# NODA JOURNAL

VOLUME 1, NUMBER 1 FALL 1982

Published by the National Orientation Directors Association

## 

PERSONNEL PROFESSIONALS ...... 23

ENERGIZING STRATEGIES FOR STUDENT

Richard B. Bares

Norman E. Hiersche



Margaret A. Cibik, Ed.D.

# Opinionnaire: An Orientation Tool

An opinionnaire produces a survey of opinions. The primary purpose for utilizing this particular opinionnaire is to make evident to both students and parents that their respective views differ, often highly significantly, on a variety of topics. The goal is to demonstrate the need for open and effective communication between students and parents. There is no intent, nor attempt, to discuss values nor to make value judgments.

Sharing the results of the opinionnaire with students and parents assists in making both groups more receptive to discussing methods for enhancing their communication skills and in preparing them both for some changes which can be anticipated.

Once the subject of how students change arises, the author has found that the parents who already have had one or more children go away to college are willing to share their personal experiences with those who are having this occur for the first time. The "first time" parents appreciate this sharing and insight, learning something of what to expect and how to cope with some of the changes. The discussion frequently includes, also, how the parents change as the family leaves home.

The Northern Arizona University opinionnaire is printed on an opscan form to permit immediate machine scoring and statistical evaluation utilizing the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) Program.

The opinionnaire can be structured for a specific purpose and the specific institution. Items used on the Northern Arizona University opinionnaire are in Table 1, and were selected from suggestions made by the Student Services Division staff, student service group members, and the student orientation counselors. Some revisions in the opinionnaire items will be made this year, based on suggestions from the 1981 orientation participants and additional input from the student services staff.

The opinionnaire results discussed in this article are based on useable responses from 1155 of the 1326 students and 679 of the 897 parents who participated in the 1981 summer orientation program at Northern Arizona University.

A highly significant difference was found between responses of parents and students in 28 of the 37 items. Items in which a significant difference was found, and the level of significance, are presented in Table 2.

Some of the differences were expected. For example, it was anticipated that students would be more liberal about alcohol use, residence hall options, and visitation privileges than their parents.

In Item 1, "alcohol should be allowed at social events on campus," 65.1% of the students, but only 33.6% of the parents, agreed with the

Margaret A. Cibik is Director of Admissions and New Student Program, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, Arizona.

#### 6 noda JOURNAL

statement. In Item 7, "the legal drinking age in Arizona should be raised from 19 to 21," only 12.9% of the students, but 51% of the parents, agreed with the statement. In Item 3, "alcohol should be sold in campus unions," 34.6% of the students, and 15.5% of the parents, agreed with that statement.

### PREVIEWS 1981 Opinionnaire



CENTRAL PHEROSE OFFICE NAMED

INDICATE THE MOST APPROPRIATE RESPONSE 1 ASPES 2 DISAGR	11		
1. Accord should be proved as social events on sample		Yes	No
2. A 24-hi intersect option should be available an residence right.		Yes	No
3. Formy planning, counted by and contracted vest should be available shroup	h the campus health center.	Yes	No
4. Grades should be serving students only and not to parents.		Yes	No
S Committee alternate Noons represents he is should be available as an earse.		Yes	No
I Students are adults, therefore, what they go in their noons should not be	repervised nor regulated.	Yes	No
7. The right drinking age in Associal should be reused from 16 to 21.		Yes	No
8 The use of manusara should be legalized		Yes	No
9 Marquette is more dangerous stran alcoher.		Yes	No
All students should be allowed to have said on sampus		Yes	No
Magentifessmen should have more perchapes than freshmen (parking, hou	ong elit	Yes	No
2. Assuming students, should have promy for selection of residence hell room	T).	Yes	No
2 A refleçe degree is more indoctave copy than in the pair.		Yes	No
4. Where projetive no prooting arters should be provided in residence and a	hing halfs	Yes	No
5. It is lift right for a religible to leve together before, or as lieu of, repringe		Yes	Mo
<ol> <li>Actiple should not conylitive, rules which violate their personal elevisive</li> </ol>	wis	Yes	No
7 Chedicare receives enough to provided an earnpus transpir student free-		Yes	No
6. Colleges should secure Hidding, wasting competences below admitting at	uterts	Yes	No
<ol> <li>Colleges should retain reading, writing competencies before allowing a r</li> </ol>	Lacent to graduate	Yes	No
<ol> <li>Refejecut danisters should be allowed to speak an campus.</li> </ol>		Yes	No
Women should begin a career prior to manuage and Mendy requirescent e		Yes	No
2. Class a tendence should be a student's responsibility, not an administrative	er nor laculty person	Yes	No
Follows redem are more concerned about world afters than shacents or	Fix life sales	Yes	No
4. Students tools are midle salverned about there selves than about others.		Yes	No
5. Students who work while astending college your better grades then the	se who do not work.	Yes	No
A skelent simply should be he own decision, not he parents.		Yes	No
7. Six al estimies swolvement are as important as academics in college.		Yes	No
28. Stational should were partitione to earn their own spanning money.		Yes	No
S. Farens should withthew treerest support it classified with their son a	rdesgreen's college decisions assume	Yes	No

			CIDIK	-	
50	The excisel responsibility for working our openwise differences lies with the recommission not the staff.	Yes	No	=	
	The second secon	res	Ped	=	
21.	Alcohol should be sold in the samples servers	Yes	No	-	
				-	
22.	"Wheeping out" bitopoing out of school for a year or see is an eight	Yes	Mo	-	
33.	The University's removity enrollment should be in proportion to that in the state.	Yes	No	=	
		144	140	-	
34	Students' receiving Energial entitioning from parameters should be executable to them	Yes	No	-	
28.	Students, not perents intoxic be responsible for biscoping their education.	Yes	No	=	
		102	****	-	
36.	Job sall Machine is more important than salary.	Yes	No	-	
37	Pears have more influence than perent on the individual student.	Yes	No	=	
1	DO NOT WELL BLIDW DIS LINE	THE	H	Ξ	
	QQQQQQ EXAMPLES IMPORTANT DIDLETONS	IMM	11 1	=	
	000000 Menter FOR MARRIAG RESPONSE	21.14	14		
3	OGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG	1616	A	-	
出	OTOTOTOTOTOT	i school	47 17	-	
13	ENERGIES AND A Material Materials and the second of the se	1 1 10 19	27	-	
20	COCOCO VARIANTA	1. 2 42	40	-	
<u>~</u>	BOOKOC Hotel 4 has been as asset has	1 1 1 1 1	8.5	_	
(i)	GOOGOO 40000 • Mare to son, ander a managementare	最高	50	=	
	The state of the s	a to the contract	reduction.		

CIMIL 7

Pertaining to residence hall life, in Item 2, "a 24-hour visitation option should be available in residence halls," 75.1% of the students, but only 25.3% of the parents, agreed with that statement. In Item 5, "coed (on alternate floors) residence halls should be available as an option," 89.2% of the students, and 58.7% of the parents, agreed with the statement.

In Item 5, "it is all right for a couple to live together before, or in lieu of, marriage," 64.8% of the students, but only 27.6% of the parents, agreed with that statement.

Parents agreed with requiring admittance and graduation competency testing, with 90% indicating each of these tests should be required. Only 76% and 77% of the students agreed that admission and graduation testing, respectively, should be required. Although a higher percentage of parents agreed, a majority of both groups agreed competency testing should be required.

In Item 25, "students who work while attending college earn better grades than those who do not work," only 14.1% of the students, and 34.7% of the parents agreed. Yet, national studies have indicated working students do earn better grades.

In some items, a significant difference was not anticipated and did not occur. For example, in Item 4, "where possible, no-smoking areas should be provided in residence and dining halls," 93.9% of the students and 95.0% of the parents agreed.

In some items, a significant difference was anticipated but did not occur. For example, in Item 23, "today's students are more concerned about world affairs than students in the late sixties," it was expected that today's students would disagree but that parents would agree. However, less than half of both groups, 48.7% of the students and 48.9% of the parents, agreed with the statement.

In a related item, Item 24, "students today are more concerned about themselves than about others," over half of both groups agreed. Fifty-four percent of the parents and 55.4% of the students agreed with this statement.

The students' attitudes are considerably more liberal than the parents.

1981 Opinionnaire Results Items with Significant Difference Between Students and Parents Responses TABLE 2

Item

Number	Item Description	Level of Significance
-	Alcohol at social events on campus	000.
2	24 hour visitation option	000
e	Family planning and Contraceptives	000.
4	Grades only sent to students	000.
10	Coed residence halls	000.
9	Students are adults	000
7	Drinking age from 19 to 21	000
80	Legalized marijuana	000
69	Marijuana worse than alcohol	000
10	Cars on campus	000:
1	Upperclassmen more privileged	000
12	Returning student priority	000
13	Living together is OK	0000
14	Personal values vs. laws	100.

Table 2 (Continued)

0000	lable z (Continued)	
Item Number	Item Description	Level of Significance
15	Child care facilities	000.
16	Admittance competency tests	000.
17	Graduation competency tests	000:
18	Religious speakers on campus	000.
19	Class attendance	000
20	Working students get better grades	000:
21	Student's major	600'
22	Social activities are important	.004
23	Students should work part-time	000.
24	Unhappy parents withdraw support	000.
25	Alcohol sold in unions	000
26	Slopping out is OK	000:
27	Account to parents	000
28	Peers more influential	.042

Again, by making both groups aware of differences in their values, emphasis is placed on the need to communicate openly with each other.

Discussing the results of the survey resulted in many laughs, some surprises, and some concern. However, in both the parents' and students' groups, the results served as an initial ice-breaker. Students had very open and candid discussions with their student orientation counselors. Parents shared their experiences and concerns readily with each other and with the student services staff session leaders. One interesting side note was the observation that students were more interested in the content of specific items but parents were interested in overall, general concepts, looking more at the broad implications of the results than did the students.

In summary, using an opinionnaire survey was found to be an extremely beneficial tool during orientation programs, for both parents' and students' sessions. Some of the results were anticipated; others were not. The primary goal to establish an awareness of the need for open communication between parents and students was easily accomplished.

1982 NODA Conference October 3-6 Lexington, Ky. Sr. Barbara Werner, P.O.

# A Peer Advising Training Program

#### 1. Rationale:

Dominican College is a small, private liberal arts college located in Rockland County, New York. Its population is 1600 (including full-time, part-time, evening and weekend students), but it is a totally commuter college with no dormitories. In 1977, when the college was attempting to discover why its attrition rate was high, the advisement system was expanded to assure that students withdrawing from the college would be required to complete an "Exit Interview," before a transcript would be released. The exit interview is conducted by the Director of Student Development and then a questionnaire is completed by the student.

Semester by semester, statistics were gathered and analyzed. Some reasons cited for withdrawing were addressed and at least partially resolved: e.g., the parking situation (a van has been rendered for shuttle service). There are always items which cannot be resolved, such as dorms to live in. However, after studying some of these reasons, such as "couldn't find a group to belong to," "disliked snobbishness of campus social groups," and "disappointed with extracurricular activities" for examples, it seemed to the Freshman Directorate (the group of academic advisers to the Freshmen) that there was a further need to initiate a Peer Advising Program where interaction among peers would be assured and that "significant other" might be identified on campus.

Because there are no residence facilities on campus, it is harder for new students at DC to make friends (unless they come with some peers from high school). Search of the literature told us that peer-to-peer involvement had high credibility and, in some situations, can aid in retention of students. Also, the needs of the present student body were not adequately being met through the exisiting programs. This is why an attempt was made to establish a Peer Advising Program.

Some assumptions that were made were: since peer advisers are themselves involved in the college experience, they can better understand the pressures and problems of this situation than members of the staff. Peer advisers and students share a far more similar frame of reference. Student advisers do not represent "the establishment," authority or discipline. They do not appear guilty by association. According to research by Brown at Southwest Texas State, it was concluded that with careful selection and appropriate training, students can function effectively as advisers to their peers.

#### II. Purpose/Goals:

The goals of the "Peer Advising Program" are: to provide a learning ex-

Sister Barbara Werner, O.P., is chairperson of the Freshman Directorate, Dominican College of Blauvelt, Orangeburg, N.Y.