

Fixed Points Results in G – Metric Spaces

Anaam Neamah Faraj
anaamnemal@gmail.com
Salwa Salman Abed
salwaalbundi@yahoo.com

Department of Mathematics, College of Education for pure sciences, Ibn Al Haitham, University of Baghdad,
Baghdad, Iraq.

Article history: Received 11 October 2018, Accepted 26 November 2018, Publish February 2019

Abstract

In this paper, the concept of F – contraction mapping on a G -metric space is extended with a consideration on local F – contraction. As a result, two fixed point theorems were proved for F – contraction on a closed ball in a complete G -metric space.

Keywords: G – metric spaces, Local fixed points, F – contractions.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Bapure Dhage in his PhD thesis [1992] introduced a new class of generalized metric spaces, named D - metric spaces. Mustafa and Sims proved that most of the claims concerning the fundamental structures on D - metric spaces are incorrect and introduced an appropriate notion of D - metric space, named G -metric spaces. In fact, Mustafa, Sims and other authors introduced many fixed point results for self mappings in G - metric spaces under certain conditions.

Actually, the method is used in the study of fixed points in metric spaces, and symmetric spaces. In this paper, a general fixed point theorem for pairs of non weakly compatible mappings in G - metric space is proved. In the case of a single mapping some results. In 2012, Wardowski introduced a new concept for contraction mappings as called F -contraction by considering a class of real valued functions.

Let \mathcal{M} be a nonempty set and $Y : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function satisfying the following condition:

- 1- $Y(q, u, v) = 0$ if and only if $q = u = v$,
- 2- $0 < Y(q, q, u), \forall q, u \in \mathcal{M}$ with $q \neq u$,
- 3- $Y(q, q, u) \leq Y(q, u, v), \forall q, u, v \in \mathcal{M}$ with $u \neq v$,
- 4- $Y(q, u, v) = Y(q, v, u) = \dots$, (symmetry in all three variables),
- 5- $Y(q, u, v) \leq Y(q, a, a) + Y(a, u, v), \forall q, u, v, a \in \mathcal{M}$.

Then the function Y is called generalized metric on \mathcal{M} [1] and the pair (\mathcal{M}, Y) is called a G -metric space.

A G -metric space \mathcal{M} is called a symmetric [2] if $\forall q, u, v \in \mathcal{M}$

$$Y(q, u, u) = Y(q, q, u)$$

Many results and examples about Y -metric space and its generalization one can found in [2-10].

Proposition 1 [5]: Let (\mathcal{M}, Y) be a G -metric space, then the following statements are equivalent:

- 1- (\mathcal{M}, Y) is symmetric.
- 2- $Y(q, u, u) \leq Y(q, u, a)$ for all $q, u, a \in \mathcal{M}$,
- 3- $Y(q, u, v) \leq Y(q, u, a) + Y(v, u, b)$ for all $q, u, v, a, b \in \mathcal{M}$.

The Y -ball with center r_0 and radius $\epsilon > 0$ is $B_Y(r_0, \epsilon)$ [10] is:

$$B_Y(r_0, \epsilon) = \{s \in \mathcal{M} : Y(r_0, s, s) < \epsilon\}.$$

The sequence $\{r_n\}$ in a G -metric space (\mathcal{M}, Y) is said to be

1- Y -convergent to r if $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, \epsilon > 0$ for all $m, n \geq k$ such that $Y(r, r_n, r_m) < \epsilon$.

2- Y -Cauchy if $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}, \epsilon > 0$ for all $m, n, l \geq k$ such that $Y(r_n, r_m, r_l) < \epsilon$.

A G -metric space (\mathcal{M}, Y) is complete if every Y -Cauchy sequence (\mathcal{M}, Y) is Y -convergent in (\mathcal{M}, Y) [1].

Proposition 2 [11]: Let (\mathcal{M}, Y) be a G -metric space the following statements are equivalent

- 1- $\{r_n\}$ is Y -convergent to r , if and only if $Y(r_n, r_n, r) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
- 2- Is $Y(r_n, r, r) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if $Y(r_n, r_m, r) \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 3 [6]: Let $\{q_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence in a G -metric space (\mathcal{M}, Y) if $\{r_n\}$

converges to q and $\{u_n\}$ converge to u . Then $Y(q_n, q_n, u_n)$ converges to $Y(q, q, u)$.

The self-mapping f on a G -metric space (\mathcal{M}, Y) is Y -continuous at $r \in \mathcal{M}$ [9] iff every

sequence $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}$, with $r_n \rightarrow r$, we have $f r_n \xrightarrow{Y} f r$.

A mapping $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is said to be F -contraction if there exists $\tau > 0$ such that for all $q, u, v \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$Y(fq, fu, fv) > 0, \quad \tau + F(Y(fq, fu, fv)) \leq F(Y(q, u, v)). \text{ for all } q, u, v \in \mathcal{M} \quad (1)$$

Let D be the class of all functions $F: R^+ \rightarrow R$ is a mapping satisfying the following conditions:

(D1) F is strictly increasing, i.e., for all $q, u, v \in R^+$ such that $q < u < v$, $F(q) < F(u) < F(v)$,

(D2) For each sequence $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset (0, \infty)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ iff $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(\alpha_n) = -\infty$.

(D3) $\exists k \in [0, 1)$ such that $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \alpha^k F(\alpha) = 0$.

Every F -contraction is contractive (by D1) and then every F -contraction is Y -continuous. Clearly, (1) and (D1) implies that every F -contraction mapping is Y -continuous, since for all $q, u, v \in \mathcal{M}$, with $f q \neq fu \neq fv$, $F(fq, fu, fv) \leq F(Y(q, u, v))$.

For illustration, we give the following example.

Example 4

a- Consider $F_1: (0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ as $F_1(\alpha) = \ln \alpha$. It is clear that $F_1 \in D$. Then each self mappings f on a G -metric space (\mathcal{M}, Y) is an F_1 -contraction \exists for all $q, u, v \in \mathcal{M}$, $f q \neq fu \neq fv$

$$Y(fq, fu, fv) \leq e^{-\tau} Y(q, u, v)$$

Then for $q, u, v \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $f q \neq fu \neq fv$ the inequality $Y(fq, fu, fv) \leq e^{-\tau} Y(q, u, v)$

holds.

Therefore, f is a contraction with $h = e^{-\tau}$.

b- Let $F_2: (0, \infty) \rightarrow R$ be $F_2(\alpha) = \alpha + \ln \alpha$. It is clear that $F_2 \in D$. Then each self mappings f on a G -metric space (\mathcal{M}, Y) satisfying (1.1) is an F_2 -contraction such that

$$\frac{Y(fq, fu, fv)}{Y(q, u, v)} e^{Y(q, u, v) - Y(q, u, v)} \leq e^{-\tau}, \text{ for all } q, u, v \in \mathcal{M}, fq \neq fu \neq fv$$

2. Main Results

Throughout the following \mathcal{M} is a complete G – metric space *w.r.t.* distance function Y . We can prove the following theorem

Theorem 5

Let $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be Y – continuous self-mapping, $\epsilon > 0$ and $q_0 \in \mathcal{M}$. Suppose that $\exists h \in [0, 1), \tau > 0$, and $F \in D$. If for all $q, u, v \in \overline{B(q_0, \epsilon)} \subset \mathcal{M}$ with $Y(fq, fu, fv) > 0 \ni$

$$\tau + F(Y(fq, fu, fv)) \leq F(hY(q, u, v)), \tag{2}$$

and

$$Y(q_0, fq_0, fq_0) < (1 - k)\epsilon. \tag{3}$$

Then $\exists! r^*$ in $\overline{B(q_0, \epsilon)} \ni r^* = fr^*$.

Proof: Suppose $q_1 \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $q_1 = fq_0, q_2 = fq_1$. Continuing in this way, we get

$$q_{n+1} = fq_n, \quad \forall n \geq 0.$$

Implies that $\{q_n\}$ is non-increasing sequence.

First, to prove $q_n \in \overline{B(q_0, \epsilon)}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, by using mathematical induction. From (3), we get

$$Y(q_0, q_1, q_1) = Y(q_0, fq_0, fq_0) \leq (1 - k)\epsilon < \epsilon. \tag{4}$$

Hence, $q_1 \in \overline{B(q_0, \epsilon)}$. Suppose $q_2, \dots, q_i \in \overline{B(q_0, \epsilon)}$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then from (2) we obtain

$$F(Y(q_i, q_{i+1}, q_{i+1})) = F(Y(fq_{i-1}, fq_i, fq_i)) \leq F(hY(q_{i-1}, q_i, q_i)) - \tau$$

Since F is strictly increasing, we get

$$(Y(q_i, q_{i+1}, q_{i+1})) < hY(q_{i-1}, q_i, q_i) \tag{5}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} Y(q_0, q_{i+1}, q_{i+1}) &\leq Y(q_0, q_1, q_1) + \dots + Y(q_i, q_{i+1}, q_{i+1}) \\ &< Y(q_0, q_1, q_1)[1 + k + \dots + k^i] \\ &\leq (1 - k)\epsilon \frac{(1 - k^{i+1})}{1 - k} \\ &< \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$q_{i+1} \in \overline{B(q_0, \epsilon)}, \text{ Hence } r_n \in \overline{B(q_0, \epsilon)} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Continuing, we have

$$F(Y(q_n, q_{n+1}, r_{n+1})) \leq F(Y(q_0, q_1, q_1)) - n\tau$$

This implies that

$$F(Y(q_n, q_{n+1}, q_{n+1})) \leq F(Y(q_0, q_1, q_1)) - n\tau \tag{6}$$

From (6) we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(Y(q_n, q_{n+1}, q_{n+1})) = -\infty.$$

Since $F \in D$. We get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y(q_n, q_{n+1}, q_{n+1}) = 0 \tag{7}$$

From (D3) there exists $p \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Y(q_n, q_{n+1}, q_{n+1}))^p F(Y(q_n, q_{n+1}, q_{n+1})) = 0 \tag{8}$$

From (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Y(q_n, q_{n+1}, q_{n+1}))^p F(Y(q_n, q_{n+1}, q_{n+1})) - F(Y(q_0, q_1, q_1)) \\ \leq -(Y(q_n, q_{n+1}, q_{n+1}))^p n\tau \leq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

By (7), (8) and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, in (9) we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n(Y(q_n, q_{n+1}, q_{n+1}))^p) = 0. \tag{10}$$

we observe that from (10), then $\exists n_1 \in \mathbb{N} \ni n(Y(q_n, q_{n+1}, q_{n+1}))^p \leq 1, \forall n \geq n_1$ we have

$$Y(q_n, q_{n+1}, q_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{n^p}, \forall n \geq n_1 \tag{11}$$

Now, $m, n \in \mathbb{N} \ni m > n \geq n_1$. Then, by properties of Y and (11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Y(q_n, q_m, q_m) &\leq Y(q_n, q_{n+1}, q_{n+1}) + Y(q_{n+1}, q_{n+2}, q_{n+2}) + \dots + Y(q_{m-1}, q_m, q_m) \\ &= \sum_{j=n}^{m-1} Y(q_j, q_{j+1}, q_{j+1}) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} Y(q_j, q_{j+1}, q_{j+1}) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^p} \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

The series $\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^p}$ is Y -convergent.

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, from (12) we get $\{q_n\}$ is a Y -Cauchy sequence since

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} Y(q_n, q_m, q_m) = 0.$$

By completeness of \mathcal{M} , $\exists r^* \in \overline{B(r_0, \epsilon)} \ni q_n \rightarrow r^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since f is Y -continuous. Then

$q_{n+1} = f q_n \rightarrow f r^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, that is, $r^* = f r^*$.

Hence r^* is a fixed point of f . To prove uniqueness, let $q, u \in \overline{B_l(q_0, \epsilon)}$ and $q \neq u$ be any two fixed point of f . Then from (2) we have

$$\tau + F(Y(fq, fu, fu)) \leq F(hY(q, u, u)),$$

we obtain,

$$\tau + F(Y(fq, fu, fu)) \leq F(Y(q, u, u)).$$

which is contradiction, so, $q = u$.

For more illustration we give the following example.

Example 6

Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^+$ and $Y(q, u, v) = |q - u| + |u - v| + |q - v|$. Then (\mathcal{M}, Y) is a complete G-metric space. Define the mapping $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ by,

$$f(q) = \begin{cases} \frac{q}{4}, & r \in [0, 1] \\ q - \frac{1}{2}, & r \in (1, \infty). \end{cases}$$

$$r_0 = 1, \epsilon = 3, \overline{B(r_0, \epsilon)} = \left[\frac{-1}{2}, \frac{5}{2}\right].$$

$$\text{If } F(\alpha) = \ln \alpha, \alpha > 0 \text{ and } \tau > 0, \text{ then } Y(1, f1, f1) = \frac{9}{4} < \epsilon.$$

If $q, s, t \in \overline{B(r_0, \epsilon)}$ then

$$\frac{1}{4} (|q - u| + |u - v| + |q - v|) < \frac{1}{2} (|q - u| + |u - v| + |q - v|)$$

$$\text{So, } Y(fq, fu, fv) < hY(q, u, v)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \tau + F(Y(fq, fu, fv)) &= \tau + \ln(Y(fq, fu, fv)) \\ &\leq \ln h(Y(q, u, v)) \\ &= F(hY(q, u, v)) \end{aligned}$$

If $q, u, v \in (1, \infty)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left(q - \frac{1}{2} \right) - \left(u - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right| + \left| \left(u - \frac{1}{2} \right) - \left(v - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right| + \left| \left(v - \frac{1}{2} \right) - \left(q - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right| &= |r - u| + |u - v| + \\ |r - v| & \tau + |fq - fu| + |fu - fv| + |fq - fv| > |q - u| + |u - v| + \\ |q - v| & \end{aligned}$$

So, $\tau + F(Y(fq, fu, fv)) > F(Y(q, u, v))$.

Then the contraction does not hold on \mathcal{M} .

Now, we present two properties of $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. We say that f satisfies the condition:

I- $\omega(fq, fu, fv) \geq 1, \forall q, u, v \in \mathcal{M}$ whenever $\omega: \mathcal{M}^3 \rightarrow R^+, \omega(q, u, v) \geq 1$.

II- for given a sequence $\{q_n\} \subset \mathcal{M}$ with $q_n \rightarrow q \in \mathcal{M}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, if

$\omega(q_n, q_{n+1}, q_{n+1}) \geq \varphi(q_n, q_{n+1}, q_{n+1}), \forall n \in N \Rightarrow fq_n \rightarrow fq$, where $\omega, \varphi: \mathcal{M}^3 \rightarrow R^+$ are two functions.

If $\varphi(q, u, v) = 1$ then (II) reduces (I).

Let $\Delta\psi = \{ \psi: R^{+4} \rightarrow R^+ : \forall t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 \in R^+, t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 = 0, \exists \tau > 0 \ni \psi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = \tau \}$.

Definition 7

Let f be a self-mapping on a G -metric space (\mathcal{M}, Y) and $r_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\epsilon > 0$. Suppose that $\omega: \mathcal{M}^3 \rightarrow (0, +\infty), \varphi: \mathcal{M}^3 \rightarrow R^+$ two functions. We say that f is called ω - φ - ψF -contraction on a closed ball if for all $q, u, v \in \overline{B(q_0, \epsilon)} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, with

$$\omega[(q, fq, fq), (u, fu, fu), (v, fv, fv)] \leq \varphi(q, u, v)$$

and

$Y(fq, fu, fv) > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi[Y(q, fq, fq), Y(u, fu, fu), Y(v, fv, fv)] + F(Y(fq, fu, fv)) \\ \leq F(hY(q, u, v)), \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

and

$$Y(q_0, fq_0, fq_0) \leq (1 - k)\epsilon, \tag{14}$$

where $0 \leq k < 1, \psi \in \Delta\psi$ and $F \in D$.

Definition 8

Let $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a self-mapping and $\omega, \varphi: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be two functions. f is

called that is ω -admissible mapping with respect to φ if $q, u, v \in \mathcal{M}, \varphi(q, u, v) \leq \omega(q, u, v)$ implies that $\varphi(fq, fu, fv) \leq \omega(fq, fu, fv)$ and $Y(fq, fu, fv) > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi[Y(q, fq, fq), Y(u, fu, fu), Y(v, fv, fv), Y(q, fu, fv), Y(u, fq, fv), Y(v, fq, fu)] + \\ F(Y(fq, fu, fv)) \leq F(M(q, u, v)) \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where $M(q, u, v) = \max\{ Y(q, u, v), Y(q, fq, fq), Y(u, fu, fu),$

$$Y(v, fv, fv), \frac{Y(q, fu, fv) + Y(u, fq, fv) + Y(v, fq, fu)}{3} \}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=0}^N Y(r_0, fr_0, fr_0) \leq, \forall j \in N \text{ and } \epsilon > 0. \tag{16}$$

$\psi \in \Delta\psi$ and $F \in D$.

Theorem 9

Let $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be ω - φ - ψF – contraction mapping on a closed ball where

- (i) f is an ω - admissible mapping with respect to φ ,
- (ii) there exists $r_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\omega(r_0, fr_0, fr_0) \geq \varphi(r_0, fr_0, fr_0)$,
- (iii) f is an ω - φ - continuous.

Then there exists a point r_0 in $\overline{B(r_0, \epsilon)}$ such that $fr = r$

Proof: Let r_0 in \mathcal{M} such that $\omega(r_0, fr_0, fr_0) \geq \varphi(r_0, fr_0, fr_0)$. For $r_0 \in \mathcal{M}$. Let us construct a sequence $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$r_1 = f r_0, r_2 = f r_1 = f^2 r_0 \text{ continuing this way, } r_{n+1} = f r_n = f^{n+1} r_0, \forall n \in N.$$

Since, f is an ω - admissible mapping with respect to φ , then

$$\omega(r_0, r_1, r_1) = \omega(r_0, fr_0, fr_0) \geq \varphi(r_0, fr_0, fr_0) = \varphi(r_0, r_1, r_1).$$

Continuous we get

$$\varphi(r_{n-1}, f r_{n-1}, f r_{n-1}) = \varphi(r_{n-1}, r_n, r_n) \leq \omega(r_{n-1}, r_n, r_n), \forall n \in N \tag{17}$$

If $\exists n \in N \ni Y(r_n, f r_n, f r_n) = 0$, there is nothing to prove.

So, suppose that $r_n \neq r_{n+1}$ with

$$Y(fr_{n-1}, fr_n, fr_n) = Y(fr_{n-1}, fr_n, fr_n) > 0, \forall n \in N.$$

First, we see that $r_n \in \overline{B(r_0, \epsilon)}$, $\forall n \in N$.

Since f be a ω - φ - ψF – contraction mapping on a closed ball, we get

$$Y(r_0, r_1, r_1) = Y(r_0, fr_0, fr_0) \leq (1 - k)\epsilon < \epsilon \tag{18}$$

Thus

$r_1 \in \overline{B(r_0, \epsilon)}$. Suppose $r_2, \dots, r_j \in \overline{B(r_0, \epsilon)}$ for some $j \in N$, such that

$$\psi(Y(r_{j-1}, fr_{j-1}, fr_{j-1}), Y(r_j, fr_j, fr_j), Y(r_{j-1}, fr_j, fr_j), Y(r_j, fr_{j-1}, fr_{j-1})) \\ + F(Y(fr_{j-1}, fr_j, fr_j)) \leq F(h Y(r_{j-1}, r_j, r_j)).$$

This implies,

$$\psi(Y(r_{j-1}, r_j, r_j), Y(r_j, r_{j+1}, r_{j+1}), Y(r_{j-1}, r_{j+1}, r_{j+1}), 0) \\ + F(Y(fr_{j-1}, fr_j, fr_j)) \leq F(h Y(r_{j-1}, r_j, r_j)).$$

By definition of ψ ,

$$(Y(r_{j-1}, r_j, r_j), Y(r_j, r_{j+1}, r_{j+1}), Y(r_{j-1}, r_{j+1}, r_{j+1}), 0) = 0,$$

So, $\exists \tau > 0$ such that,

$$\psi(Y(r_{j-1}, r_j, r_j), Y(r_j, r_{j+1}, r_{j+1}), Y(r_{j-1}, r_{j+1}, r_{j+1}), 0) = \tau.$$

Therefore,

$$F(Y(r_j, r_{j+1}, r_{j+1}))F(Y(r_j, r_{j+1}, r_{j+1})) = F(h Y(r_{j-1}, r_j, r_j)) - \tau \tag{19}$$

To complete, we follow the same steps of the theorem (9), since \mathcal{M} is complete G – metric space there exists $r \in \overline{B(r_0, \epsilon)}$ such that

$r_n \rightarrow r$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. f is an ω - φ - continuous and

$$\varphi(r_{n-1}, r_n, r_n) \leq \omega(r_{n-1}, r_n, r_n), \forall n \in N.$$

Then

$$r_{n+1} = f r_n \rightarrow f r \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

That is, $r = f r$ hence r is a fixed point of f .

To illustrate theorem 9, we give the following example

Example 10

Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}^+$ and Y be G -metric on \mathcal{M} as in Example (6) Define $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$,
 $\omega: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \{-\infty\} \cup (0, +\infty)$, $\varphi: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$,
 $\psi: (\mathbb{R}^+)^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, and $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by

$$f(r) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{r}, & r \in [0,1], \\ 2r, & r \in (1, \infty) \end{cases}, \quad \omega(r, u, v) = \begin{cases} e^{r+u+v}, & r \in [0,1] \\ \frac{1}{5}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi(r, u, v) = \frac{1}{3} \text{ for all } r, u, v \in \mathcal{M}, \quad \psi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = \tau > 0$$

and $F(q) = \ln q$ with $q > 0$.

$$r_0 = \frac{1}{3}, \quad \epsilon = 1, \quad \overline{B(r_0, \epsilon)} = \left[\frac{-1}{6}, \frac{5}{6} \right]$$

then

$$Y\left(\frac{1}{3}, f\frac{1}{3}, f\frac{1}{3}\right) = 0.732 < \epsilon.$$

If $r, u, v \in \overline{B(r_0, \epsilon)}$ then $\omega(r, u, v) = e^{r+u+v} > \frac{1}{3} = \varphi(r, u, v)$.

On the other hand, $f(r) \in \overline{B(r_0, \epsilon)}, \forall r \in \overline{B(r_0, \epsilon)}$.

Then, $\omega(fr, fu, fv) \geq \varphi(r, fr, fr)$ with $Y(fr, fu, fv) = |\sqrt{r} - \sqrt{u}| + |\sqrt{u} - \sqrt{v}| + |\sqrt{r} - \sqrt{v}| > 0$.

Clearly $\omega(0, f1, f1) \geq \varphi(0, f1, f1)$, then we have

$Y(fr, fu, fv)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left| \frac{(\sqrt{r} - \sqrt{u})(\sqrt{r} + \sqrt{u})}{\sqrt{r} + \sqrt{u}} \right| + \left| \frac{(\sqrt{u} - \sqrt{v})(\sqrt{u} + \sqrt{v})}{\sqrt{u} + \sqrt{v}} \right| + \left| \frac{(\sqrt{r} - \sqrt{v})(\sqrt{r} + \sqrt{v})}{\sqrt{r} + \sqrt{v}} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{r - u}{\sqrt{r} + \sqrt{u}} \right| + \left| \frac{u - v}{\sqrt{u} + \sqrt{v}} \right| + \left| \frac{r - v}{\sqrt{r} + \sqrt{v}} \right| < h(|r - u| + |u - v| + |r - v|) \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\tau + F(Y(fr, fu, fv)) = \tau + \ln Y(fr, fu, fv) \leq \ln h Y(r, u, v) = F(h Y(r, u, v)).$$

If $r \notin \overline{B(r_0, \epsilon)}$ or $u \notin \overline{B(r_0, \epsilon)}$ or $v \notin \overline{B(r_0, \epsilon)}$ then

$$\omega(r, u, v) = \frac{1}{5} \not\geq \frac{1}{3} = \varphi(r, u, v)$$

$$2(|r - u| + |u - v| + |r - v|) > |r - u| + |u - v| + |r - v|$$

$$|fr - fu| + |fu - fv| + |fr - fv| > |r - u| + |u - v| + |r - v|$$

$$\tau + F(Y(fr, fu, fv)) \geq F(Y(r, u, v))$$

The contraction does not hold.

3. Conclusion and Open Problem

This research focus on introducing new idea of F-contraction on a closed ball which is different from F-contraction given in [4]. Therefore a generalization of results is very useful so far as it requires the F-contraction mapping only on a closed ball rather the whole space. This new idea however guides the researcher towards futher investigations and applications. At the

same time, it will be interesting to apply these concepts in various spaces. In the future, we suggest studying the results in [8] to verify the extent achieved in the setting of F – contraction mappings in modular spaces.

References

1. Mustafa, Z.; Sims, B.A. New Approach to Generalized Metric Space. *J. of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*. **2006**, 7, 2, 289-297.
2. Abed, S.S.; Luaibi, H. H. Two Fixed Point Theorems in Generalized Metric Spaces. *International Journal of Advanced Statistics and Probability*. 2016, 4, 1, 16-19.
3. Gajic, L.; Stojakovic, M.; Thomas, S. Type Fixed Point Theorems in Generalized Metric Spaces. *Filomat*. **2017**, 31, 11, 3347–3356
4. Tahat, N.; et al. Common Fixed Points for Single Valued and Multi-Valued Maps Satisfying Generalized Contraction in G-Metric Spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*. **2012**, 7, 31-39.
5. Abed, S.S.; Faraj, A.N. Topological properties of G -Hausdorff metric. *International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Statistical Sciences (IJAMSS)*. **2018**, 7, 5, 1-18.
6. Abed, S.S. Fixed Point Principles in General b – Metric Spaces and b – Menger Probabilistic spaces. *Journal of AL-Qadisiyah for computer science and mathematics*. **2018**, 10, 2, 2521-0204.
7. Abed, S.S.; Luaibi, H.H. Implicit Fixed Points in Menger G - Metric Spaces. *International Journal of advanced Scientific Technical Research*. **2016**, 6, 1, 117-127.
8. Abed, S.S.; Abdul Sada, K.E. Common Fixed Points in Modular Spaces, *Ibn Al-Haitham Journal for Pure and Applied science*. **2017**. Special Issue.
9. Phaneendra, T.; Swamy, K. K. Unique Fixed Point in G -Metric Space Through Greatest Lower Bound Properties. *Novi Sat J. Math*. **2013**, 43, 2, 107-115.
10. Mustafa, Z.; Hamed, O.; Aawdeh, F. Some Fixed Point Theorems for Mapping on Complete G -Metric Space. Hindawi Publishing Corporation. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*. **2008**, 1-12.
11. A. Kaewcharoen, A.; Kaewkhao, A. Common Fixed Points for Single- Valued and Multi-Valued Mappings in G -Metric Spaces. *Int J. of Math Analysis*. **2011**, 5, 36, 1775-1790.