

# JOURNAL OF HORTICULTURAL SCIENCES

Volume 16

December 2021

Issue 2





# JOURNAL OF HORTICULTURAL SCIENCES

Volume 16

Issue 2

June 2021

## CONTENTS

### ***In this Issue***

i-ii

### ***Review***

- Phytoremediation of indoor air pollutants: Harnessing the potential of plants beyond aesthetics** 131-143  
Shalini Jhanji and U.K.Dhatt

### ***Research Articles***

- Response of fruit yield and quality to foliar application of micro-nutrients in lemon [*Citrus limon* (L.) Burm.] cv. Assam lemon** 144-151  
Sheikh K.H.A., Singh B., Haokip S.W., Shankar K., Debbarma R.
- Studies on high density planting and nutrient requirement of banana in different states of India** 152-163  
Debnath Sanjit Bauri F.K., Swain S., Patel A.N., Patel A.R., Shaikh N.B., Bhalerao V.P., Baruah K., Manju P.R., Suma A., Menon R., Gutam S. and P. Patil
- Mineral nutrient composition in leaf and root tissues of fifteen polyembryonic mango genotypes grown under varying levels of salinity** 164-176  
Nimbolkar P.K., Kurian R.M., Varalakshmi L.R., Upreti K.K., Laxman R.H. and D. Kalaivanan
- Optimization of GA3 concentration for improved bunch and berry quality in grape cv. Crimson Seedless (*Vitis vinifera* L)** 177-184  
Satisha J., Kumar Sampath P. and Upreti K.K.
- RGAP molecular marker for resistance against yellow mosaic disease in ridge gourd [*Luffa acutangula* (L.) Roxb.]** 185-192  
Kaur M., Varalakshmi B., Kumar M., Lakshmana Reddy D.C., Mahesha B. and Pitchaimuthu M.
- Genetic divergence study in bitter melon (*Momordica charantia* L.)** 193-198  
Nithinkumar K.R., Kumar J.S.A., Varalakshmi B, Mushrif S.K., Ramachandra R.K. , Prashanth S.J.
- Combining ability studies to develop superior hybrids in bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum* var. *grossum* L.)** 199-205  
Varsha V., Smaranika Mishra, Lingaiah H.B., Venugopalan R., Rao K.V. Kattedgoudar J. and Madhavi Reddy K.
- SSR marker development in *Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench using transcriptome sequencing and genetic diversity studies** 206-214  
Gayathri M., Pitchaimuthu M. and K.V. Ravishankar



<b>Generation mean analysis of important yield traits in Bitter gourd (<i>Momordica charantia</i>)</b>	215-221
Swamini Bhoi, Varalakshmi B., Rao E.S., Pitchaimuthu M. and Hima Bindu K.	
<b>Influence of phenophase based irrigation and fertigation schedule on vegetative performance of chrysanthemum (<i>Dendranthema grandiflora</i> Tzelev.) var. Marigold</b>	222-233
Vijayakumar S., Sujatha A. Nair, Nair A.K., Laxman R.H. and Kalaivanan D.	
<b>Performance evaluation of double type tuberose IHR-4 (IC-0633777) for flower yield, quality and biotic stress response</b>	234-240
Bharathi T.U., Meenakshi Srinivas, Umamaheswari R. and Sonavane, P.	
<b>Anti-fungal activity of <i>Trichoderma atroviride</i> against <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f. sp. <i>Lycopersici</i> causing wilt disease of tomato</b>	241-250
Yogalakshmi S., Thiruvudainambi S., Kalpana K., Thamizh Vendan R. and Oviya R.	
<b>Seed transmission of bean common mosaic virus-blackeye cowpea mosaic strain (BCMV-BICM) threaten cowpea seed health in the Ashanti and Brong-Ahafo regions of Ghana</b>	251-260
Adams F.K., Kumar P.L., Kwoseh C., Ogunsanya P., Akromah R. and Tetteh R.	
<b>Effect of container size and types on the root phenotypic characters of <i>Capsicum</i></b>	261-270
Raviteja M.S.V., Laxman R.H., Rashmi K., Kannan S., Namratha M.R. and Madhavi Reddy K.	
<b>Physio-morphological and mechanical properties of chillies for mechanical harvesting</b>	271-279
Yella Swami C., Senthil Kumaran G., Naik R.K., Reddy B.S. and Rathina Kumari A.C.	
<b>Assessment of soil and water quality status of rose growing areas of Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh in India</b>	280-286
Varalakshmi LR., Tejaswini P., Rajendiran S. and K.K. Upreti	
<b>Qualitative and organoleptic evaluation of immature cashew kernels under storage</b>	287-291
Sharon Jacob and Sobhana A.	
<b>Physical quality of coffee bean (<i>Coffea arabica</i> L.) as affected by harvesting and drying methods</b>	292-300
Chala T., Lamessa K. and Jalata Z	
<b>Vegetative vigour, yield and field tolerance to leaf rust in four F1 hybrids of coffee (<i>Coffea arabica</i> L.) in India</b>	301-308
Divya K. Das, Shivanna M.B. and Prakash N.S.	
<b>Limonene extraction from the zest of <i>Citrus sinensis</i>, <i>Citrus limon</i>, <i>Vitis vinifera</i> and evaluation of its antimicrobial activity</b>	309-314
Wani A.K., Singh R., Mir T.G. and Akhtar N.	
<b>Event Report</b>	315-318
<b>National Horticultural Fair 2021 - A Success Story</b>	
Dhananjaya M.V., Upreti K.K. and Dinesh M.R.	
<b>Subject index</b>	319-321
<b>Author index</b>	322-323

**Original Research Paper**

## Optimization of GA<sub>3</sub> concentration for improved bunch and berry quality in grape cv. Crimson Seedless (*Vitis vinifera* L)

Satisha J.<sup>1\*</sup>, Kumar Sampath P.<sup>1</sup> and Upreti K.K.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Fruit Crops; <sup>2</sup>Division of Basic Sciences

ICAR-Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Hesaraghatta lake post, Bengaluru – 560089, Karnataka, India

\*Corresponding author Email: Satisha.j@icar.gov.in

### ABSTRACT

Crimson Seedless is a coloured grape, gaining popularity in India for its attractive colour, bunch and berry quality with better shelf life. In cultivation of any seedless grape variety, application of GA<sub>3</sub> at different stages is very much essential to produce good quality berries and bunches. However, this variety is highly sensitive to excess application which adversely affects bunch quality. Thus, there is a need to standardize mild dose of GA<sub>3</sub> for rachis elongation which will help to reduce bunch compactness to a greater extent. Hence, an experiment was initiated to standardize concentration of GA<sub>3</sub> for rachis elongation of Crimson Seedless grapes. Three different concentrations of GA<sub>3</sub> (viz., 5 ppm, 7.5 ppm, and 10 ppm) were sprayed during pre bloom stage and compared with unsprayed control. Among different treatments, pre-bloom spray of GA<sub>3</sub>@5 ppm could produce less compact bunches with highest average bunch weight, berry weight, berry length and TSS. However, bunches sprayed with 7.5 ppm and 10 ppm GA<sub>3</sub> could also produce good quality bunches, average berry weight with TSS. Because of severe coiling of rachis at 7.5 ppm and 10 ppm GA<sub>3</sub> spraying, bunches were too straggly compared to spraying of 5 ppm GA<sub>3</sub>. The control bunches without GA<sub>3</sub> produced very compact clusters with less average bunch weight, berry weight, berry diameter and berry length.

**Keywords:** Crimson Seedless, cluster compactness, fruit quality, GA<sub>3</sub>, grapes and rachis elongation

### INTRODUCTION

Grape cultivation in India is highly remunerative owing to its high foreign exchange with maximum net returns to grape growers. Thompson Seedless is the preferred variety by growers and more than 70% of the area under grape cultivation is occupied by Thompson Seedless and its clonal selections like Tas-A-Ganesh, Sonaka, Manik Chaman *etc.* Though Thompson Seedless is the internationally accepted table grape across the globe, in recent years many new green and colored varieties are dominating in the export market. The important varieties are Crimson Seedless, Fantasy Seedless, Red Globe, Autumn Royal *etc.* Due to change in the international export market scenario, the area under coloured grape varieties is steadily increasing in mild tropical climatic regions of India especially in southern India. The important cultivars grown there are Flame Seedless, Sharad Seedless (Syn: Kishmish Cheyrni) and its clonal selections, Red Globe, Crimson Seedless *etc.* Though

most of the cultural practices are similar to that of Thompson Seedless, their response is different for growth regulator application and canopy management practices. Coloured grape variety Crimson Seedless is gaining importance in recent years due to their superior quality with respect to bunch and berry parameters and extended shelf life.

Gibberellic acid (GA) is commonly used in grape cultivation to improve size of berries and length of clusters. Though grapevine cultivars shows large variation in response to applied GA, the reasons for such variations are unclear. This variation in response of different varieties to GA<sub>3</sub> might be possible due to variation in GA signalling components and/or availability of bioactive GA (Acheampong *et al.*, 2017). Unlike seeded varieties of grapes, berries of the small stenospermic grape varieties like Thompson Seedless, Flame Seedless, and Crimson Seedless *etc.* will have lower concentration of GA as they carry



rudimentary seed traces due to abortion of endosperm following fertilization (Cheng *et al.*, 2013). Hence, external application of GA<sub>3</sub> is routinely followed to stimulate development of berries in stenospermic varieties for commercial acceptance of berry size in addition to flower thinning and rachis elongation (Weaver, 1965; Harrell and Williams, 1987). Thompson Seedless grapes require quite higher concentration of GA<sub>3</sub> which is to be applied at different stages of cluster development to attain desirable bunch and berry qualities (Chadha and Shikhamany, 1999). Without the knowledge on concentration of GA<sub>3</sub> to be applied to Crimson Seedless, some of growers used similar concentrations as used for Thompson Seedless which resulted in adverse effects on bunch and berry quality parameters. However, application of higher concentration of GA<sub>3</sub> at different stages of berry development in Crimson Seedless grapes is found to be toxic and not advisable. Higher concentration of GA<sub>3</sub> results in excessive berry thinning (straggly clusters) and shot berry formation, as well as an unacceptable reduction in fruitfulness in the following year (Dokoozlian *et al.*, 2000). Higher concentration of GA<sub>3</sub> sometimes causes lignifications and contortion of the rachis (Aguero *et al.*, 2000). Iqbal *et al.* (2011) suggested that GA rates @ 20 g/ac effective for berry sizing are detrimental to the productivity and fruit quality of Crimson Seedless. Hence, there was a need to optimize the concentration of GA<sub>3</sub> to elongate rachis which can improve the overall bunch and berry quality parameters. Higher concentration of GA<sub>3</sub> used arbitrarily was found to have adverse effect wherein it caused severe coiling of rachis. Under tropical climatic conditions of India, no information is available on concentration of GA<sub>3</sub> to be used to improve rachis elongation in Crimson Seedless grapes. Hence, the present investigation was taken up to standardize the concentration of GA<sub>3</sub> to be sprayed at pre-bloom stage to improve bunch and berry characters.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was undertaken at the experimental vineyard of ICAR - Indian Institute of Horticultural Research (ICAR - IHR) located at Hessaraghatta, Bengaluru during three consecutive years 2016-17 to 2018-19. It is situated at an elevation of 890 meters above sea level, 12° 68' North latitude and 77°38' East latitude. Four year old vines of cv. Crimson Seedless grafted on Dogridge rootstock and trained on to 'Y'

trellis were utilized for imposition of treatments. The spacing followed was 3.3m × 2.0m. Throughout the experiment regular soil management and plant protection practices were followed in compliance with the schedule developed for successful grape cultivation in the region. Similar to the practices followed in most of the tropical grape growing countries, the vines were pruned twice in a year once after harvest of previous crop which is popularly known as foundation pruning. This pruning usually coincides with summer season and is done to encourage canes with fruitful buds. Again on these developed canes, one more pruning was done retaining 5-6 buds per cane, encouraging cluster development which is usually called as fruit pruning. Different concentrations of GA<sub>3</sub> viz., 5 ppm (5 mg/L), 7.5 ppm (7.5 mg/L) and 10 ppm (10 mg/L) were sprayed at panicle emergence stage (23-28 days after pruning, EL stage 15) along with one treatment as control (water spray). The stock solution of GA<sub>3</sub> was prepared just before spraying, by dissolving 1g of GA<sub>3</sub> in 5 ml absolute alcohol and make up the volume to 1 litre using distilled water. From this stock solution desired concentrations were made with suitable dilutions. The experiment was laid out as randomized block design with 4 treatments and seven replications. Each treatment consisted of six vines. In each replication 20 clusters were tagged to record all the bunch and berry quality parameters. Berry physiochemical analysis was performed immediately after harvest. Average berry weight, berry diameter and berry length were measured as per the standard procedures using electronic balance and measuring scale. Cluster compactness was calculated using number of berries per bunch and total length of rachis and first five rachillae. Berry total soluble solids (TSS) was measured using temperature compensated refractometer calibrated at Room Temperature of 25°C. Titratable acidity was measured using titration method where in 10 ml of grape juice was titrated against 0.1 N sodium hydroxide using phenolphthalein as indicator. Peel anthocyanin concentration was estimated as per the procedure reported by Fuleki, (1969) using spectrophotometer and quantity of anthocyanin in the sample was calculated using cyanidin hydrochloride as standard and expressed as mg/100g fresh weight. Total phenol content in grape juice was estimated by spectrophotometric method using Folin Ciocalteu Reagent (FCR) as per the method developed by Singleton and Rossi, (1965). Total sugar was estimated by the method developed by Somyogi, (1952) and expressed in g/100gFW. The

average of three years observations were used for statistical analysis. SPSS for Windows version 9.0 and Microsoft Excel 2003 were used to carry out statistical analysis and graphical data presentation.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Significant differences among the treatments were recorded for rachis length in response to different concentrations of GA<sub>3</sub> applied. The clusters treated with GA<sub>3</sub> @ 5 ppm recorded highest total rachis length of 124.90 cm followed by those treated with GA<sub>3</sub> @ 7.5 ppm which recorded rachis length of 89.52cm (Table 1). The least length of the rachis (55.68cm) was


recorded in untreated control. Though higher rachis length of more than 124.90 cm was recorded when GA<sub>3</sub> was applied at 10 ppm, there was severe coiling of rachis which affected the bunch quality at later stages of berry development with respect to shape, appearance, lignified rachis etc. Statistically significant differences among the treatments were recorded for bunch compactness. GA<sub>3</sub> at 5 ppm recorded the less bunch compactness (0.94 berries / cm of rachis length) among all the treatments resulting in development of loose cluster, while in treatment where no GA<sub>3</sub> application was applied, it recorded maximum bunch compactness (2.59 berries/cm of rachis length)

**Table 1. Influence of different concentration of GA<sub>3</sub> on bunch characters of grape cv. Crimson Seedless (mean of three years)**

Treatments	Total length of Rachis (cm)	Total number of berries per bunch	Bunch compactness (no. of berries/cm of rachis)	Bunch weight (g)
GA <sub>3</sub> at 5ppm	124.90	102.40	00.94	507.42
GA <sub>3</sub> at 7.5ppm	089.50	110.42	01.26	482.04
GA <sub>3</sub> at 10ppm	132.90	119.11	01.11	499.55
Control	055.60	140.75	02.59	442.56
SEM ±	009.80	010.52	00.18	037.20
CD(P=0.05)	029.50	NS	00.54	NS

\*NS: Non Significant

resulting in very tight clusters. Though GA<sub>3</sub> @ 7.5 and 10 ppm could produce loose clusters, their bunch shape was not desirable due to coiling of rachis. Application of GA<sub>3</sub> at different concentrations has brought significant changes in cluster morphological parameters like rachis length, length of internodes, rachis weight etc. Rachis elongation is the most essential phenomenon to produce loose grape bunches. Application of GA<sub>3</sub> has brought significant changes in rachis length compared to control clusters and which might be due to lot of biochemical events which takes place at cellular level. There was negative correlation (-0.743) between the total rachis length and cluster compactness (Fig 1) which means, more the rachis length the number of berries per unit length is less indicating loose clusters. The bunch morphological



**Fig. 1. Correlation between total rachis length and cluster compactness in grape cv. Crimson Seedless**

\*\*Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (P<0.01)

parameters of the present experiment are in accordance with established reports on the application of GA<sub>3</sub> for improved berry and bunch characters (Looney and Wood, 1977; Molitor *et al.*, 2012; Weaver, 1958; Weaver, 1975). The rachis elongation is a complex process which requires enhanced carbon metabolism of sugar accumulation by phloem area expansion. The increased rachis elongation in our studies might be due to over expression of some proteins involves in these processes which belong to biological processes like generation of precursor metabolites, cellular protein metabolic process, responses to abiotic stimulus and protein processes (Ghule *et al.*, 2019a). The process of rachis elongation in response to applied GA<sub>3</sub> has been studied extensively at different levels *viz.*, phenotypic, physiological and transcriptomes (Domingos *et al.*, 2016; Upadhyay *et al.*, 2018). Most of these studies have indicated cell wall loosening and cell enlargement as the key physiological processes which are essential for rachis elongation to make grape clusters less compact. Schopfer (2001) and Liskay *et al.* (2004) in their studies reported that hydroxyl radicals generated via Fenton reaction with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> as the substrate which helps in cell wall loosening and cell enlargement. Similarly some of the proteins associated with cell biogenesis like IRX15-LIKE like proteins which are involved in secondary wall participate in xylan biosynthesis as they are major hemicelluloses in secondary cell walls of most of dicotyledonous plants (Brown *et al.*, 2011). Similarly, the process of cell wall elongation and wall loosening involves significant alterations in the properties of cell wall polysaccharides. Nunan *et al.* (2001) predicted the activation of some of the enzymes that participate in cell wall modification. In our study also, the protein EOCPF 1 ( $\beta$  galactosidase BG1) belonging to carbohydrate, monosaccharide, and galactose metabolism might have played a key role in elongating the cell wall which usually exists with other proteins, *viz.* pectin methylesterase, polygalacturonase, and xyloglucan endotransglycosylase.

Though no difference was recorded for total bunch weight in response to application of different concentrations of GA<sub>3</sub> which is a factor of number of berries per cluster, GA<sub>3</sub> at 5 ppm recorded maximum bunch weight (507.48g) among the all treatments while treatment without GA<sub>3</sub> application recorded the least bunch weight (442.54g). But, application of GA<sub>3</sub> brought a significant difference in individual berry

weight wherein GA<sub>3</sub> @ 5 ppm registered maximum berry weight (4.93g) followed by GA<sub>3</sub>@ 7.5ppm (4.85g). The least average berry weight was recorded in untreated control T<sub>4</sub> (3.98g). Some of the mechanisms proposed for GA<sub>3</sub> action are increased activity of soluble invertase (Pérez and Gómez, 2000) and subsequent change in water potential of berries and modulation of aquaporin genes by GA<sub>3</sub> (Espinoza *et al.* 2009) to increase the water content of berries during berry growth. Recent proteome and transcriptome-based analyses (Cheng *et al.*, 2015; Wang *et al.*, 2012) have also shown GA<sub>3</sub>-mediated modulation of several genes involved in cell expansion and cell wall modification which might be responsible for the increase in berry size and volume. In a study to see the effect of GA<sub>3</sub> on berry sizing in Thompson Seedless grapes, Ghule *et al.* (2019 b) reported the increased size of berries in GA<sub>3</sub> applied bunches and was attributed to increase level of peroxidase as early response and suppressed level of catalase and glutaredoxin as late response and concluded that berry enlargement might have influenced by expression of antioxidant enzymes such as catalase and peroxidase which was also suggested by Wang *et al.* (2017).

No significant difference was recorded for berry quality parameters like berry diameter, Total soluble solids etc (Table 2). However, titratable acidity was found to be highest in control vines (0.52%) while the least acidity (0.41%) was recorded in clusters treated with 5 ppm GA<sub>3</sub>. Observations on anthocyanin concentration are presented in Table 3. Significant differences among the treatments were recorded. Among all treatments bunches treated with GA<sub>3</sub> @ 7.5ppm (247.914mg/100g) registered maximum anthocyanin concentration (Table 3) followed by GA<sub>3</sub>@ 5ppm T<sub>1</sub> (177.327 mg/100g). The least anthocyanin concentration was recorded in bunches with no GA<sub>3</sub> application *i.e.*, T<sub>4</sub> (167.143 mg/100g). The highest anthocyanin concentration in treatment with 7.5 ppm GA<sub>3</sub> might be due to its lower total sugar concentration which has exhibited negative correlation ( $r = -0.413$ , Fig 2) and vice versa in treatments with GA<sub>3</sub> @ 5 ppm and 10 ppm. The sugar conversion into anthocyanin biosynthesis is reported by few workers in different flowers and fruit crops as reported by Ozer *et al.* (2012). Our findings are in accordance with that of Peppi *et al.* (2006), where the application of gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) was effective at increasing the


**Table 2. Influence of different concentration of GA<sub>3</sub> on berry quality parameters of grape cv. Crimson Seedless (mean of three years)**

Treatments	50 berry weight (g)	Average berry weight (g)	Berry diameter (mm)	Berry length (mm)	TSS (°B)	Acidity (%)
GA <sub>3</sub> at 5 ppm	246.41	4.92	17.31	25.82	18.52	0.24
GA <sub>3</sub> at 7.5 ppm	242.51	4.81	17.30	25.43	17.57	0.32
GA <sub>3</sub> at 10 ppm	233.44	4.63	17.43	24.64	17.66	0.41
Control	199.35	3.92	16.82	22.77	18.21	0.51
SEM ±	8.843	0.17	0.27	0.47	0.40	0.054
CD (P = 0.05)	26.27	0.53	NS	1.40	NS	0.16

\*NS: Non Significant

**Table 3. Influence of different concentration of GA<sub>3</sub> on berry quality parameters of grape cv. Crimson Seedless (mean of three years)**

Treatments	Anthocyanin concentration (mg/100g)	Total phenols (mg/100g)	Total sugars (g/100g)
GA <sub>3</sub> at 5ppm	177.30	112.70	18.20
GA <sub>3</sub> at 7.5ppm	247.90	172.60	15.90
GA <sub>3</sub> at 10ppm	173.70	217.60	16.00
Control	167.10	155.10	17.40
SEM ±	016.50	023.73	00.38
CD(P=0.05)	049.40	071.06	01.13


**Fig. 2. Correlation between anthocyanins and total sugars in grape cv. Crimson Seedless**

\*\*Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (P<0.01)

anthocyanins content of grape variety Flame Seedless. The use of higher concentrations of GA<sub>3</sub> (over 50 ppm) leads to a reduction in the content of anthocyanins in berries (Rusjan, 2010) and this in turn has an adverse effect on the organoleptic properties

of varieties with red and blue color of the skin intended for consumption in fresh condition.

Significant differences among the treatments were recorded with respect to total phenol content wherein, bunches treated with GA<sub>3</sub> @ 10ppm (217.605 mg/100g) registered maximum total phenols followed by GA<sub>3</sub> @ 7.5ppm T<sub>2</sub> (172.664mg/100g). The least total phenol was recorded in clusters treated with GA<sub>3</sub> @ 5ppm T<sub>1</sub> (112.752mg/100g). GA<sub>3</sub> (highest 3 concentrations) and CPPU treatments (highest 2 concentrations) significantly increased the total phenol content of the grapes after cold storage Avenant *et al* (2017). Increased phenol content of 'Regal Seedless' was correlated with an increased astringent taste (Fraser, 2007), with serious negative implications regarding consumer preferences and market access. Application of higher concentration of GA<sub>3</sub> might not only reduce the physical appearance of cluster with respect to lignifications of rachis but also reduce the chemical properties with respect to reduced sugar



content and more phenolic compounds as evidenced in present study which is in accordance with the findings of Avenant *et al.* (2017).

Significant differences among the treatments were recorded for total sugars. Among all treatments bunches treated with GA<sub>3</sub> at 5 ppm (18.211g/100g) registered maximum total sugars followed by bunches without GA<sub>3</sub> application (17.444g/100g). The least total sugars was recorded in bunches treated with GA<sub>3</sub> at 7.5 ppm (15.914g/100g). The increase in reducing, non-reducing and total sugars might be ascribed to the conversion of starch and acids into sugars in addition to continuous mobilization of sugars from leaves to berries (Singh *et al.*, 1993). Singh and Khanduja, (1977) further reported that the application of GA<sub>3</sub> in Pusa Seedless showed increased sugars and decreased acidity content. Application of GA<sub>3</sub> at rachis elongation stage might have stimulated internal synthesis of GA<sub>3</sub> in young berries which might have increased the sink drawing ability leading to more accumulation of sugars in treated berries than in control. The phloem loading capacity is increased or stimulated by application of GA<sub>3</sub> in many crops which helps in better translocation of photosynthates synthesized in leaves to young berries via phloem vessels. Application of GA<sub>3</sub> modifies phloem loading, phloem area and increased expression of sugar transporters to enhance carbon metabolism (Murcia *et al.*, 2016). A ten-fold increase in some of the genes

involved in sugar transport and metabolism was observed in Malbec grapes compared to control. A positive correlation was observed between photosynthesis and stomatal conductance in GA<sub>3</sub> treated vines (Murcia *et al.*, 2016). Berry growth is stimulated due to increase in rate of cell division as well as cell elongation (Dokoozlian and Peacock, 2001). Plant hormones have strong effects on berry growth and development (Guerios *et al.*, 2016) among them, GAs take part in a critical function in berry sizing and enlargement (Weaver and McCune, 1960). In the last few years, the effect of exogenous GA<sub>3</sub> application on grape berry growth and cell enlargement has been studied by several researchers; however, the basic mode of action of GA<sub>3</sub> to produce maximum berry size is not very clear.

GA<sub>3</sub> applications may also have negative effects on grapevine, including excessive reduction of the number of berries per cluster, the production of grassy or herbaceous flavors in the fruit, a reduction in tissue winter hardiness and a reduction in node fruitfulness. These phytotoxic effects of GA tend to become more pronounced in the seeded varieties. Considering the above findings from the present study and other supported results from different workers, it might be summarized that GA<sub>3</sub> at 5 ppm might be optimum for bringing about desirable changes in bunch morphology in Crimson Seedless. Super or suboptimal level of GA<sub>3</sub> might result in adverse effect on bunch characters.

## REFERENCES

- Acheampong, A.K., Hu, J., Rotman, A., Zheng, C., Halaly, T. and Takebayashi, Y. 2015. Functional characterization and developmental expression profiling of gibberellin signalling components in *Vitis vinifera*. *J. Expt. Bot.*, **66**: 1463–1476.
- Aguero, C., Vigliocco, A., Abdala, G. and Tizio, R. 2000. Effect of gibberellic acid and uniconazole on embryo abortion in the stenospermocarpic grape cultivars Emperatriz and Perlon. *Plant Growth Regulation*, **30**:9–16.
- Avenant, J. H., 2017. Effect of Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) and N (2-Chloro-4 pyridyl), - N-phenylurea (CPPU) treatments to reduce or eliminate browning of white table grape cultivars. *Acta Horticulture*, **4**: 189-193.
- Brown, D., Wrightman, R., Zhang, Z., Gomez, L.D., Atanassov, I., Bukowski, J.P., Tryfona, T., McQueen-Mason, S.J., Dupree, P. and Turner, S. 2011. Arabidopsis genes IRREGULAR XYLEM (IRX15) and IRX15L encode DUF579-containing proteins that are essential for normal xylan deposition in the secondary cell wall. *Plant Journal*, **3**: 401-413.
- Chadha, K.L. and Shikhamany, S. D. 1999. The Grape-Improvement, Production and Post Harvest Management (ISBN: 81-85048-40-1), Malhotra Publishing House, New Delhi. Pp: 689
- Cheng, C., Jiao, C., Singer, S.D., Gao, M., Xu, X., Zhi, Y.Z., Fei, Z., Wang, Y. and Wang, X. 2015. Gibberellin-induced changes in the transcriptome of grapevine (*Vitis labrusca* × *V. vinifera*) cv. Kyoho flowers. *BMC Genomics*, **16**: 128.

- Cheng, C., Xu, X., Singer, S.D., Li, J., Zhang, H. and Gao, M. 2013. Effect of GA<sub>3</sub> treatment on seed development and seed-related gene expression in grape. *PLoS ONE*, **8**: e80044.
- Dokoozlian, N.K. and Peacock, W.L. 2001. Gibberellic acid applied at bloom reduces fruit set and improves size of 'Crimson Seedless' table grapes. *Hort Sci.* **36**: 706-709.
- Domingos, S., Fino, J., Cardoso, V., Sanchez, C., Ramalho, J.C., Larcher, R., Paulo, O.S., Oliveira C.M. and Goulao, L.F. 2016. Shared and divergent pathways for flower abscission are triggered by gibberellic acid and carbon starvation in seedless *Vitis vinifera* L. *BMC Plant Biol.* **16**: 38. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-016-0722-7>
- Espinoza, C., Medina, C., Somerville, S. and Arce-Johnson, P. 2007. Senescence-associated genes induced during compatible viral interactions with grapevine and *Arabidopsis*. *J. Expt. Bot.* **58**: 3197–3212.
- Fraser, W.J. 2007. Manipulation of the taste of Regal Seedless (*Vitis Vinifera* L.) table grapes. Master of Agriculture (Viticulture and Oenology) thesis, submitted to University of Stellenbosch. Pp: 173.
- Fuleki, T. 1969. The anthocyanins of strawberry, rhubarb, radish and onion. *Food Sci.* **34**: 365-369.
- Ghule, S.M., Upadhyay, A., Jogaiah, S. 2019. Proteomic analysis of GA<sub>3</sub> induced berry elongation in grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.) cv. Thompson Seedless. *Biosci. Biotech. Res. Asia.* **16**: 85-92.
- Ghule, S.M., Upadhyay, A., Jogaiah, S., Patil, S.S., Kadoo, N.Y. and Gupta, V.S. 2019. Whole proteome analysis of GA<sub>3</sub> response at panicle stage in grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.) cv. Thompson Seedless. *J. Plant Growth Regulation*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-019-10041-y>
- Guerios, I.T., Chiarotti, F., Cuquel, F.L. and Biasi, L.A. 2016. Growth regulator improves bunch and berry character in 'Niagara Rosada' grape. *Acta Hort.*, The Hague, **1115**: 243-248.
- Harrell, D.C. and Williams, L.E. 1987. Influence of girdling and gibberellic acid application at fruit set on Ruby Seedless and Thompson Seedless grapes. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* **38**: 83-88.
- Iqbal, N., Nazar, R., Iqbal, M., Khan, R., Masood, A. and Khan, A.N. 2011. Role of gibberellins in regulation of source–sink relations under optimal and limiting environmental conditions. *Current Sci.* **100**: 998-1007.
- Liszakay, A., van der Zalm, E. and Schopfer, P. 2004. Production of reactive oxygen intermediates (O<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, and OH) by maize roots and their role in wall loosening and elongation growth. *Plant Physiol.* **136**: 3114-3123.
- Looney, N.E. and Wood, D.F. 1977. Some cluster thinning and gibberellic effect on fruit set, berry size, vine growth and yield of De Chaunac grapes. *Canadian J. Plant Sci.* **57**: 653-659.
- Molitor, D., Behr, M., Hoffmann, L. and Evers, D. 2012. Impact of grape cluster division on cluster morphology and bunch rot epidemic. *Am. J. Enol. Vitic.* **63**: 508-514.
- Murcia, G., Pontin, M., Reinoso, H., Baraldi, R., Bertazza, G., Gomez-Talquenca, S., Bottini, R. and Piccoli, P.N. 2016. ABA and GA<sub>3</sub> Increase carbon allocation in different organs of grapevine plants by inducing accumulation of non-structural carbohydrates in leaves enhancement of phloem area and expression of sugar transporters. *Physiologia Plantarum*, **156**: 323–337. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.12390>
- Nunan, K.J., Davies, C., Robinson, S.P. and Fincher, G.B. 2001. Expression patterns of cell wall-modifying enzymes during grape berry development. *Planta*, **214**: 257–264. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s004250100609>
- Ozer, C., Yasasin, A.S., Ergonul, O. and Aydin, S. 2012. The effects of berry thinning and gibberellin on 'Recel Uzumu' table grapes. *Pakistan J. Agri. Sci.* **49**: 105-112.
- Peppi, M.C., Fidelibus, M.W. and Dokoozlian, N. 2006. Abscisic acid application timing and concentration affect firmness, pigmentation and colour of 'Flame Seedless' grapes. *Hort Sci.* **41**: 1440–1445.

- Pérez, F.J. and Gómez, M. 2000. Possible role of soluble invertase in the gibberellic acid berry-sizing effect in Sultana grape. *Plant Growth Reg.* **30**:111–116.
- Rusjan, D.2010. Impact of gibberellin (GA<sub>3</sub>) on sensorial quality and storability of table grape (*Vitis vinifera* L) *Acta Agricultura Slovenica*, **95**:163-173.
- Schopfer,P. 2001. Hydroxyl radical-induced cell-wall loosening *in vitro* and *in vivo*: implications for the control of elongation growth. *Plant J.***28**: 679–688. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313x.2001.01187.x>
- Singh, N.S. and Khanduja, S.D. 1977. Physical and biochemical changes during maturation of grapes (*Vitis vinifera*), *Indian J. Hort.* **34**: 354
- Singh, S., Singh, I.S. and Singh, D.N. 1993. Physico-chemical changes during development of seedless grapes (*Vitis vinifera* L) *Orissa J. Hort.* **21**: 43-46
- Singleton, V.L. and Rossi, J.A. 1965. A colorimetry method of total phenolics with phosphomolybdic- phosphotungstic acid reagents. *Am. J. Enol.Vitic.***16**: 144-158.
- Somyog, M. 1952. Notes on sugar determination. *J. Biol. Chem.* **195**: 19.
- Upadhyay, A., Maske S., Jogaiah, S., Kadoo, N.Y. and Gupta, V.S. 2018. GA<sub>3</sub> application in grapes (*Vitis vinifera* L.) modulates different sets of genes at cluster emergence, full bloom, and berry stage as revealed by RNA sequence-based transcriptome analysis. *Func. Int. Genomics.* **18**: 439-455.
- Wang, X., Zhao, M., Wu,W., Korir., N.K., Qian,Y. and Wang, Z. 2017. Comparative transcriptome analysis of berry-sizing effects of gibberellin (GA<sub>3</sub>) on seedless *Vitis vinifera* L. *Genes & Genomics*, **39**: 493-507.
- Wang, Z., Zhao, F., Zhao, X., Ge, H., Chai, L., Chen, S., Perl, A. and Ma, H. 2012. Proteomic analysis of berry sizing effect of GA<sub>3</sub> on seedless *Vitis vinifera* L. *Proteomics*, **12**: 86-94.
- Weaver, R.J. 1975. Effect of time of application of potassium gibberlate on cluster development of Zinfandel grapes. *Vitis*, **14**: 97-102.
- Weaver, R.J.1958. Effect of gibberellic acid on fruit set and berry enlargement in seedless grapes of *Vitis vinifera*. *Nature*, **181**:851-852.
- Weaver, R.J. and McCune, S.B. 1960. Further studies with gibberellin on *Vitis vinifera* grapes. *Bot. Gazette*, **121**: 155-162.
- Weaver, R.J. and Pool, R.M.1965. Bloom spraying with gibberellin loosens clusters of Thompson Seedless grapes. *California Agri.* **19**: 14-15.

**(Received on 21.08.2021, Revised on 11.10.2021 and Accepted on 17.11.2021)**