_X - B_X \geq 1$ .

Let us show that  $W_X - B_X = 1$ .

Suppose, to the contrary, that  $W_X - B_X \geq 3$ .

If  $B_X = 0$ , then for any  $v \in X$ , we have

$$\#[v] = W - B - W_X + B_X + 1 \leq -3,$$

which is a contradiction.

If  $W_X > B_X > 0$ . For any  $v \in X$  with  $\varphi(v) = \mathbf{Black}$ , we have

$$\#[v] = W - B - W_X + B_X - 1 \leq -3,$$

which is a contradiction.

This shows that  $W_X - B_X = 1$ .

So, we obtain that if  $X$  is a part with at least two vertices, then we have the following three possible cases:

a) If  $X$  is an odd part with three vertices, then

$$\text{either } W_X - B_X = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad W_X - B_X = 3; \quad (8)$$



b) If  $X$  is an odd part with at least five vertices, then

$$W_X - B_X = 1; \quad (9)$$

c) If  $X$  has two vertices, then

$$W_X - B_X = 2. \quad (10)$$

By (6), (8),(9),(10), we get

$$m_1 = \sum_{X, |X|=1} (B_X + W_X) \geq \sum_{X, |X|=1} (B_X - W_X) = B - W + \sum_{X, |X|=2} (W_X - B_X) +$$

$$\sum_{X, W_X - B_X = 1} (W_X - B_X) + \sum_{X, W_X - B_X = 3} (W_X - B_X) = B - W + 2|\{X: X \text{ is a part with } |X| = 2\}| +$$

$$|\{X: X \text{ is a part with } W_X - B_X = 1\}| + 3|\{X: X \text{ is a part with } W_X - B_X = 3\}| \geq$$

$$B - W + 2|\{X: X \text{ is a part with } |X| = 2\}| + |\{X: X \text{ is a part with } W_X - B_X = 1\}| +$$

$$|\{X: X \text{ is a part with } W_X - B_X = 3\}| = B - W + 2|\{X: X \text{ is a part with } |X| = 2\}| +$$

$$|\{X: X \text{ is an odd part with at least three vertices}\}| = -1 + 2m_2 + m_{\geq 3}.$$

Now let  $m_1 \geq 2m_2 + m_{\geq 3} - 1$ . We construct a 2-partition of  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$  as follows:

- 1) Each vertex  $v \in X$  ( $|X| = 2$ ), we color with the color **White**;
- 2) For any odd part  $X$  with  $|X| = 2p + 1$  ( $p \in \mathbf{N}$ ) vertices, we color  $p + 1$  vertices of  $X$  with the color **White**, and the rest  $p$  vertices we color with the color **Black**;
- 3) We take any  $(2m_2 + m_{\geq 3} - 1)$  parts with only one vertex of the graph and we color each vertex in these parts with the color **Black**. Since  $|V(K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n})|$  is odd and  $m_1 \geq 2m_2 + m_{\geq 3} - 1$ , we have that  $m_1 - (2m_2 + m_{\geq 3} - 1)$  is even and non-negative. The vertices of the remaining  $m_1 - (2m_2 + m_{\geq 3} - 1)$  parts we color uniformly.

It is not difficult to see that this 2-partition is a locally-balanced 2-partition with a closed neighborhood of  $K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n}$ . ■

## References

- [1] C. Berge, *Graphs and Hypergraphs*, Elsevier Science Ltd, 1985.
- [2] S.V. Balikyan, “On existence of certain locally-balanced 2-partition of a tree”, *Mathematical Problems of Computer Science*, Vol. 30, pp. 25-30, 2008.
- [3] S.V. Balikyan and R. R. Kamalian, “On existence of 2-partition of a tree, which obeys the given priority”, *Mathematical Problems of Computer Science*, Vol. 30, pp. 31-35, 2008.
- [4] S.V. Balikyan and R. R. Kamalian, “Necessary and sufficient condition for existence of locally-balanced 2-partition of a tree under the extended definition of a neighbourhood of a vertex”, *Mathematical Problems of Computer Science*, vol. 31, pp. 116-121, 2008.
- [5] A. H. Gharibyan and P.A. Petrosyan, “Locally-balanced 2-partitions of complete multipartite graphs”, *6th Polish Combinatorial Conference*, Bedlewo, Poland, p. 19, 2016.
- [6] A.H. Gharibyan and P.A. Petrosyan, “On locally-balanced 2-partitions of some graphs”, *Proceedings of the CSIT Conference*, Yerevan, pp. 196-197, 2017.
- [7] A. Hajnal and E. Szemerédi, “Proof of a conjecture of P. Erdős”, *Combinatorial theory and its applications, II (Proc. Colloq., Balatonfred, 1969)*, North-Holland, pp. 601-623, 1970.
- [8] G. Chartrand and P. Zhang, *Chromatic Graph Theory*, Discrete Mathematics and Its Applications, CRC Press, 2009.
- [9] W. Meyer, “Equitable coloring”, *American Mathematical Monthly*, vol. 80, no. 8, pp. 920-922, 1973.
- [10] A.V. Kostochka, “Equitable colorings of outerplanar graphs”, *Discrete Mathematics* vol. 258, pp. 373-377, 2002.
- [11] J. Kratochvíl, “Complexity of hypergraph coloring and Seidel’s switching”, *Graph Theoretic Concepts in Computer Science, 29th International Workshop, WG 2003, Elspeet, The Netherlands, Revised Papers*, vol. 2880, pp. 297-308, June 19-21, 2003.
- [12] S. V. Balikyan and R. R. Kamalian, “On NP-completeness of the problem of existence of locally-balanced 2-partition for bipartite graphs  $G$  with  $\Delta(G) = 3$ ”, *Doklady NAN RA*, vol. 105, no. 1, pp. 21-27, 2005.
- [13] S.V. Balikyan and R.R. Kamalian, “On NP-completeness of the problem of existence of locally-balanced 2-partition for bipartite graphs  $G$  with  $\Delta(G) = 4$  under the extended definition of the neighbourhood of a vertex”, *Doklady NAN RA*, vol. 106, no. 3, pp. 218-226, 2006.
- [14] D. de Werra, “On good and equitable colorings”, *In Cahiers du C.E.R.O.*, vol. 17, pp. 417-426, 1975.
- [15] D.B. West, *Introduction to Graph Theory*, Prentice-Hall, New Jersey, 2001.

Submitted 02.10.2017, accepted 26.01.2018.

## Լրիվ բազմակողմանի գրաֆների լոկալ-հավասարակշռված 2-տրոհումների մասին

Ա. Գարիբյան և Պ. Պետրոսյան

### Անփոփում

$f : V(G) \rightarrow \{\mathbf{White}, \mathbf{Black}\}$  ֆունկցիան կոչվում է  $G$  գրաֆի 2-տրոհում:  $G$  գրաֆի  $f$  2-տրոհումը կոչվում է լոկալ-հավասարակշռված 2-տրոհում բաց շրջակայքով, եթե կամայական  $v \in V(G)$  գագաթի համար տեղի ունի

$$||\{u \in N_G(v): f(u) = \mathbf{White}\}| - |\{u \in N_G(v): f(u) = \mathbf{Black}\}|| \leq 1,$$

որտեղ  $N_G(v) = \{u \in V(G): uv \in E(G)\}$ :  $G$  գրաֆի  $f$  2-տրոհումը կոչվում է լոկալ-հավասարակշռված տրոհում փակ շրջակայքով, եթե կամայական  $v \in V(G)$  գագաթի համար տեղի ունի

$$||\{u \in N_G[v]: f'(u) = \mathbf{White}\}| - |\{u \in N_G[v]: f'(u) = \mathbf{Black}\}|| \leq 1,$$

որտեղ  $N_G[v] = N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$ : Այս աշխատանքում տրվում են լրիվ բազմակողմանի գրաֆների լոկալ-հավասարակշռված տրոհումների գոյության անհրաժեշտ և բավարար պայմաններ:

## О локально-сбалансированных 2-разбиениях полных многодольных графов

А. Гарибян и П. Петросян

### Аннотация

2-разбиением графа  $G$  называется функция  $f : V(G) \rightarrow \{\mathbf{White}, \mathbf{Black}\}$ . 2-разбиение  $f$  графа  $G$  называется локально-сбалансированным с открытой окрестностью, если для любой вершины  $v \in V(G)$

$$||\{u \in N_G(v): f(u) = \mathbf{White}\}| - |\{u \in N_G(v): f(u) = \mathbf{Black}\}|| \leq 1,$$

где  $N_G(v) = \{u \in V(G): uv \in E(G)\}$ . 2-разбиение  $f$  графа  $G$  называется локально-сбалансированным с закрытой окрестностью, если для любой вершины  $v \in V(G)$

$$||\{u \in N_G[v]: f'(u) = \mathbf{White}\}| - |\{u \in N_G[v]: f'(u) = \mathbf{Black}\}|| \leq 1,$$

где  $N_G[v] = N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$ . В настоящей работе даются необходимые и достаточные условия существования локально-сбалансированных 2-разбиений полных многодольных графов.