



Interval Value Fuzzy k -Ideals of a KU-Semigroup

Sally A. Talib

Fatema F. Kareem

Department of Mathematics, College of Education for Pure Science Ibn-Al-Haitham,
University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq.

sallyabdulkarim89@gmail.com

fa_sa20072000@yahoo.com

Article history: Received 23 June 2019, Accepted 6 August 2019, Published in April 2020.

Doi: 10.30526/33.2.2430

Abstract

The notion of interval value fuzzy k -ideal of KU-semigroup was studied as a generalization of a fuzzy k -ideal of KU-semigroup. Some results of this idea under homomorphism are discussed. Also, we presented some properties about the image (pre-image) for interval valued fuzzy k -ideals of a KU-semigroup. Finally, the product of interval valued fuzzy k -ideals is established.

Keywords: KU-algebra; KU-semigroup; interval value fuzzy S -ideal; interval value fuzzy k -ideal; interval value fuzzy P -ideal.

1. Introduction

Prabpayak and Leerawat [1,2]. Introduced the KU-algebra which is dual of BCK-algebra. In [3]. Kareem and Hasan introduced the KU-semigroups and defined some types of ideals in this concept. The fuzzy set was initiated by Zadeh, in [4]. Since then this concept has been applied in many distinct branches of mathematics such as groups, vector space, topological space and ring theory. In [5]. The idea of fuzzy KU-algebra was introduced by Mostafa et al. and the fuzzy KU-semigroup was studied by Elaf and Kareem in [6]. Fuzzy sets extensions such as intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Bipolar-valued fuzzy sets, and interval valued fuzzy sets were studied by many mathematicians see [7-12]. The notion of interval value fuzzy k -ideal of KU-semigroup was studied in this paper and few properties were investigated. Some results of these ideals in a KU-semigroup under homomorphism are discussed. The image of these ideals in a KU-semigroup was defined. Finally, the product of some ideals was established.

2. Preliminaries

In this part, we review some concepts related to KU-semigroup and interval valued fuzzy logic.

Definition (1) [1-2]. Algebra $(\mathfrak{N}, *, 0)$ is called a KU-algebra if, for all $\chi, \gamma, \tau \in \mathfrak{N}$,

$$(ku_1) (\chi * \gamma) * [(\gamma * \tau) * (\chi * \tau)] = 0,$$

$$(ku_2) \chi * 0 = 0,$$

$$(ku_3) 0 * \chi = \chi,$$

$$(ku_4) \chi * \gamma = 0 \text{ and } \gamma * \chi \text{ implies } \chi = \gamma \text{ and,}$$

$$(ku_5) \chi * \chi = 0.$$

A binary relation \leq on \aleph is defined by $\chi \leq \gamma \Leftrightarrow \gamma * \chi = 0$. It follows that (\aleph, \leq) is a partially ordered set. Then, $(\aleph, *, 0)$ satisfies the following statements. For all $\chi, \gamma, \tau \in \aleph$,

$$(ku_1)[(\gamma * \tau) * (\chi * \tau)] \leq (\chi * \gamma),$$

$$(ku_2) 0 \leq \chi,$$

$$(ku_3) \chi \leq \gamma, \gamma \leq \chi \text{ implies } \chi = \gamma$$

$$(ku_4) \gamma * \chi \leq \chi .$$

Example (2)[1]. Let $\aleph = \{0, a, b, c\}$ be a set and $*$ a binary operation defined in the following table

*	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	b	c
a	0	0	0	b
b	0	b	0	a
c	0	0	0	0

It is easy to see that $(\aleph, *, 0)$ is a KU-algebra.

Theorem(3) [2]. Let $(\aleph, *, 0)$ be a KU-algebra. Then, for all $\chi, \gamma, \tau \in \aleph$,

$$(1) \text{ If } \chi \leq \gamma \text{ implies } \gamma * \tau \leq \chi * \tau,$$

$$(2) \chi * (\gamma * \tau) = \gamma * (\chi * \tau),$$

$$(3) ((\gamma * \chi) * \chi) \leq \gamma.$$

Definition (4) [1-2]. Let $(\aleph, *, 0)$ be a KU-algebra and I be a non- empty subset of \aleph . Then I is called an ideal of \aleph if for any $\chi, \gamma \in \aleph$, then

$$(i) \quad 0 \in I \text{ and}$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{if } \chi * \gamma \in I \text{ and } \chi \in I \text{ imply } \gamma \in I.$$

Definition (5) [1-2]. Let I be a subset of a KU-algebra $(\aleph, *, 0)$ and $I \neq \varnothing$. Then I is named a KU-ideal of \aleph , if

$$(I_1) 0 \in I \text{ and}$$

$$(I_2) \forall \chi, \gamma, \tau \in \aleph, (\chi * (\gamma * \tau)) \in I \text{ and } \gamma \in I \text{ imply } \chi * \tau \in I.$$

Definition (6)[3]. A KU-semigroup is a nonempty set \aleph with two binary operations $*$, \circ and a constant 0 satisfying the following

$$(I) (\aleph, *, 0) \text{ is a KU-algebra,}$$

(II) (\mathfrak{K}, \circ) is a semigroup,

(III) The operation \circ is distributive (on both sides) over the operation $*$, i.e.

$$\chi \circ (\gamma * \tau) = (\chi \circ \gamma) * (\chi \circ \tau) \text{ and } (\chi * \gamma) \circ \tau = (\chi \circ \tau) * (\gamma \circ \tau), \text{ for all } \chi, \gamma, \tau \in X.$$

Example (7)[3]. Let $\mathfrak{K} = \{0,1,2,3\}$ be a set. Define $*$ -operation and \circ -operation by the following tables

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	0	0	0	2
2	0	2	0	1
3	0	0	0	0

o	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	1
2	0	0	2	2
3	0	1	2	3

Then $(\mathfrak{K}, *, \circ, 0)$ is a KU-semigroup.

Definition (8)[3]. A *subKU-semigroup* is a non-empty subset A of a KU-semigroup \mathfrak{K} and it is satisfied $\chi * \gamma, \chi \circ \gamma \in A$, for all $\chi, \gamma \in A$.

Definition (9)[3]. Let $(\mathfrak{K}, *, \circ, 0)$ be a KU-semigroup and $\varphi \neq I \subseteq \mathfrak{K}$. Then, I is named an *S-ideal* of \mathfrak{K} , if

- i) I is an ideal of a KU-algebra $(\mathfrak{K}, *, 0)$,
- ii) For all $\chi \in \mathfrak{K}, a \in I$, we have $\chi \circ a \in I$ and $a \circ \chi \in I$.

Definition (10)[3]. Let $(\mathfrak{K}, *, \circ, 0)$ be a KU-semigroup and $\varphi \neq A \subseteq \mathfrak{K}$. Then A is said to be a *k-ideal* of \mathfrak{K} , if

- i) A is an KU-ideal of a KU-algebra $(\mathfrak{K}, *, 0)$,
- ii) For all $\chi \in X, a \in A$, we have $\chi \circ a \in A$ and $a \circ \chi \in A$.

Definition (11)[3]. Let $(\mathfrak{K}, *, \circ, 0)$, be a KU-semigroup and $\varphi \neq A \subseteq \mathfrak{K}$. Then, A is said to be a *P-ideal* of \mathfrak{K} , if

- (p1) For any $\chi, \gamma, \tau \in \mathfrak{K}, \tau * (\chi * \gamma) \in A$ and $\tau * \chi \in A \implies \tau * \gamma \in A$.
- (p2) For all $\chi \in \mathfrak{K}, a \in A$, we have $\chi \circ a \in A$ and $a \circ \chi \in A$.

Definition (12)[3]. Let \mathfrak{K} and \mathfrak{K}' be two KU-semigroups. A mapping $f: \mathfrak{K} \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}'$ is called a KU-semigroup homomorphism if $f(\chi * \gamma) = f(\chi) * f(\gamma)$ and $f(\chi \circ \gamma) = f(\chi) \circ f(\gamma)$, for all $\chi, \gamma \in \mathfrak{K}$.

The kernel of f is denoted by $\ker f$ and is defined by $\{\chi \in \mathfrak{K}: f(\chi) = 0\}$. Moreover, the image of f is denoted by $im f$ and is defined by $\{f(\chi) \in \mathfrak{K}': \chi \in \mathfrak{K}\}$.

We review some concepts of fuzzy logic.

A function $\mu: \mathfrak{K} \rightarrow [0,1]$ is said to be a fuzzy set of a set \mathfrak{K} and the set

$U(\mu, t) = \{\chi \in \mathfrak{K}: \mu(\chi) \geq t\}$ is said to be a level set of μ , for t in $[0,1]$.

Definition (13)[6]. A fuzzy set μ of \aleph is called a fuzzy sub KU-semigroup if, for all $\chi, \gamma \in \aleph$

- i) $\mu(\chi * \gamma) \geq \min \{\mu(\chi), \mu(\gamma)\}$,
- ii) $(\chi \circ \gamma) \geq \min \{\mu(\chi), \mu(\gamma)\}$.

Definition (14)[6]. A fuzzy set μ in \aleph is called a fuzzy S -ideal of \aleph if, for all $\chi, \gamma \in \aleph$.

- (S₁) $\mu(0) \geq \mu(\chi)$,
- (S₂) $\mu(\gamma) \geq \min \{\mu(\chi * \gamma), \mu(\chi)\}$
- (S₃) $\mu(\chi \circ \gamma) \geq \min \{\mu(\chi), \mu(\gamma)\}$.

Definition (15)[6]. A fuzzy set μ in \aleph is called a fuzzy k -ideal of \aleph if it satisfies the following conditions: for all $\chi, \gamma, \tau \in \aleph$.

- (k₁) $\mu(0) \geq \mu(\chi)$,
- (k₂) $\mu(\chi * \tau) \geq \min \{\mu(\chi * (\gamma * \tau)), \mu(\gamma)\}$
- (k₃) $\mu(\chi \circ \gamma) \geq \min \{\mu(\chi), \mu(\gamma)\}$.

Example (16)[6]. Let $\aleph = \{0, a, b, c, d\}$ be a set. Define $*$ - operation and \circ - operation by the following tables

*	0	a	b	c	d
0	0	a	b	c	d
a	0	0	b	c	d
b	0	a	0	c	d
c	0	a	0	0	d
d	0	0	0	0	0

o	0	a	b	c	d
0	0	0	0	0	0
a	0	0	0	0	0
b	0	0	0	0	b
c	0	0	0	b	c
d	0	a	b	c	d

Then $(\aleph, *, \circ, 0)$ is a KU-semigroup. Define a fuzzy set $\mu : \aleph \rightarrow [0,1]$ by

$\mu(0) = \mu(a) = 0.4, \mu(b) = \mu(c) = 0.2, \mu(d) = 0.1$. Then by routine calculation we can prove that μ is a fuzzy k -ideal of \aleph .

Definition (17) [6]. The Cartesian product of two fuzzy sets μ and β of \aleph is denoted by $\mu \times \beta : \aleph \times \aleph \rightarrow [0,1]$ and defined by $(\mu \times \beta)(\chi, \gamma) = \min\{\mu(\chi), \beta(\gamma)\}, \forall \chi, \gamma \in \aleph$.

Definition (18)[6]. Let μ be a fuzzy set in \aleph . If μ is defined by: $\aleph \times \aleph \rightarrow [0,1]$, then μ is said to be a fuzzy relation on a set S , where $S \subseteq \aleph$.

Definition (19)[6]. Let μ be a fuzzy relation on \aleph and β be a fuzzy subset of \aleph . Then the strongest fuzzy relation on \aleph is denoted by μ_β and is defined as follows

$$\mu_\beta(\chi, \gamma) = \min\{\beta(\chi), \beta(\gamma)\}, \forall \chi, \gamma \in \aleph.$$

3. Interval value fuzzy k -ideals in KU-semigroup

In this part, we recall the definition of interval valued fuzzy set $\tilde{\mu}$ of \aleph as follows

$\tilde{\mu} = \{(\chi, [\mu^L(\chi), \mu^U(\chi)]) : \chi \in \aleph\}$, by briefly $\tilde{\mu} = [\mu^L, \mu^U]$, where μ^L and μ^U are two fuzzy sets in \aleph such that $\mu^L(\chi) \leq \mu^U(\chi)$, for all $\chi \in \aleph$ and the closed sub-intervals of $[0, 1]$ is denoted by $D[0, 1]$. Note that, if $\mu^L(\chi) = \mu^U(\chi) = c$, where $0 \leq c \leq 1$, then $\tilde{\mu}(\chi) = [c, c]$, it follows that $\tilde{\mu}(\chi) \in D[0, 1]$ and it is given by $\tilde{\mu}: \aleph \rightarrow D[0,1]$, for all $\chi \in \aleph$ and $D[0, 1] = \{[a^L, a^U] : a^L \leq a^U \text{ for } a^L, a^U \in [0, 1]\}$.

Consider, two elements $D_1 = [a^L, a^U]$ and $D_2 = [b^L, b^U]$ in $D[0, 1]$ are defined by $r \min(D_1, D_2) = [\min(a^L, b^L), \min(a^U, b^U)]$ and $r \max(D_1, D_2) = [\max(a^L, b^L), \max(a^U, b^U)]$.

Definition (20). Let $(\aleph, *, \circ, 0)$ be a KU-semigroup. If $\tilde{\mu}: \aleph \rightarrow D[0,1]$, then the level subset of $\tilde{\mu}$ is denoted by $\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{t}}$ and it is defined by $\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{t}} = \{\chi \in \aleph : \tilde{\mu}(\chi) \geq \tilde{t}\}$, for every $[0,0] \leq \tilde{t} \leq [1,1]$.

Definition (21). Let $(\aleph, *, \circ, 0)$ be a KU-semigroup and $\tilde{\mu}: \aleph \rightarrow D[0,1]$. Then $\tilde{\mu}$ is called an interval valued fuzzy sub KU-semigroup \aleph , if it satisfies the following conditions

$$\tilde{\mu}(\chi * \gamma) \geq r \min \{\tilde{\mu}(\chi), \tilde{\mu}(\gamma)\}, \tilde{\mu}(\chi \circ \gamma) \geq r \min \{\tilde{\mu}(\chi), \tilde{\mu}(\gamma)\}, \forall \chi, \gamma \in \aleph.$$

Example (22). Let $\aleph = \{0,1,2,3\}$ be a set. We define two operations by the following tables

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	0	0	0	2
2	0	2	0	1
3	0	0	0	0

o	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	1
2	0	0	2	2
3	0	1	2	3

Then $(\aleph, *, \circ, 0)$ is a KU-semigroup. Define $\tilde{\mu}(\chi)$ as follows $\tilde{\mu}(\chi) =$

$$\begin{cases} [0.2, 0.7] & \text{if } \chi = \{0,1,2\} \\ [0.1, 0.3] & \text{if } \chi = 3 \end{cases}$$

And by applying definition 21, we can prove that $\tilde{\mu}(\chi)$ is an interval valued fuzzy sub KU-semi group of \aleph .

Definition (23). Let $(\aleph, *, \circ, 0)$ be a KU-semigroup and $\tilde{\mu}: \aleph \rightarrow D[0,1]$. Then $\tilde{\mu}$ is named an interval valued fuzzy S-ideal of \aleph if

- (i₁) $\tilde{\mu}(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}(\chi), \forall \chi \in \aleph,$
- (i₂) For all $\chi, \gamma \in \aleph, \tilde{\mu}(\gamma) \geq r \min \{\tilde{\mu}(\chi * \gamma), \tilde{\mu}(\chi)\},$
- (i₃) $\tilde{\mu}(\chi \circ \gamma) \geq r \min \{\tilde{\mu}(\chi), \tilde{\mu}(\gamma)\}.$

Definition (24). Let $(\aleph, *, \circ, 0)$ be a KU-semigroup and $\tilde{\mu}: \aleph \rightarrow D[0,1]$. Then $\tilde{\mu}$ is named an interval valued fuzzy k-ideal of \aleph if

- (f₁) $\tilde{\mu}(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}(\chi), \forall \chi \in \aleph,$
- (f₂) For all $\chi, \gamma, \tau \in \aleph, \tilde{\mu}(\chi * \tau) \geq r \min \{\tilde{\mu}(\chi * (\gamma * \tau), \tilde{\mu}(\gamma)\},$
- (f₃) $\tilde{\mu}(\chi \circ \gamma) \geq r \min \{\tilde{\mu}(\chi), \tilde{\mu}(\gamma)\}.$

Example (25). From Example 16, we define $\tilde{\mu}(\chi)$ as follows:

$$\tilde{\mu}(\chi) = \begin{cases} [0.3, 0.9] & \text{if } \chi = \{0, a, b, c\} \\ [0.1, 0.6] & \text{if } \chi = d \end{cases}$$

By this definition of $\tilde{\mu}$, we can prove it is an interval valued fuzzy k -ideal.

Theorem (26). Let $(\mathfrak{K}, *, \circ, 0)$ be a KU-semigroup. Then $\tilde{\mu}$ in \mathfrak{K} is an interval valued fuzzy k -ideal if and only if it is an interval valued fuzzy S-ideal of \mathfrak{K} .

Proof. (\Rightarrow) By taking $\chi = 0$ in (f_2) , (f_3) and using (ku_3) , we obtain $\forall \gamma, \tau \in \mathfrak{K}$

$$\tilde{\mu}(\tau) = \tilde{\mu}(0 * \tau) \geq r \min\{\tilde{\mu}(0 * (\gamma * \tau)), \tilde{\mu}(\gamma)\} = r \min\{\tilde{\mu}(\gamma * \tau), \tilde{\mu}(\gamma)\} \text{ and}$$

$$\tilde{\mu}(\chi \circ \gamma) \geq r \min\{\tilde{\mu}(\chi), \tilde{\mu}(\gamma)\} \text{ are satisfied.}$$

(\Leftarrow) we have $\tilde{\mu}(\chi * \tau) \geq r \min\{\tilde{\mu}(\gamma * (\chi * \tau)), \tilde{\mu}(\gamma)\}$ and by apply Theorem 3, we get

$$\tilde{\mu}(\chi * \tau) \geq r \min\{\tilde{\mu}(\chi * (\gamma * \tau)), \tilde{\mu}(\gamma)\} \text{ and by Definition 24, we have}$$

$$\tilde{\mu}(\chi \circ \gamma) \geq r \min\{\tilde{\mu}(\chi), \tilde{\mu}(\gamma)\}. \text{ Thus } \tilde{\mu} \text{ is an interval valued fuzzy } k\text{-ideal of } \mathfrak{K}.$$

Theorem (27). Let $(\mathfrak{K}, *, \circ, 0)$ be a KU-semigroup, A be a nonempty subset of \mathfrak{K} and $\tilde{\mu}$ be an interval valued fuzzy set in \mathfrak{K} . We define $\tilde{\mu}$ as follows

$$\tilde{\mu}(\chi) = \begin{cases} [t_1, t_2] & \chi \in A \\ [\alpha_1, \alpha_1] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ where } t_1 > \alpha_1, t_2 > \alpha_2 \text{ and } \alpha_1, \alpha_1, t_1, t_2 \in D[0, 1]. \text{ Then}$$

A is a k -ideal of \mathfrak{K} if and only if $\tilde{\mu}$ is an interval valued fuzzy k -ideal of \mathfrak{K} . Moreover, $\mathfrak{K}_{\tilde{\mu}} = A$, such that $\mathfrak{K}_{\tilde{\mu}} = \{\chi \in \mathfrak{K} : \tilde{\mu}(\chi) = \tilde{\mu}(0)\}$.

Proof. (\Leftarrow) Since $\tilde{\mu}(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}(\chi), \forall \chi \in \mathfrak{K}$, we get $\tilde{\mu}(0) = [t_1, t_2]$, then $0 \in A$.

Let $(\chi * (\gamma * \tau)) \in A$ and $\gamma \in A$, for any $\chi, \gamma, \tau \in \mathfrak{K}$. Using (f_2) , we have

$$\tilde{\mu}(\chi * \tau) \geq r \min\{\tilde{\mu}(\chi * (\gamma * \tau)), \tilde{\mu}(\gamma)\} = r \min\{[t_1, t_2], [t_1, t_2]\} = [t_1, t_2]$$

and thus $\tilde{\mu}(\chi * \tau) = [t_1, t_2]$, it follows that $\chi * \tau \in A$.

Now, let $\chi \in A$ and $\gamma \in A$ by using (f_3) , we know that

$$\tilde{\mu}(\chi \circ \gamma) \geq r \min\{\tilde{\mu}(\chi), \tilde{\mu}(\gamma)\} = r \min\{[t_1, t_2], [t_1, t_2]\} = [t_1, t_2], \text{ and thus}$$

$$\tilde{\mu}(\chi \circ \gamma) = [t_1, t_2]. \text{ Hence } \chi \circ \gamma \in A \text{ and it follows that } A \text{ is a } k\text{-ideal of } \mathfrak{K}.$$

(\Rightarrow) Since $0 \in A$, it follows that $\tilde{\mu}(0) = [t_1, t_2] \geq \tilde{\mu}(\chi)$ for all $\chi \in \mathfrak{K}$. Let $\chi, \gamma, \tau \in \mathfrak{K}$.

If $\gamma \notin A$ and $\chi * \tau \in A$, then clearly $\tilde{\mu}(\chi * \tau) \geq r \min\{\tilde{\mu}(\chi * (\gamma * \tau)), \tilde{\mu}(\gamma)\}$.

Assume that $\gamma \in A$ and $\chi * \tau \notin A$. Then by definition 9, we have $\chi * (\gamma * \tau) \notin A$. Therefore

$$\tilde{\mu}(\chi * \tau) = [\alpha_1, \alpha_1] = r \min\{\tilde{\mu}(\chi * (\gamma * \tau)), \tilde{\mu}(\gamma)\}.$$

Also, let $\chi \in A, \gamma \notin A$ and $\chi \circ \gamma \in A$, then clearly:

$$\tilde{\mu}(\chi \circ \tau) = [t_1, t_2] \geq r \min\{\tilde{\mu}(\chi), \tilde{\mu}(\gamma)\}.$$

Assume that $\chi \notin A, \gamma \in A$ and $\chi \circ \gamma \notin A$. Then $\tilde{\mu}(\chi \circ \gamma) = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2] = r \min\{\tilde{\mu}(\chi), \tilde{\mu}(\gamma)\}$.

Hence $\tilde{\mu}$ is a fuzzy k -ideal in \mathfrak{K} .

Finally, we have $\mathfrak{N}_{\tilde{\mu}} = \{\chi \in \mathfrak{N}: \tilde{\mu}(\chi) = \tilde{\mu}(0)\} = \{\chi \in \mathfrak{N}: \tilde{\mu}(\chi) = [t_1, t_2]\} = A$.

Theorem (28). Let $(\mathfrak{N}, *, \circ, 0)$ be a KU-semigroup and $\tilde{\mu}: \mathfrak{N} \rightarrow D[0,1]$. Then the level set $\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{t}}$ of $\tilde{\mu}$ is a k -ideal in \mathfrak{N} iff $\tilde{\mu}$ is an interval valued fuzzy k -ideal.

Proof. (\Leftarrow) For any $\tilde{t} = [t_1, t_2] \in D[0,1]$, assume $\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{t}}$ is a non empty, then there exists $\chi \in \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{t}}$ and $\tilde{\mu}(\chi) \geq \tilde{t}$. It follows from Definition 24 that $\tilde{\mu}(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}(\chi) \geq \tilde{t}$, so that $0 \in \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{t}}$.

Let $\chi, \gamma, \tau \in \mathfrak{N}$ such that $(\chi * (\gamma * \tau)) \in \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{t}}$ and $\gamma \in \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{t}}$. We have $\tilde{\mu}(\chi * (\gamma * \tau)) \geq \tilde{t}$ and $\tilde{\mu}(\gamma) \geq \tilde{t}$. From Definition 24, we get the following

$$\tilde{\mu}(\chi * \tau) \geq r \min\{\tilde{\mu}(\chi * (\gamma * \tau)), \tilde{\mu}(\gamma)\} \geq r \min[\tilde{t}, \tilde{t}] = \tilde{t}, \text{ thus } \chi * \tau \in \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{t}}.$$

Now, let $a \in \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{t}}$ and $\chi \in \mathfrak{N}$, then $\tilde{\mu}(\chi) \geq \tilde{t}$ and $\tilde{\mu}(a) \geq \tilde{t}$. We get $\tilde{\mu}(\chi \circ a) \geq r \min\{\tilde{\mu}(\chi), \tilde{\mu}(a)\} \geq [\tilde{t}, \tilde{t}] = \tilde{t}$, which implies that $\chi \circ a \in \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{t}}$.

Similarly, $a \circ \chi \in \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{t}}$. Therefore $\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{t}}$ is a k -ideal of \mathfrak{N} .

(\Rightarrow) Let $\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{t}}$ be a non-empty and a k -ideal of \mathfrak{N} , we have $\tilde{\mu}(\chi) = \tilde{t}$, for every $\tilde{t} \in D[0,1]$ and for any $\chi \in \mathfrak{N}$. This implies that $\chi \in \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{t}}$. And since $0 \in \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{t}}$, then $\tilde{\mu}(0) \geq \tilde{t} = \tilde{\mu}(\chi)$.

Now, we show that $\tilde{\mu}$ satisfies (k_3) and (k_2) . If not, suppose that $\exists l, m, n \in \mathfrak{N}$ such that

$$\tilde{\mu}(l * n) \geq r \min\{\tilde{\mu}(l * (m * n)), \tilde{\mu}(m)\}.$$

put $\tilde{t}_0 = \frac{1}{n}(\tilde{\mu}(l * n) + r \min\{\tilde{\mu}(l * (m * n)), \tilde{\mu}(m)\})$, for n any integer number,

$$\text{so } \tilde{\mu}(l * n) < \tilde{t}_0 < r \min\{\tilde{\mu}(l * (m * n)), \tilde{\mu}(m)\}.$$

Implies that $(l * (m * n)) \in \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{t}_0}$ and $m \in \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{t}_0}$, but $l * n \notin \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{t}_0}$, which implies $\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{t}_0}$ is not a k -ideal of \mathfrak{N} . Then, it is a contradiction.

Let $l, m \in \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{t}}$ such that $\tilde{\mu}(l \circ m) < r \min\{\tilde{\mu}(l), \tilde{\mu}(m)\}$.

Then by taking $\tilde{t}_0 = \frac{1}{n}\{\tilde{\mu}(l \circ m) + r \min\{\tilde{\mu}(l), \tilde{\mu}(m)\}\}$.

We have $\tilde{\mu}(l \circ m) < \tilde{t}_0 < r \min\{\tilde{\mu}(l), \tilde{\mu}(m)\}$. Then, $l, m \in \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{t}}$ but $l \circ m \notin \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{t}}$.

It means that $\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{t}_0}$ is not k -ideal of \mathfrak{N} and this is a contradiction. The proof is completed.

Definition (29). A fuzzy set $\tilde{\mu}$ in \mathfrak{N} is called an interval valued fuzzy P -ideal of \mathfrak{N} if, for all $\chi, \gamma, \tau \in \mathfrak{N}$

$$(P_1) \tilde{\mu}(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}(\chi)$$

$$(P_2) \tilde{\mu}(\tau * \gamma) \geq r \min\{\tilde{\mu}(\tau * (\chi * \gamma)), \tilde{\mu}(\tau * \chi)\}.$$

$$(P_3) \tilde{\mu}(\chi \circ \gamma) \geq r \min\{\tilde{\mu}(\chi), \tilde{\mu}(\gamma)\}.$$

Example30. Let $\mathfrak{N} = \{0, a, b\}$ be a set. Define $*$ -operation and \circ -operation by the following tables

*	0	a	b
0	0	b	b
a	0	0	a
b	0	a	0

◦	0	a	b
0	0	0	0
a	0	a	0
b	0	0	b

Then $(\aleph, *, \circ, 0)$ is a KU-semigroup. Define $\tilde{\mu}(\chi)$ as follows $\tilde{\mu}(\chi) = \begin{cases} [0.3, 0.8] & \chi = 0 \\ [0.2, 0.4] & \chi \neq 0 \end{cases}$.

Then $\tilde{\mu}(\chi)$ is an interval valued fuzzy P -ideal of \aleph .

Theorem 31. Let $(\aleph, *, \circ, 0)$ be a KU-semigroup and $\tilde{\mu}: \aleph \rightarrow D[0, 1]$. If $\tilde{\mu}$ is an interval valued fuzzy P -ideal, then it is an interval valued fuzzy S -ideal.

Proof. By (P_2) we get:

$$\tilde{\mu}(\tau * \gamma) \geq r \min\{\tilde{\mu}(\tau * (\chi * \gamma)), \tilde{\mu}(\tau * \chi)\}, \text{ put } \tau = 0, \text{ we get:}$$

$$\tilde{\mu}(0 * \gamma) \geq r \min\{\tilde{\mu}(0 * (\chi * \gamma)), \tilde{\mu}(0 * \chi)\}$$

$$\text{Thus } \tilde{\mu}(\gamma) \geq r \min\{\tilde{\mu}(\chi * \gamma), \tilde{\mu}(\chi)\}.$$

The reverse of Theorem 31 is incorrect. The example 32 shows the reverse.

Example 32. Let $\aleph = \{0, a, b\}$ be a set. Define $(*$ -operation) and $(\circ$ -operation) by the following tables

*	0	a	b
0	0	a	b
a	0	0	a
b	0	b	0

◦	0	a	b
0	0	0	0
a	0	a	0
b	0	0	b

Then $(\aleph, *, \circ, 0)$ is a KU-semigroup. Define $\tilde{\mu}(\chi)$ as follows:

$$\tilde{\mu}(\chi) = \begin{cases} [0.4, 0.8] & \text{if } \chi = \{0, b\} \\ [0.1, 0.3] & \text{if } \chi = a \end{cases}$$

We can easily prove that $\tilde{\mu}$ is an interval valued fuzzy S -ideal of \aleph , but it is not an interval valued fuzzy P -ideal, since $\tilde{\mu}(0 * a) = [0.1, 0.3] \leq r \min\{\tilde{\mu}(0 * (b * a)), \tilde{\mu}(0 * b)\} = [0.4, 0.8]$.

4. Study of Image (Pre-image) for interval valued fuzzy k -ideal

The image and the pre-image are important topics in modern algebra so we will focus on these two concepts in this part of our paper. We will study these concepts with the interval

valued fuzzy k -ideals in a KU- semigroup \aleph under homomorphism. Also, we will prove that the products of interval valued fuzzy k - ideals are a fuzzy k - ideal of a KU-semigroup \aleph .

Definition33. Let $f: \aleph \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping from KU-semigroup \aleph into KU-semigroup Y and $\tilde{\mu}$ be an interval valued fuzzy subset of \aleph . We define the image for $\tilde{\mu}$ under f , denoted by $f(\tilde{\mu})$ as follows $f(\tilde{\mu})(\gamma) = \begin{cases} \sup \tilde{\mu}(\chi)_{\chi \in f^{-1}(\gamma)}, & \text{if } f^{-1}(\gamma) = \{\chi \in \aleph: f(\chi) = \gamma\} \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

And the pre-image for $\tilde{\beta}$ under f , where $\tilde{\beta}$ is an interval valued fuzzy subset of Y , denoted by $f^{-1}(\tilde{\beta})$ in \aleph by $\tilde{\mu}(\chi) = f^{-1}(\tilde{\beta}) = \tilde{\beta}(f(\chi)), \forall \chi \in \aleph$.

Lemma34. Let f be a homomorphism mapping from a KU-semigroup $(\aleph, *, \circ, 0)$ into a KU-semigroup $(\aleph', *', \circ', 0')$. Then $f^{-1}(\tilde{\beta})$ is an interval valued fuzzy k -ideal of \aleph , if the mapping $\tilde{\beta}$ is an interval valued fuzzy k -ideal of \aleph' .

Proof. For all $\chi \in \aleph$, we have $\tilde{\mu}(0) = \tilde{\beta}(f(0)) \geq \tilde{\beta}(f(\chi)) = \tilde{\mu}(\chi)$. Let $\gamma, \tau \in \aleph$, then we have $\tilde{\mu}(\chi * \tau) = \tilde{\beta}(f(\chi * \tau)) = \tilde{\beta}(f(\chi) *' f(\tau)) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\beta}(f(\chi) *' (f(\gamma) *' f(\tau))), \tilde{\beta}(f(\gamma))\}$
 $= \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\beta}(f(\chi * (\gamma * \tau))), \tilde{\beta}(f(\gamma))\}$
 $= \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}(\chi * (\gamma * \tau)), \tilde{\mu}(\gamma)\}$

Also, we have $\tilde{\mu}(\chi \circ \gamma) = \tilde{\beta}(f(\chi \circ \gamma)) = \tilde{\beta}(f(\chi) \circ' f(\gamma)) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\beta}(f(\chi)), \tilde{\beta}(f(\gamma))\}$
 $= \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}(\chi), \tilde{\mu}(\gamma)\}$.

Hence the proof is completed.

Theorem(35). Let $f: \aleph \rightarrow \aleph'$ be an epimorphism between two KU-semigroups \aleph and \aleph' . $f(\tilde{\mu})$ is an interval valued fuzzy k - ideal of \aleph' , if $\tilde{\mu}$ is an interval valued fuzzy k - ideal of \aleph .

Proof. Let $\chi', \gamma' \in \aleph'$, then $\exists \chi, \gamma \in \aleph$ such that $f(\chi) = \chi'$ and $f(\gamma) = \gamma'$. By definition of image, we have $f(\tilde{\mu})(\chi') = \sup \tilde{\mu}(\chi)_{\chi \in f^{-1}(\chi')}$, for some $\chi \in \aleph$, and,

$f(\tilde{\mu})(\gamma') = \sup \tilde{\mu}(\gamma)_{\gamma \in f^{-1}(\gamma')}$, for some $\gamma \in \aleph$.

We have $\tilde{\mu}(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}(\chi), \forall \chi \in \aleph$. Then,

(i) $f(\tilde{\mu})(0') = \sup \tilde{\mu}(0)_{0 \in f^{-1}(0')} \geq \sup \tilde{\mu}(\chi)_{\chi \in f^{-1}(\chi')} = f(\tilde{\mu})(\chi')$, for any $\chi' \in \aleph'$.

(ii) For any $\chi', \gamma', \tau' \in \aleph'$, let $\chi_0 \in f^{-1}(\chi'), \gamma_0 \in f^{-1}(\gamma'), \tau_0 \in f^{-1}(\tau')$, and since f is a homomorphism, then $f(\tilde{\mu})(\chi' *' \tau') = \sup \tilde{\mu}(\chi_0 * \tau_0)_{\chi_0 * \tau_0 \in f^{-1}(\chi' *' \tau')}$

$\geq \text{rmin}\{\sup \tilde{\mu}(\chi_0 * (\gamma_0 * \tau_0))_{(\chi_0 * (\gamma_0 * \tau_0)) \in f^{-1}(\chi' *' (\gamma' *' \tau'))}, \sup \tilde{\mu}(\gamma_0)_{\gamma_0 \in f^{-1}(\gamma')}\}$
 $= \text{rmin}\{f(\tilde{\mu})(\chi' *' (\gamma' *' \tau')), f(\tilde{\mu})(\gamma')\}$

(iii) For any $\chi', \gamma' \in \aleph'$, let $\chi_0 \in f^{-1}(\chi'), \gamma_0 \in f^{-1}(\gamma')$ be such that:

$f(\tilde{\mu})(\chi' \circ' \gamma') = \sup \tilde{\mu}(\chi_0 \circ \gamma_0)_{\chi_0 \circ \gamma_0 \in f^{-1}(\chi' \circ' \gamma')}$
 $\geq \text{rmin}\{\sup \tilde{\mu}(\chi_0)_{\chi_0 \in f^{-1}(\chi')}, \sup \tilde{\mu}(\gamma_0)_{\gamma_0 \in f^{-1}(\gamma')}\}$

$$= rmin \{(\tilde{\mu})(\chi'), f(\tilde{\mu})(\gamma')\}$$

Hence the proof is completed.

Definition (36). If $\tilde{\mu}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ are two interval valued fuzzy subsets of a set \aleph . Then the product of $\tilde{\mu}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ denoted by $\tilde{\mu} \times \tilde{\beta}$ is defined by:

$$\tilde{\mu} \times \tilde{\beta}(\chi, \gamma) = rmin\{\tilde{\mu}(\chi), \tilde{\beta}(\gamma)\}, \text{ for all } (\chi, \gamma) \in \aleph \times \aleph.$$

Theorem (37). The product $\tilde{\mu} \times \tilde{\beta}$ is an interval valued fuzzy k -ideal of $\aleph \times \aleph$, if $\tilde{\mu}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ are interval valued fuzzy k -ideals of a KU-semigroup \aleph .

Proof. Let $(\chi, \gamma) \in \aleph \times \aleph$, we have

$$(\tilde{\mu} \times \tilde{\beta})(0, 0) = rmin\{\tilde{\mu}(0), \tilde{\beta}(0)\} \geq rmin\{\tilde{\mu}(\chi), \tilde{\beta}(\gamma)\} = (\tilde{\mu} \times \tilde{\beta})(\chi, \gamma).$$

Now, let $(\chi_1, \chi_2), (\gamma_1, \gamma_2), (\tau_1, \tau_2) \in \aleph \times \aleph$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu} \times \tilde{\beta}[(\chi_1 * \tau_1, \chi_2 * \tau_2)] &= rmin\{\tilde{\mu}(\chi_1 * \tau_1), \tilde{\beta}(\chi_2 * \tau_2)\} \\ &\geq rmin\{rmin\{\tilde{\mu}(\chi_1 * (\gamma_1 * \tau_1)), \tilde{\mu}(\gamma_1)\}, rmin\{\tilde{\beta}(\chi_2 * (\gamma_2 * \tau_2)), \tilde{\beta}(\gamma_2)\}\} \\ &= rmin\{rmin\{\tilde{\mu}(\chi_1 * (\gamma_1 * \tau_1)), \tilde{\beta}(\chi_2 * (\gamma_2 * \tau_2))\}, rmin\{\tilde{\mu}(\gamma_1), \tilde{\beta}(\gamma_2)\}\} \\ &= rmin\{(\tilde{\mu} \times \tilde{\beta})[(\chi_1 * (\gamma_1 * \tau_1)), (\chi_2 * (\gamma_2 * \tau_2))], (\tilde{\mu} \times \tilde{\beta})(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

And,

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\mu} \times \tilde{\beta})(\chi_1 \circ \chi_2)(\gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2) &= rmin\{\tilde{\mu}(\chi_1 \circ \chi_2), \tilde{\beta}(\gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2)\} \\ &\geq rmin\{rmin\{\tilde{\mu}(\chi_1), \tilde{\mu}(\chi_2)\}, rmin\{\tilde{\beta}(\gamma_1), \tilde{\beta}(\gamma_2)\}\} \\ &= rmin\{rmin\{\tilde{\mu}(\chi_1), \tilde{\beta}(\gamma_1)\}, rmin\{\tilde{\mu}(\chi_2), \tilde{\beta}(\gamma_2)\}\} \\ &= rmin\{(\tilde{\mu} \times \tilde{\beta})(\chi_1, \gamma_1), (\tilde{\mu} \times \tilde{\beta})(\chi_2, \gamma_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition (38). Let $\tilde{\mu}$ be an interval valued fuzzy set in \aleph . If $\tilde{\mu}$ is defined by:

$\tilde{\mu}: S \times S \rightarrow D[0,1]$, then $\tilde{\mu}$ is named an interval valued fuzzy relation on a set S , where $S \subseteq \aleph$.

Definition (39). Let $\tilde{\beta}$ be an interval valued fuzzy set in \aleph . Then the strongest interval valued fuzzy relation on \aleph by $\tilde{\beta}$ is denoted by $\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\beta}}$ and defined as follows $\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\beta}}(\chi, \gamma) = rmin\{\tilde{\beta}(\chi), \tilde{\beta}(\gamma)\}$, for all $\chi, \gamma \in \aleph$.

Lemma (40). If the strongest interval valued fuzzy relation on \aleph is an interval valued fuzzy k -ideal of $\aleph \times \aleph$, then $\tilde{\beta}(\chi) \leq \tilde{\beta}(0)$, for all $\chi \in \aleph$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ is an interval valued fuzzy set of a KU-semigroup \aleph .

Proof. Let $\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\beta}}$ be an interval valued fuzzy k -ideal of $\aleph \times \aleph$, it follows that:

$$\tilde{\beta}(\chi) = rmin\{\tilde{\beta}(\chi), \tilde{\beta}(\chi)\} = \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\beta}}(\chi, \chi) \leq \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\beta}}(0, 0) = rmin\{\tilde{\beta}(0), \tilde{\beta}(0)\} = \tilde{\beta}(0).$$

Hence, $\tilde{\beta}(\chi) \leq \tilde{\beta}(0)$.

Theorem(41). The strongest interval valued fuzzy relation $\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\beta}}$ on \aleph is an interval valued fuzzy k -ideal of $\aleph \times \aleph$ iff the mapping $\tilde{\beta}$ is an interval valued fuzzy k -ideal of \aleph .

Proof. (\Leftarrow) Since $\tilde{\beta}$ is an interval valued fuzzy k -ideal of \aleph , then $\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\beta}}(0,0) = rmin\{\tilde{\beta}(0), \tilde{\beta}(0)\} = rmin\{\tilde{\beta}(\chi), \tilde{\beta}(\gamma)\} = \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\beta}}(\chi, \gamma)$.

Now, for any $(\chi_1, \chi_2)(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)(\tau_1, \tau_2) \in \aleph \times \aleph$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\beta}}(\chi_1 * \tau_1, \chi_2 * \tau_2) &= rmin\{\tilde{\beta}(\chi_1 * \tau_1), \tilde{\beta}(\chi_2 * \tau_2)\} \\ &\geq rmin\{rmin\{\tilde{\beta}(\chi_1 * (\gamma_1 * \tau_1)), \tilde{\beta}(\gamma_1)\}, rmin\{\tilde{\beta}(\chi_2 * (\gamma_2 * \tau_2)), \tilde{\beta}(\gamma_2)\}\} \\ &= rmin\{rmin\{\tilde{\beta}(\chi_1 * (\gamma_1 * \tau_1)), \tilde{\beta}(\chi_2 * (\gamma_2 * \tau_2))\}, rmin\{\tilde{\beta}(\gamma_1), \tilde{\beta}(\gamma_2)\}\} \\ &= rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\beta}}(\chi_1 * (\gamma_1 * \tau_1), (\chi_2 * (\gamma_2 * \tau_2))), \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\beta}}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

And,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\beta}}[(\chi_1 \circ \chi_2), (\gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2)] &= rmin\{ \tilde{\beta}(\chi_1 \circ \chi_2), \tilde{\beta}(\gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2)\} \\ &\geq rmin\{rmin\{\tilde{\beta}(\chi_1), \tilde{\beta}(\chi_2)\}, rmin\{\tilde{\beta}(\gamma_1), \tilde{\beta}(\gamma_2)\}\} \\ &= rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\beta}}(\chi_1, \chi_2), \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\beta}}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

(\Rightarrow) For all, $(\chi, \gamma) \in \aleph \times \aleph$,

$$rmin(\tilde{\beta}(0), \tilde{\beta}(0)) = \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\beta}}(0,0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\beta}}(\chi, \gamma) = rmin\{\tilde{\beta}(\chi), \tilde{\beta}(\gamma)\}.$$

Then $\tilde{\beta}(0) \geq \tilde{\beta}(\chi), \forall \chi \in \aleph$.

Now, let $(\chi_1, \chi_2)(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)(\tau_1, \tau_2) \in \aleph \times \aleph$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} rmin(\tilde{\beta}(\chi_1 * \tau_1), \tilde{\beta}(\chi_2 * \tau_2)) &= \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\beta}}(\chi_1 * \tau_1, \chi_2 * \tau_2) \\ &\geq rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\beta}}(\chi_1 * \tau_1), \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\beta}}(\chi_2 * \tau_2)\} \\ &= rmin\{rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\beta}}(\chi_1 * (\gamma_1 * \tau_1)), \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\beta}}(\gamma_1)\}, rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\beta}}(\chi_2 * (\gamma_2 * \tau_2)), \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\beta}}(\gamma_2)\}\} \\ &= rmin\{rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\beta}}(\chi_1 * (\gamma_1 * \tau_1)), \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\beta}}(\chi_2 * (\gamma_2 * \tau_2))\}, rmin\{\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\beta}}(\gamma_1), \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\beta}}(\gamma_2)\}\} \\ &= rmin\{rmin\tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\beta}}\{(\chi_1 * (\gamma_1 * \tau_1)), (\chi_2 * (\gamma_2 * \tau_2))\}, \tilde{\mu}_{\tilde{\beta}}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)\}\} \\ &= rmin\{rmin\{\tilde{\beta}(\chi_1 * (\gamma_1 * \tau_1)), \tilde{\beta}(\chi_2 * (\gamma_2 * \tau_2))\}, rmin\{\tilde{\beta}(\gamma_1), \tilde{\beta}(\gamma_2)\}\} \\ &= rmin\{rmin\{\tilde{\beta}(\chi_1 * (\gamma_1 * \tau_1), \tilde{\beta}(\gamma_1)\}, rmin\{\tilde{\beta}(\chi_2 * (\gamma_2 * \tau_2), \tilde{\beta}(\gamma_2)\}\} \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if we take $\chi_2 = \gamma_2 = \tau_2 = 0$, then

$$\tilde{\beta}(\chi_1 * \tau_1) \geq rmin\{\tilde{\beta}(\chi_1 * (\gamma_1 * \tau_1), \tilde{\beta}(\gamma_1)\}. \text{ Hence, the proof is completed.}$$

5. Conclusion

The concept of an interval value fuzzy set of KU-semigroup is introduced and some related properties are investigated. Also, some types of interval value fuzzy ideals are studied and the relationship between them is stated. Then, an interval value fuzzy k -ideal of KU-semigroup is studied and a few properties are obtained. Furthermore, the notion of a

homomorphism is discussed. Main purpose of our future work is to investigate fuzzy of several types of ideals with special properties such as an intuitionistic fuzzy and hyper of KU-semigroup.

References

1. Prabpayak, C.; Leerawat, U. On ideals and congruence in KU-algebras. *Scientia Magna Journal*. **2009**, 5, 1, 54-57.
2. Prabpayak, C.; Leerawat, U. On isomorphisms of KU-algebras. *Scientia Magna journal*. **2009**, 5, 3, 25-31.
3. Kareem, F.F.; Hasan, E. R. On KU-semigroups. *International Journal of Science and Nature*. **2018**, 9, 1, 79-84.
4. Zadeh, L.A. Fuzzy Sets. *Inform and Control*. **1965**, 8, 338-353.
5. Mostafa, S.M.; Abd-Elnaby, M.A.; Yousef, M.M.M. Fuzzy ideals of KU-Algebras. *International Mathematical Forum*. **2011**, 6, 63, 3139-3149.
6. Hasan, E.R.; Kareem, F.F. Fuzzy KU-Semi-Groups and Investigate Some Basic Properties. *Journal of Engineering and Applied Science*. **2018**, 13, 18, 7739-7744.
7. Akram, M.; Yaqoob, N.; Kavikumar, J. Interval valued $(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\delta})$ -fuzzy KU-ideals of KU-algebras. *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math*. **2014**, 92, 3, 335-349.
8. Lee, K.M. Bipolar-valued fuzzy sets and their operations. *Proc. Int. Conf. on Intelligent Technologies, Bangkok, Thailand*. **2000**, 307-312.
9. Lee, K.M. Comparison of interval-valued fuzzy sets, intuitionistic fuzzy sets, and bipolar-valued fuzzy sets. *J. Fuzzy Logic Intelligent Systems*. **2004**, 14, 125-129.
10. Mostafa, S.M.; Abd-Elnaby, M.A.; Elgendy, O. R. Interval-valued Fuzzy KU ideals in KU-algebras. *Int. Math. Forum*. **2011**, 6, 64, 3151-3159.
11. Mostafa, S.M.; Radwan, A.E.; Ibrahim, F.A.; Kareem, F.F. Interval value fuzzy n-Fold KU-ideals of KU-algebras. *J. Math. Comput. Sci*. **2015**, 5, 2, 246-264.
12. Saeid, A.B. Bipolar-valued fuzzy BCK\BCI-algebras. *World Applied Sciences Journal*. **2009**, 7, 11, 1404-1411.