

## DISCRETE SYSTEMS WITH ADVANCED ARGUMENT

Lolimar Díaz and Raúl Naulin

*Dep. de Mat., Universidad de Oriente, Apartado 285,*

*Cumaná 6101-A, Venezuela*

*e-mail: lolidiaz@sucre.udo.edu.ve*

*e-mail: rnaulin@sucre.udo.edu.ve*

1991 AMS (MOS) subject classification: Primary 39A10; Secondary 34K20.

Key words: Difference equations with advanced argument, existence and uniqueness of solutions.

### Abstract

The existence and uniqueness of solutions to the difference equation with advanced argument  $\Delta x(n) = f(n, x(n), x(g(n)))$ ,  $g(n) \geq n + 1$ , are discussed.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, where we denote  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , we treat the problem of existence and uniqueness of the solutions to the initial value problem (IVP)

$$\begin{cases} \Delta x(n) = f(n, x(n), x(g(n))), & n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ x(0) = \xi, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

(where the difference operator  $\Delta$  is defined by  $\Delta x(n) = x(n+1) - x(n)$ ) corresponding to the difference equation with advanced argument

$$\Delta x(n) = f(n, x(n), x(g(n))). \quad (1.2)$$

The sequence  $\{g(n)\}$  satisfies  $g(n) \geq n+1, \forall n \in \mathbf{N}$ . For equations with continuous argument, this problem has been analyzed in [9], and the present paper can be considered, with appropriate modifications, as the discrete version of those results.

By a solution of Eq. (1.2) we will understand a sequence  $x : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow R^n$  that satisfies this equation on all of  $\mathbf{N}$ . Thus, we are treating a non local problem. This implies that the known methods for a certain class of equation with advanced argument appearing in the theory of equations with delay [6] are not applicable to Eq. (1.2).

Although, a solution of Eq. (1.2) has not a clear physical meaning, from a simple inspection of problem (1.1) we may observe that the present state  $x(n)$  is conditioned to the future understanding of the sequence  $x(k), k \geq n$ . The difficulties arising in the study of equations with advanced argument remind the problem of backward prolongation of delay differential equations [7].

The antecedents of this study are the following: The beautiful paper of Sugiyama [9], who, by simple examples, shows that, in general, the uniqueness of the IVP fails if we do not restrict the analyze of these equations to a specific functional space; the paper of Popenda and E. Schmeidel [8], who study the problem of existence of convergent solutions of scalar equations with advanced argument; our own research on this subject, mainly dedicated to linear problems [1, 2, 3, 4, 5].

## 2 Existence and uniqueness

Throughout we will use a sequence  $\{h(n)\}$  satisfying

$$(H0) \quad h(0) = 1, 0 \leq h(n) \leq h(n+1), \forall n \in \mathbf{N}, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h(n)^{-1} < \infty.$$

We define

$$\mathcal{L}_h^{\infty} = \{f : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow R^n, \|f\|_h < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_h = \sup\{|h(n)^{-1}f(n)|, n \in \mathbf{N}\}.$$

If  $\|f\|_h < \infty$ , then we will say that  $f$  is an  $h$ -bounded sequence.

The Eq. (1.2) is defined by the function  $f : \mathbf{N} \times R^n \times R^n \rightarrow R^n$ , which is assumed to be continuous with respect to  $(x, y) \in R^n \times R^n$  for any fixed  $n$ .

Let us consider the following conditions on the IVP (1.1):

(H1) For any point  $\xi \in R^n$ , the following sequence converges

$$\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} h(m)^{-1}|f(m, \xi, \xi)|.$$

(H2) Let  $w(n, \lambda, \mu)$  be a nonnegative and nondecreasing function with respect to  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  for any fixed  $n$ ,  $w : \mathbf{N} \times [0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ ,  $w(n, 0, 0) = 0$ , such that the series

$$\Omega(\gamma) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} w(m, \gamma, \alpha(m)\gamma)$$

is convergent, where the sequence  $\{\alpha(n)\}$  is defined by

$$\alpha(n) = \frac{h(g(n))}{h(n)}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

(H3) For a nonnegative constant  $\gamma$ , we define the sequence  $\{M_k(\gamma)\}$  by

$$M_0(\gamma) = \gamma, \quad M_{k+1}(\gamma) = \Omega(M_k(\gamma)), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

We will assume that for any  $\gamma$ , the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k(\gamma)$  converges.

(H4) The function  $f(n, x, y)$  satisfies the inequality

$$|h(n)^{-1}(f(n, x_1, y_1) - f(n, x_2, y_2))| \leq w(n, h(n)^{-1}|x_1 - x_2|, h(n)^{-1}|y_1 - y_2|)$$

for any  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2$  in  $R^n$ .

**Theorem 1.** *The hypotheses (H0, H1, H2, H3, H4) imply the existence of a unique solution  $\{\varphi(n)\}$  in the space  $\mathcal{L}_h^\infty$  of the IVP (1.1) for every  $\xi \in R^n$ .*

**Proof.** We will use the successive approximations method to demonstrate the existence of solution of the problem (1.1). Let us define the recurrence

$$\begin{aligned}x_0(n) &= \xi, \\x_{k+1}(n) &= \xi + \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} f(m, x_k(m), x_k(g(m))), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\end{aligned}$$

We will prove that the estimate

$$|h(n)^{-1}(x_{k+1}(n) - x_k(n))| \leq M_k(b), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

is valid for any  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . For  $k = 1$  we have

$$|h(n)^{-1}(x_1(n) - \xi)| \leq \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} |h(n)^{-1}f(m, x_0(m), x_0(g(m)))|.$$

From (H1) and the notations introduced in (H4) we write

$$|h(n)^{-1}(x_1(n) - \xi)| \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |h(m)^{-1}f(m, \xi, \xi)| = b = M_0(b).$$

Let us suppose that

$$|h(n)^{-1}(x_k(n) - x_{k-1}(n))| \leq M_{k-1}(b), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Since  $|h(n)^{-1}(x_{k+1}(n) - x_k(n))|$  is majorated by

$$\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \omega(m, h(m)^{-1}|x_k(m) - x_{k-1}(m)|, h(m)^{-1}|x_k(g(m)) - x_{k-1}(g(m))|),$$

then it follows the inequality

$$|h(n)^{-1}(x_k(n) - x_{k-1}(n))| \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \omega(m, M_{k-1}(b), \alpha(m)M_{k-1}(b)) = M_k(b).$$

From the telescope identity

$$x_{k+1}(n) = x_{k+1}(n) - x_k(n) + x_k(n) - x_{k-1}(n) + \dots + x_1(n) - \xi + \xi,$$

we conclude that the convergence of sequence  $\{x_k\}$  is equivalent to the convergence



of the series

$$\xi + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (x_{k+1}(n) - x_k(n)).$$

In  $\mathcal{L}_h^{\infty}$ , the partial sums of this series are majorated by:

$$|h(n)^{-1}\xi| + |h(n)^{-1}(x_1(n) - \xi)| + \dots + |h(n)^{-1}(x_{k+1}(n) - x_k(n))| \leq$$

$$\|\xi\|_h + M_0(b) + M_1(b) + \dots + M_k(b).$$

The condition **(H3)** implies the convergence of the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k(b)$  assuring, by the Weierstrass criterion, the uniform convergence of  $\{x_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ , on all  $\mathbf{N}$ , to a sequence  $\varphi(n)$  belonging to  $\mathcal{L}_h^{\infty}$ . Since  $\{x_k(n)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  converges coordinate by coordinate to  $\varphi(n)$ , then  $\varphi(n)$  is a solution of the IVP (1.1).

Now, we will prove the uniqueness of the solution  $\varphi$  of IVP (1.1) in  $\mathcal{L}_h^{\infty}$ . Suppose that  $\{x(n)\}$ ,  $\{y(n)\}$  are two  $h$ -bounded solutions of problem (1.1). From **(H4)** it follows

$$\begin{aligned} |h(n)^{-1}(x(n) - y(n))| &\leq \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} |h(n)^{-1}(f(m, x(m), x(g(m))) \\ &\quad - f(m, y(m), y(g(m))))| \\ &\leq \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} w(m, |h(m)^{-1}(x(m) - y(m))|, |h(m)^{-1}(x(g(m)) \\ &\quad - y(g(m)))|). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\{x(n)\}$ ,  $\{y(n)\}$  are  $h$ -bounded, we can define  $\delta = \|x - y\|_h$ . Therefore

$$|h(n)^{-1}(x(n) - y(n))| \leq \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} w(m, \delta, \alpha(m)\delta) \leq \Omega(\delta),$$

from whence

$$\delta \leq \Omega(\delta).$$

We will see that the unique nonnegative number satisfying the above inequality is  $\delta = 0$ . First, we prove that

$$\delta \leq M_k(\delta), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

The definition of  $\Omega$  leads to the estimate  $\Omega(\delta) = M_1(\delta)$ , implying  $\delta \leq M_1(\delta)$ . If  $\delta \leq M_{k-1}(\delta)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} M_k(\delta) &= \Omega(M_{k-1}(\delta)) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} w(m, M_{k-1}(\delta), \alpha(m)M_{k-1}(\delta)) \\ &\geq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} w(m, \delta, \alpha(m)\delta) = \Omega(\delta) \geq \delta. \end{aligned}$$

The series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_k(\delta)$  converges, what implies  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M_k(\delta) = 0$ . From  $\delta \leq M_k(\delta)$  we get  $\delta = 0$ . ■

**Theorem 2.** *Under conditions (H0, H1, H2, H3, H4), the solution  $\{\varphi(n)\}$  of IVP (1.1) in the space  $\ell_h^\infty$  has a limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and both, the solution and its limit, continuously depend on the initial value  $\xi$ .*

**Proof of the existence of the limit.** Let  $\varphi(n)$  an  $h$ -bounded solution of IVP (1.1). We shall prove the existence of the limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(n)^{-1}\varphi(n)$ . Since

$$h(n)^{-1}\varphi(n) = h(n)^{-1}\xi + \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} h(n)^{-1}f(m, \varphi(m), \varphi(g(m))),$$

it is sufficient to prove the convergence of the sequence

$$\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} h(n)^{-1}f(m, \varphi(m), \varphi(g(m))).$$

From the property

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(n)^{-1} = 0$$

and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} h(n)^{-1}(f(m, \varphi(m), \varphi(g(m))) - f(m, \xi, \xi)) = 0.$$

Therefore, the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} h(n)^{-1} f(m, \varphi(m), \varphi(g(m))) = \\ & \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} h(n)^{-1} (f(m, \varphi(m), \varphi(g(m))) - f(m, \xi, \xi)) + \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} h(n)^{-1} f(m, \xi, \xi) \end{aligned}$$

implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} h(n)^{-1} f(m, \varphi(m), \varphi(g(m))) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} h(n)^{-1} f(m, \xi, \xi).$$

This last limit exists due to the condition (H1).

**Proof of the continuous dependence on the initial values.** Let  $\varphi_1(n)$ ,  $\varphi_2(n)$  be  $h$ -bounded solutions of the IVP (1.1) with initial values  $\varphi_1(0) = \xi_1$ ,  $\varphi_2(0) = \xi_2$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & |h(n)^{-1}(\varphi_1(n) - \varphi_2(n))| \leq |h(n)^{-1}(\xi_1 - \xi_2)| \\ & + \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} |h(m)^{-1}(f(m, \varphi_1(m), \varphi_1(g(m))) - f(m, \varphi_2(m), \varphi_2(g(m))))| \\ & \leq |h(n)^{-1}(\xi_1 - \xi_2)| \\ & + \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} w(m, |h(m)^{-1}(\varphi_1(m) - \varphi_2(m))|, |h(m)^{-1}(\varphi_1(g(m)) - \varphi_2(g(m)))|) \end{aligned}$$

Since both  $\varphi_1(n)$  and  $\varphi_2(n)$  are  $h$ -bounded, we may define the nondecreasing function

$$\mu(\varepsilon) = \sup\{|h(n)^{-1}(\varphi_1(n) - \varphi_2(n))| : |h(n)^{-1}(\xi_1 - \xi_2)| < \varepsilon, \varepsilon > 0\}.$$

From (H2) we have

$$|h(n)^{-1}(\varphi_1(n) - \varphi_2(n))| \leq |h(n)^{-1}(\xi_1 - \xi_2)| + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} w(m, \mu(\varepsilon), \alpha(m)\mu(\varepsilon)).$$

If we compute the supremum on all  $n$  such that  $|h(n)^{-1}(\xi_1 - \xi_2)| < \varepsilon$ , then we obtain

$$\mu(\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon + \Omega(\mu(\varepsilon)).$$

Taking into account the existence of the limit

$$\mu_0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \mu(\varepsilon),$$

it is follows that  $\mu_0 \leq \Omega(\mu_0)$ .

The same tokens used in the proof of Theorem 1 show that the last inequality is a contradiction unless  $\mu_0 = 0$ . This proves the continuous dependence, in the space  $\ell_h^\infty$ , of the bounded solutions of system (1.1) with respect to the initial values as well as the continuous dependence of the limits at  $n = \infty$  of these solutions. ■

### 3 Linear equations

How does the theory developed in section 2 work for the linear system

$$x(n+1) = A(n)x(n) + B(n)x(g(n)), \quad (3.3)$$

where  $\{A(n)\}$ ,  $\{B(n)\}$  are sequences of  $r \times r$  matrices, that are not required to be invertible. Let us assume the following set of conditions:

$$(C1) \quad n+1 \leq g(n) \leq n+N,$$

where  $N$  is a constant natural number.

$$(C2) \quad \frac{h(m)}{h(n)} \leq H, \quad \forall n+1 \leq m \leq n+N, \quad \forall n,$$

where  $\{h(n)\}$  is an increasing sequence satisfying (H0). Defining

$$f(n, x, y) = A(n)x + B(n)y,$$

the condition (H1) will be accomplished if

$$(C3) \quad \rho = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (|A(n)| + H|B(n)|) < 1.$$



The function  $w(n, \lambda, \mu) = |A(n)|\lambda + |B(n)|\mu$  satisfies the condition (H4) and condition (H2), since

$$\Omega(\gamma) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} w(m, \gamma, H\gamma) = \gamma \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (|A(m)| + H|B(m)|) < \infty$$

The sequence defined in (H3) turns to be  $M_k(\gamma) = \rho^k \gamma$ . Thus, we may enounce the following

**Theorem 3.** *Under conditions (H0, C1, C2, C3), the IVP*

$$\begin{cases} x(n+1) = A(n)x(n) + B(n)x(g(n)), \\ x(0) = \xi, \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

has a unique solution in the space  $\ell_h^\infty$ . This solution, in the metric of space  $\ell_h^\infty$ , depends continuously on the initial data  $\xi$ . Moreover this solution converges as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

The conditions (C1)-(C3) are stringent for the linear system (3.3). For example, Theorem 3 cannot be applied to solve the IVP (3.4) if  $A(n)$  is constant. Linear systems with advanced argument have been studied in [1, 2, 3, 4, 5], where conditions of existence and uniqueness, more general than those given by Theorem 3, are given.

## 4 Another class of problems

Let us consider the equation

$$\Delta x(n) = A(n)x(n) + B(n)x(g(n)) + \sum_{s=0}^{\hat{g}(n)} K(\hat{g}(n), s)x(s),$$

where  $g(n) \geq n+1$  and  $\hat{g}(n) \geq n$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . The sequence  $\{h(n)\}$  satisfies conditions (H0, C2). Also assume

$$(C4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \left( |A(s)| + \alpha(s)|B(s)| + H \sum_{m=0}^{\hat{g}(s)} |K(\hat{g}(s), m)| \right) = \rho < 1,$$

where the sequence  $\{\alpha(n)\}$  was defined in (H2).

**Theorem 4.** For any  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , there exists a unique  $h$ -bounded solution  $x(n)$  of the IVP

$$\begin{cases} \Delta x(n) &= A(n)x(n) + B(n)x(g(n)) + \sum_{s=0}^{\hat{g}(n)} K(\hat{g}(n), s)x(s) \\ x(0) &= \xi, \end{cases}$$

provided the conditions (H0, C2, C4) are fulfilled. Moreover, this solution continuously depends on the initial values.

**Proof.** Let us define the recurrence

$$\begin{aligned} x_0(n) &= \xi, \\ x_{k+1}(n) &= \xi + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} (A(s)x_k(s) + B(s)x_k(g(s)) + \\ &\quad \sum_{m=0}^{\hat{g}(s)} K(\hat{g}(s), m)x_k(m)), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

We will prove that the estimate

$$|h(n)^{-1}(x_{k+1}(n) - x_k(n))| \leq |\xi|\rho^{k+1}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (4.5)$$

is valid for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Taking into account condition (H0), for  $k = 1$ , we have

$$|h(n)^{-1}(x_1(n) - \xi)| \leq \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} (|A(s)| + |B(s)| + \sum_{m=0}^{\hat{g}(s)} |K(\hat{g}(s), m)|) |\xi|.$$

From condition (C4) we obtain

$$|h(n)^{-1}(x_1(n) - \xi)| \leq |\xi|\rho.$$

Suppose that

$$|h(n)^{-1}(x_k(n) - x_{k-1}(n))| \leq |\xi|\rho^k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |h(n)^{-1}(x_{k+1}(n) - x_k(n))| &\leq \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} (|A(s)||h(s)^{-1}(x_k(s) - x_{k-1}(s))| \\ &\quad + \alpha(s)|B(s)||h(g(s))^{-1}(x_k(g(s)) - x_{k-1}(g(s)))| \\ &\quad + \sum_{m=0}^{\hat{g}(s)} H|K(\hat{g}(s), m)||h(m)^{-1}(x_k(m) - x_{k-1}(m))|) \end{aligned}$$

then it follows (4.5). The convergence of sequence  $\{x_k\}$  is equivalent to the convergence of the series

$$\xi + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (x_{k+1}(n) - x_k(n))$$

on the space  $\ell_h^\infty$ . From condition (C4), the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \rho^{k+1}$  is convergent. Hence the sequence  $\{x_k(n)\}$  converges to a bounded function  $x(n)$  belonging to the space  $\ell_h^\infty$ . Moreover,

$$|h(n)^{-1}x(n)| \leq \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \left( |A(s)| + \alpha(s)|B(s)| + H \sum_{m=0}^{\hat{g}(s)} |K(\hat{g}(s), m)| \right) \|x\|_h + \|\xi\|_h,$$

that is

$$|h(n)^{-1}x(n)| \leq \rho \|x\|_h + \|\xi\|_h.$$

Thus

$$\|x\|_h \leq \frac{\|\xi\|_h}{1 - \rho},$$

from whence we obtain the continuous dependence of the solution  $x$  on the initial data  $\xi$ . The solution  $x(n)$  is unique, because if there were two bounded solutions  $x(n)$ ,  $y(n)$ , then for  $z(n) = x(n) - y(n)$ , we would have

$$\Delta z(n) = A(n)z(n) + B(n)z(g(n)) + \sum_{m=0}^{\hat{g}(n)} K(\hat{g}(n), m)z(m)$$

which leads us to

$$z(n) = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \left[ A(m)z(m) + B(m)z(g(m)) + \sum_{s=0}^{\hat{g}(m)} K(\hat{g}(m), s)z(s) \right].$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |h(n)^{-1}z(n)| &\leq \|z\|_h \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \left[ |A(m)| + \alpha(m)|B(m)| + H \sum_{s=0}^{\hat{g}(m)} |K(\hat{g}(m), s)| \right], \\ &\leq \|z\|_{h\rho}, \end{aligned}$$

implying  $\|z\|_h \leq \|z\|_{h\rho}$ , from whence  $\|z\|_h = 0$ , because  $\rho < 1$ . ■

## References

- [1] Bledsoe, M.R., Díaz, L. and Naulin, R., *Linear difference equations with advance: Existence and asymptotic formulae*, to appear in *Applicable Analysis*, 2000.
- [2] Díaz, L. and Naulin, R., *Variation of constants formulae for difference equations with advanced arguments*, to appear in *J. Math. & Math. Sci.*
- [3] Díaz, L. and Naulin, R., *Approximate solutions of difference systems with advanced arguments*, unpublished work (1998).
- [4] Díaz, L. and Naulin, R., *Ecuaciones en diferencias escalares con argumento avanzado*, *Divulgaciones Matemáticas*, 7(1), 37-47, 1999.
- [5] Díaz, L. and Naulin, R., *Dichotomic behavior of linear difference systems with advanced argument*, unpublished work, 1999.
- [6] Halanay, A., *Differential Equations: Stability, Oscillations, Time Lags*, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [7] Hale, J.K., *Theory of Functional Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [8] Popenda, J. and Schmeidel, E., *On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of linear difference equations*, *Publicacions Matemàtiques*, 38, 3-9, 1994.
- [9] Sugiyama, S., *On some problems on functional differential equations with advanced arguments*, *Proceedings US-Japan Seminar on Differential and Functional Equations*, Benjamin, New-York, 1967.