Accuracy on eigenvalues for a Schrödinger operator with a degenerate potential in the semi-classical limit

Abderemane Morame

Université de Nantes, Faculté des Sciences, Dpt. Mathématiques, UMR 6629 du CNRS, B.P. 99208, 44322 Nantes Cedex 3, (FRANCE) morame@math.univ-nantes.fr

Francoise Truc

Université de Grenoble I, Institut Fourier, UMR 5582 CNRS-UJF, B.P. 74, 38402 St Martin d'Hères Cedex, (France) Francoise.Truc@ujf-grenoble.fr

ABSTRACT

We consider a semi-classical Schrödinger operator $-h^2\Delta + V$ with a degenerate potential V(x,y) = f(x)g(y).

g is assumed to be a homogeneous positive function of m variables and f is a strictly positive function of n variables, with a strict minimum. We give sharp asymptotic behaviour of low eigenvalues bounded by some power of the parameter h, by improving Born-Oppenheimer approximation.

RESUMEN

Consideramos un operador de Schrödinger semi-clásico $-h^2\Delta + V$ con potencial degenerado V(x,y) = f(x)g(y) .

Suponemos que g es una función positiva homogénea de m variables y f es una función estrictamente positiva de n variables, con un mínimo estricto. Damos un comportamiento asimtótico óptimo de autovalores acotados por abajo para alguna potencia del parámetro h, mediante perfeccionamiento de la aproximación de Born - Oppenheimer.

Key words and phrases:

Math. Subj. Class.:

eigenvalues, semi-classical asymptotics, Born-Oppenheimer approximation. 35P20

Introduction 1

In our paper [11] we have considered the Schrödinger operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m)$

$$\widehat{H}_h = h^2 D_x^2 + h^2 D_y^2 + f(x)g(y) \tag{1.1}$$

with $q \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\})$ homogeneous of degree a > 0,

$$g(\mu y) = \mu^a g(y) > 0$$
, $\forall \mu > 0$ and $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$. (1.2)

h > 0 is a semiclassical parameter we assume to be small.

We have investigated the asymptotic behavior of the number of eigenvalues less than λ of H_h .

$$N(\lambda, \widehat{H}_h)tr(\chi_{]-\infty,\lambda[}(\widehat{H}_h) = \sum_{\lambda_k(\widehat{H}_h)<\lambda} 1$$
. (1.3)

(tr(P) denotes the trace of the operator P).

If P is a self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , we denote respectively by sp(P), $sp_{ess}(P)$ and $sp_d(P)$ the spectrum, the essential spectrum and the discret spectrum of P.

When $-\infty$ < inf sp(P) < inf $sp_{ess}(P)$, we denote by $(\lambda_k(P))_{k>0}$ the increasing sequence of eigenvalues of P, repeated according to their multiplicity:

$$sp_d(P) \bigcap]-\infty$$
, inf $sp_{ess}(P)[= \{\lambda_k(P)\}.$ (1.4)

In this paper we are interested in a sharp estimate for some eigenvalues of \hat{H}_h . We make the following assumptions on the other multiplicative part of the potential:

$$\begin{array}{ll} f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n), \ \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, \ (|f(x)|+1)^{-1}\partial_x^{\alpha}f(x) \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ 0 < f(0) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \\ f(0) < \liminf_{|x| \to \infty} f(x) = f(\infty) \\ \partial^2 f(0) > 0 \end{array} \tag{1.5}$$

 $\partial^2 f(a)$ denotes the hessian matrix:

$$\partial^2 f(a) \; = \; \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} f(a) \right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \; .$$

By dividing \widehat{H}_h by f(0), we can change the parameter h and assume that

$$f(0) = 1$$
. (1.6)

Let us define : $\hbar = h^{2/(2+a)}$ and change y in $y\hbar$; we can use the homogeneity of g (1.2) to get :

$$sp(\widehat{H}_h) = \hbar^a sp(\widehat{H}^h),$$
 (1.7)

with $\widehat{H}^{\hbar} = \hbar^2 D_x^2 + D_y^2 + f(x)g(y) = \hbar^2 D_x^2 + Q(x, y, D_y)$:

$$Q(x, y, D_y) = D_y^2 + f(x)g(y)$$
.

Let us denote the increasing sequence of eigenvalues of $\ D_y^2+g(y)$, (on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$) , by $\ (\mu_j)_{j>0}$.

The associated eigenfunctions will be denoted by $(\varphi_j)_j$:

$$\begin{array}{lll} D_y^2 \varphi_j(y) & + g(y) \varphi_j(y) & = \mu_j \varphi_j(y) \\ \langle \varphi_j \mid \varphi_k \rangle & = \delta_{jk} \end{array} \tag{1.8}$$

and $(\varphi_i)_i$ is a Hilbert base of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

By homogeneity (1.2) the eigenvalues of $Q_x(y,D_y)=D_y^2+f(x)g(y)$, on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$, for a fixed x, are given by the sequence $(\lambda_j(x))_{j>0}$, where : $\lambda_j(x)=\mu_j \ f^{2/(2+a)}(x)$. So as in [11] we get :

$$\widehat{H}^{\hbar} \ge \left[\hbar^2 D_x^2 + \mu_1 f^{2/(2+a)}(x) \right].$$
 (1.9)

This estimate is sharp as we will see below.

Then using the same kind of estimate as (1.9), one can see that

$$\inf sp_{ess}(\widehat{H}^{h}) \ge \mu_1 f^{2/(2+a)}(\infty)$$
. (1.10)

We are in the Born-Oppenheimer approximation situation described by A. Martineze in [10]: the "effective" potential is given by $\lambda_1(x) = \mu_1 \ f^{2/(2+a)}(x)$, the first eigenvalue of Q_x , and the assumptions on f ensure that this potential admits one unique and nondegenerate well $U=\{0\}$, with minimal value equal to μ_1 . Hence we can apply theorem 4.1 of [10] and get:

Theorem 1.1 Under the above assumptions, for any arbitrary C > 0, there exists $h_0 > 0$ such that, if $0 < \hbar < h_0$, the operator (\tilde{H}^h) admits a finite number of eigenvalues $E_k(h)$ in $[\mu_1, \mu_1 + Ch]$, equal to the number of the eigenvalues e_k of $D_x^2 + \frac{\mu_1}{24\epsilon} < \partial^2 f(0) x$, x > in [0, +C] such that:

$$E_k(\hbar) = \lambda_k(\hat{H}^{\hbar}) = \lambda_k \left(\hbar^2 D_x^2 + \mu_1 f^{2/(2+a)}(x) \right) + O(\hbar^2).$$
 (1.11)

More precisely $E_k(\hbar) = \lambda_k(\widehat{H}^{\hbar})$ has an asymptotic expansion

$$E_k(\hbar) \sim \mu_1 + \hbar \left(e_k + \sum_{j \ge 1} \alpha_{kj} \hbar^{j/2} \right).$$
 (1.12)

If $E_k(\hbar)$ is asymptotically non degenerated, then there exists a quasimode

$$\phi_k^{\hbar}(x, y) \sim \hbar^{-m_k} e^{-\psi(x)/\hbar} \sum_{i>0} \hbar^{j/2} a_{kj}(x, y)$$
, (1.13)

satisfying

$$C_0^{-1} \le \|h^{-m_k}e^{-\psi(x)/h}a_{k0}(x,y)\| \le C_0$$

 $\|h^{-m_k}e^{-\psi(x)/h}a_{kj}(x,y)\| \le C_j$
 $\|(\hat{H}^h - \mu_1 - \hbar e_k - \sum_{1 \le j \le J} \alpha_{kj}h^{j/2})$
 $h^{-m_k}e^{-\psi(x)/h}\sum_{0 \le j \le J} h^{j/2}a_{kj}(x,y)\| \le C_Jh^{(J+1)/2}$

$$(1.14)$$

The formula (1.12) implies

$$\lambda_k(\widehat{H}^{\hbar}) = \mu_1 + \hbar \lambda_k \left(D_x^2 + \frac{\mu_1}{2+a} < \partial^2 f(0) x, x > \right) + O(\hbar^{3/2}),$$
 (1.15)

and when k=1, one can improve $O(\hbar^{3/2})$ into $O(\hbar^2)$. The function ψ is defined by : $\psi(x)=d(x,0)$, where d denotes the Agmon distance related to the degenerate metric $\mu_1 f^{2/(2+\alpha)}(x) dx^2$.

2 Lower energies

We are interested now with the lower energies of \widehat{H}^\hbar . Let us make the change of variables

$$(x, y) \rightarrow (x, f^{1/(2+a)}(x)y)$$
. (2.1)

The Jacobian of this diffeomorphism is $f^{m/(2+a)}(x)$, so we perform the change of test functions: $u \to f^{-m/(4+2a)}(x)u$, to get a unitary transformation.

Thus we get that

$$sp(\widehat{H}^h) = sp(\widetilde{H}^h)$$
 (2.2)

where \widetilde{H}^{h} is the self-adjoint operator on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m})$ given by

$$\tilde{H}^{\hbar} = \hbar^2 L^{\star}(x, y, D_x, D_y) L(x, y, D_x, D_y) + f^{2/(2+a)}(x) (D_y^2 + g(y))$$
, (2.3)

with

$$L(x, y, D_x, D_y) = D_x + \frac{1}{(2+a)f(x)}[(yD_y) - i\frac{m}{2}]\nabla f(x) .$$

We decompose \tilde{H}^{\hbar} in four parts :

$$\tilde{H}^{h} = h^{2}D_{x}^{2} + f^{2}(2^{4}a)(x)(D_{y}^{2} + g(y))$$

 $+h^{2}\frac{2}{(2^{4}a)f(x)}(\nabla f(x)D_{x})(yD_{y})$
 $+h^{2}\frac{2^{4}a+f(x)}{(2^{4}a)^{2}f(x)}(|\nabla f(x)|^{2} - f(x)\Delta f(x))[(yD_{y}) - i\frac{m}{2}]$
 $+h^{2}\frac{2^{4}a^{3}f^{2}f(x)}{(2^{4}a)^{3}f^{2}f(x)}|\nabla f(x)|^{2}[(yD_{y})^{2} + \frac{m^{2}}{4}]$
(2.4)

Our goal is to prove that the only significant role up to order 2 in \hbar will be played by the first operator, namely : $\widetilde{H}_1^{\hbar} = \hbar^2 D_x^2 + f^{2/(2+a)}(x) \left(D_y^2 + g(y)\right)$.

Let us denote by $\nu_{j,k}^h$ the eigenvalues of the operator $\hbar^2 D_x^2 + \mu_j f^{2/(2+a)}(x)$ and by $\psi_{j,k}^h$ the associated normalized eigenfunctions.

Let us consider the following test functions:

$$u_{ik}^{\hbar}(x, y) = \psi_{ik}^{\hbar}(x)\varphi_i(y)$$
,

where the φ_i 's are the eigenfunctions defined in (1.8); we have immediately:

$$\widetilde{H}_{1}^{\hbar}(u_{i,k}^{\hbar}(x,y)) = \nu_{i,k}^{\hbar}u_{i,k}^{\hbar}(x,y)$$
.

We will need the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. For any integer N, there exists a positive constant C depending only on N such that for any $k \leq N$, the eigenfunction $\psi_{j,k}^h$ satisfies the following inequalities: for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $|\alpha| \leq 2$,

$$\| h_{j}^{|\alpha|/2} | D_{x}^{\alpha} \psi_{j,k}^{\hbar} | \| < C$$

$$\| \left(\frac{\nabla f(x)}{f(x)} \right)^{\alpha} \psi_{j,k}^{\hbar} \| < h_{j}^{|\alpha|/2} C$$

$$(2.5)$$

with $h_i = h\mu_i^{-1/2}$.

Proof.

Let us recall that it is well known, (see [5]), that

$$\forall k \leq N, \quad \mu_i^{-1} \nu_{i,k}^{\hbar} = 1 + O(\hbar_i).$$

It is clear also that

$$\left[\hbar_{j}^{2}D_{x}^{2} + f^{2/(2+a)}(x) - \mu_{j}^{-1}\nu_{j,k}^{\hbar}\right]\psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}(x) = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

We shall need the following inequality, that we can derive easily from (2.6) and the Agmon estimate (see [5]) : $\forall \varepsilon \in [0,1]$,

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon \int \left[f^{2/(2+a)}(x) - \mu_j^{-1} \nu_{j,k}^{\hbar} \right]_+ e^{2(1-e)^{1/2} d_{j,k}(x) / \hbar_j} |\psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}(x)|^2 \, dx & \leq \\ \int \left[f^{2/(2+a)}(x) - \mu_j^{-1} \nu_{j,k}^{\hbar} \right] |\psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}(x)|^2 \, dx \, , \end{split} \tag{2.7}$$

where $d_{j,k}$ is the Agmon distance associated to the metric $\left[f^{2/(2+a)}(x) - \mu_j^{-1}\nu_{j,k}^{\hbar}\right]_t dx^2$. Let us prove the lemma for $|\alpha| = 1$.

As
$$\int \left[h_j^2 |D_x \psi_{j,k}^h(x)|^2 + (f^{2/(2+a)}(x) - \mu_j^{-1} \nu_{j,k}^h) |\psi_{j,k}^h(x)|^2\right] dx = 0$$
, $\mu_j^{-1} \nu_{j,k}^h - 1 = \mathcal{O}(h_j)$, and $f^{2/(2+a)}(x) - 1 > 0$, we get that $h_j ||D_x \psi_{j,k}^h(x)||^2 \le C$.

Furthermore, we use that $C^{-1}|\nabla f(x)|^2 \le f^{2/(2+a)}(x) - 1 \le C|\nabla f(x)|^2$, for $|x| \le C^{-1}$, the exponential decreasing (in h_j) of $\psi_{j,k}^h$ given by (2.7) and the boundness of $|\nabla f(x)|/f(x)$ to get

$$\|\frac{|\nabla f(x)|}{f(x)}\psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}(x)\|^2 \ \leq \ C \int [f^{2/(2+a)}(x)-1] \ |\psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}(x)|^2 \ dx \ \leq \ \hbar_j C \ .$$

Now we study the case $|\alpha| = 2$.

If $c_0 \in]0,1]$ is large enough and $|x| \in [h_j^{1/2}c_0, 2c_0]$, then we have

$$|x|^2/C \le f^{2/(2+a)}(x) - \mu_j^{-1} \nu_{j,k}^{\hbar} \le C|x|^2$$
 (2.8)

Therefore there exists $\ C_1>1$ such that $\ C_1^{-1}|x|^2\le d_{j,k}(x)\le C_1|x|^2$, and then

$$|x|^2 \le \hbar_i C e^{d_{j,k}(x)/\hbar_j}$$
. (2.9)

Then the inequality: $C^{-1}|x| \leq |\nabla f(x)| \leq C|x|$, with (2.8) , (2.9) and (2.7) entail that

$$\begin{array}{ll} \int_{|x| \geq C_0 h_2^{12}} \frac{|\nabla f(x)|^4}{f^4(x)} |\psi_{j,k}^h(x)|^2 dx \\ & \leq & h_j C \int \left[f^{2/(2+\alpha)}(x) - \mu_j^{-1} \nu_{j,k}^h \right]_+ e^{d_{j,k}(x)/h_j} |\psi_{j,k}^h(x)|^2 dx \\ & \leq & h_j C \int \left[f^{2/(2+\alpha)}(x) - \mu_j^{-1} \nu_{j,k}^h \right]_+ e^{|\psi_{j,k}^h(x)|^2} dx \\ & \leq & h_j^2 C \ . \end{array}$$

It remains to estimate $h_j^2 \| D_x^2 \psi_{j,k}^h(x) \|$ with $|\alpha| = 2$. We use that $-h_j^2 \Delta \psi_{j,k}^h(x) = [-f^{2/(2+\alpha)}(x) + \mu_j^{-1} \nu_{j,k}^h] \psi_{j,k}^h(x)$, and that we have proved that $\|[-f^{2/(2+\alpha)}(x) + \mu_j^{-1} \nu_{j,k}^h] \psi_{j,k}^h(x)\| \le h_j C$; so $\| D_x^2 \psi_{j,k}^h(x) \| \le C/h_i$, if $|\alpha| = 2$.

We will need the following result.

Proposition 2.2 Let $V(y) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ such that

$$\begin{array}{l} \exists \ s>0 \ , \ C_0>0 \ s.t. \ -C_0+|y|^s/C_0 \le V(y) \le C_0(|y|^s+1) \\ \forall \ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^m \ , \ (1+|y|^2)^{(s-|\alpha|)/2} \partial_y^\alpha V(y) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^m) \ . \end{array} \eqno(2.10)$$

If $u(y) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and $D^2_y u(y) + V(y) u(y) \in S(\mathbb{R}^m)$, then $u \in S(\mathbb{R}^m)$. ($S(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is the Schwartz space).

The proof comes from the fact that there exists a parametrix of $D_y^2 + V(y)$ in some class of pseudodifferential operator: see for the more general case in [7], or for this special case in Shubin book [17].

Theorem 2.3 .

Under the assumptions (1.2) and (1.5), for any fixed integer N > 0, there exists a positive constant $h_0(N)$ verifying: for any $h \in]0, h_0(N)[$, for any $k \leq N$ and any $j \leq N$ such that

$$\mu_j < \mu_1 f^{2/(2+a)}(\infty)$$
,

there exists an eigenvalue $\lambda_{jk} \in sp_d(\widehat{H}^{\hbar})$ such that

$$|\lambda_{jk} - \lambda_k \left(\hbar^2 D_x^2 + \mu_j f^{2/(2+a)}(x) \right)| \le \hbar^2 C.$$
 (2.11)

Consequently, when k = 1, we have

$$|\lambda_{j1} - \left[\mu_j + \hbar(\mu_j)^{1/2} \frac{tr((\partial^2 f(0))^{1/2})}{(2+a)^{1/2}}\right]| \le \hbar^2 C.$$
 (2.12)

Proof.

The first part of the theorem will follow if we prove that :

$$\|(\widehat{H}^{\hbar} - \widetilde{H}_{1}^{\hbar})(u_{i,k}^{\hbar}(x,y))\| = \|(\widehat{H}^{\hbar} - \nu_{i,k}^{\hbar})u_{i,k}^{\hbar}(x,y)\| = O(\hbar^{2}).$$

Let us consider a function $\chi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\chi(t) = 1$$
 if $|t| \le 1/2$ and

$$\chi(t) = 0 \text{ if } |t| > 1.$$

Then $(D_y^2 + g(y))(1 - \chi(|y|))\varphi_j(y) \in S(\mathbb{R}^m)$,

and Proposition 2.2 shows that $(1 - \chi(|y|))\varphi_j(y) \in S(\mathbb{R}^m)$. As $D_u^2\varphi_j(y) = (\mu_j - g(y))\varphi_j(y)$, we get that

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, (1+|y|)^k [|\varphi_i(y)|^2 + |D_y\varphi_i(y)|^2 + |D_y^2\varphi_i(y)|^2] \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^m).$$
 (2.13)

The quantity $(\hat{H}^h - \tilde{H}_1^h)(u_{j,k}^h(x,y))$ is, by (2.4), composed of 3 parts. According to Lemma 2.1 and the estimate (2.13), the two last parts are bounded in L^2 -norm by h^2C , $(\mu_1 \in C)$.

To obtain a bound for the first part, we integrate by parts to get that

$$\|\frac{\nabla f(x)}{f(x)}D_x\psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}\|^2 \ \leq \ C\left[\|D_x^2\psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}\| \times \|\frac{|\nabla f(x)|^2}{f^2(x)}\psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}\| \ + \ \|D_x\psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}\| \times \|\frac{|\nabla f(x)|}{f(x)}\psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}\|\right] \ ,$$

and then we use again Lemma 2.1. Thus : $\|\frac{\nabla f(x)}{f(x)}D_x\psi_{j,k}^{\hbar}\| \le C$.

According to estimate (2.13) we have finally $\|\frac{\nabla f(x)}{f(x)}D_x(yD_y)u_{j,k}^{\hbar}\| \le C$

3 Middle energies

We are going to refine the preceding results when $a \ge 2$ and $f(\infty) = \infty$. It is possible then to get sharp localization near the μ_j 's for much higher values of j's. More precisely we prove : Theorem 3.1 . We assume (1.5) with $f(\infty) = \infty$, (1.2) with $a \ge 2$ and with $a \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

Let us consider j such that $\mu_j \leq \hbar^{-2}$;

then for any integer N, there exists a constant C depending only on N such that, for any $k \leq N$, there exists an eigenvalue $\lambda_{jk} \in \operatorname{spd}(\widehat{H}^h)$ verifying

$$|\lambda_{jk} - \lambda_k \left(\hbar^2 D_x^2 + \mu_j f^{2/(2+a)}(x) \right)| \le C \mu_j \hbar^2.$$
 (3.1)

Consequently, when k = 1, we have

$$|\lambda_{j1} - \left[\mu_j + \hbar(\mu_j)^{1/2} \frac{tr((\partial^2 f(0))^{1/2})}{(2+a)^{1/2}}\right]| \le C\mu_j \hbar^2.$$
 (3.2)

Proof :

Let us define the class of symbols $S(p^s(y,\eta))$, $s\in\mathbb{R}$, with $p(y,\eta)=|\eta|^2+g(y)+1$.

$$q(y, \eta) \in S(p^s(y, \eta))$$
 iff $q(y, \eta) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m)$

and for any α and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^m$,

$$p^{-s}(y,\eta)(|\eta|+1)^{-|\alpha|}(|y|+1)^{-|\beta|}D_{\eta}^{\alpha}D_{y}^{\beta}q(y,\eta) \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2m})$$
.

For such a symbol $\ q(y,\eta) \in S(p^s(y,\eta)$, we define the operator $\ Q$ on $\ S(\mathbb{R}^m)$:

$$Q f(y) \; = \; (2\pi)^{-m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2m}} q(\frac{y+z}{2}, \eta) e^{i(y-z)\eta} f(z) dz d\eta \; .$$

We will say that $Q \in OPS(p^s(y, \eta))$.

It is well known, (see [7]) that $(D_y^2 + g(y))^s \in OPS(p^s(y, \eta))$.

As $a \ge 2$, we get that $yD_y \in OPS(p(y,\eta))$, and then that $yD_y(D_y^2 + g(y))^{-1} \in OPS(1)$.

Therefore $yD_y(D_y^2 + g(y))^{-1}$ and $(yD_y)^2(D_y^2 + g(y))^{-2}$ are bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$, and we get as a consequence the following bound:

$$\mu_i^{-1} \|y D_y \varphi_i\| + \mu_i^{-2} \|(y D_y)^2 \varphi_i\| \le C.$$
 (3.3)

As in the proof of Theorem 2.3, using (3.3) instead of (2.13), we get easily that

$$\|(\widehat{H}^{\hbar} - \widetilde{H}^{\hbar})u_{i\,k}^{\hbar}\| \le C[\,\hbar^2\mu_j + \hbar^3\mu_i^{3/2}] \le C\hbar^2\mu_j\,,$$

and then Theorem 3.1 follows.

4 An application

We consider a Schrödinger operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d_z)$ with $d \geq 2$,

$$P^{h} = -h^{2}\Delta + V(z) \tag{4.1}$$

with a real and regular potential V(z) satisfying

$$V \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; [0, +\infty[)$$

 $\liminf_{|z| \to \infty} V(z) > 0$
 $\Gamma = V^{-1}(\{0\})$ is a regular hypersurface. (4.2)

By hypersurface, we mean a submanifold of codimension 1 . Moreover we assume that Γ is connected and that there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $C_0 > 0$ such that for any z verifying $d(z, \Gamma) < C_0^{-1}$

$$C_0^{-1} d^{2m}(z, \Gamma) \le V(z) \le C_0 d^{2m}(z, \Gamma)$$
 (4.3)

(d(E,F) denotes the euclidian distance between E and F). We choose an orientation on Γ and a unit normal vector N(s) on each $s \in \Gamma$, and then, we can define the function on Γ ,

$$f(s) = \frac{1}{(2m)!} \left(N(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right)^{2m} V(s) , \quad \forall s \in \Gamma .$$
 (4.4)

Then by (4.2) and (4.6), f(s) > 0, $\forall s \in \Gamma$.

Finally we assume that the function f achieves its minimum on Γ on a finite number of discrete points:

$$\Sigma_0 = f^{-1}(\{\eta_0\}) = \{s_1, \dots, s_{\ell_0}\}, \quad if \quad \eta_0 = \min_{s \in \Gamma} f(s),$$
 (4.5)

and the hessian of f at each point $s_j \in \Sigma_0$ is non degenerated: $\exists \eta_1 > 0 \ s.t.$

$$\frac{1}{2}\langle d\left(\langle df\;;\;w\rangle\right)\;;\;w\rangle\langle s_{j})\;\geq\;\eta_{1}|w(s_{j})|^{2}\;,\quad\forall\;w\;\in\;T\Gamma\;,\;\forall\;s_{j}\;\in\;\Sigma_{0}\;.\eqno(4.6)$$

If $g=(g_{ij})$ is the riemannian metric on Γ , then $|w(s)|=(g(w(s),w(s)))^{1/2}$. The hessian of f at each $s_j\in \Sigma_0$, is the symmetric operator on $T_{s_j}\Gamma$, $Hess(f)_{s_j}$, associated to the two-bilinear form defined on $T_{s_j}\Gamma$ by:

$$(v,w) \in (T_{s_j}\Gamma)^2 \to \frac{1}{2} \langle d(\langle df; \widetilde{v} \rangle); \widetilde{w} \rangle (s_j),$$
 (4.7)

 $\begin{array}{ll} \forall \; (\widetilde{v},\widetilde{w}) \; \in (T\Gamma)^2 \quad s.t. \quad (\widetilde{v}(s_j),\widetilde{w}(s_j)) \; = \; (v,w) \; . \\ Hess(f)_{s_j} \; \text{has} \; d-1 \; \text{non negative eigenvalues} \end{array}$

$$\rho_1^2(s_j) \le \ldots \le \rho_{d-1}^2(s_j)$$
, $(\rho_j(s_j) > 0)$.

In local coordinates, those eigenvalues are the ones of the symmetric matrix

$$\frac{1}{2}G^{1/2}(s_j) \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_k \partial x_\ell} f(s_j) \right)_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq d-1} G^{1/2}(s_j) \;, \quad (\; G(x) \; = \; (g_{k,\ell}(x))_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq d-1} \;) \;.$$

The eigenvalues $\rho_k^2(s_i)$ do not depend on the choice of coordinates. We denote

$$Tr^{+}(Hess(f(s_{j}))) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{d-1} \rho_{\ell}(s_{j}).$$
 (4.8)

We denote by $(\mu_j)_{j\geq 1}$ the increasing sequence of the eigenvalues of the operator $\frac{d^2}{h^2}+t^{2m}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$,

and by $(\varphi_i(t))_{i\geq 1}$ the associated orthonormal Hilbert base of eigenfunctions.

Theorem 4.1 Under the above assumptions, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$, there exist $h_0 \in [0,1]$ and $C_0 > 0$ such that, if $\mu_j << h^{-4m/(m+1)(2m+3)}$, and if $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$ and $|\alpha| \le N$, then $\forall s_\ell \in \Sigma_0$, $\exists A_{lf\alpha}^* \in sp_d(P^h)$ s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \left| \ \lambda_{j\ell\alpha}^h \ - \ h^{2m/(m+1)} \left[\eta_0^{1/(m+1)} \mu_j \ + \ h^{1/(m+1)} \mu_j^{1/2} \ \mathcal{A}_\ell(\alpha) \right] \ \right| \\ \\ \leq \ h^2 \mu_i^{2+3/2m} C_0 \ ; \end{array}$$

with
$$A_{\ell}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\eta_0^{m/(2m+2)}(m+1)^{1/2}} [2\alpha \rho(s_{\ell}) + Tr^+(Hess(f(s_{\ell})))]$$
.
 $(\alpha \rho(s_{\ell}) = \alpha_1 \rho_1(s_{\ell}) + \dots \alpha_{d-1} \rho_{d-1}(s_{\ell})$.

Proof:

Let $\mathcal{O}_0\subset\mathbb{R}^d$ be an open neighbourhood of $s_l\in\Sigma_0$, such that there exists $\phi\in C^\infty(\mathcal{O}_0\,;\,\mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$\Gamma_0 = \Gamma \cap \mathcal{O}_0 = \{z \in \mathcal{O}_0 ; \phi(z) = 0\};$$

 $|\nabla \phi(z)| = 1, \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{O}_0.$ (4.9)

After changing \mathcal{O}_0 into a smaller neighbourhood if necessary, we can find $\tau \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{O}_0 ; \mathbb{R}^{d-1})$ such that $\tau(s_l) = 0$ and $\forall z \in \mathcal{O}_0$,

$$\nabla \tau_j(z).\nabla \phi(z) = 0$$
, $\forall j = 1,...,d-1$
 $\operatorname{rank}\{\nabla \tau_1(z),...,\nabla \tau_{d-1}(z)\} = d-1$. (4.10)

Then $(x,y)=(x_1,\ldots,x_{d-1},y)=(\tau_1,\ldots,\tau_{d-1},\phi)$ are local coordinates in \mathcal{O}_0 such that

$$\Delta = |\widetilde{g}|^{-1/2} \sum_{1 \le i, j \le d-1} \partial_{x_i} (|\widetilde{g}|^{1/2} \ \widetilde{g}^{ij} \partial_{x_j}) + |\widetilde{g}|^{-1/2} \partial_y (|\widetilde{g}|^{1/2} \partial_y)$$

$$V = y^{2m} \widetilde{f}(x, y) \text{ with } \widetilde{f} \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{V}_0);$$

$$(4.11)$$

 V_0 is an open neighbourhood of zero in \mathbb{R}^d ,

 $\begin{array}{ll} \widetilde{g}^{ij}(x,y) = \widetilde{g}^{ji}(x,y) \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{V}_0;\,\mathbb{R})\,,\quad |\widetilde{g}|^{-1} = \det\left(\widetilde{g}^{ij}(x,y)\right) \,>\, 0\,.\\ x = (x_1,\ldots,x_{d-1}) \text{ are local coordinates on }\, \Gamma_0 \end{array}$

and the metric $g = (g_{ij})$ on Γ_0 is given by

$$(g_{ij}(x))_{1 \le i,j \le d-1} = G(x)$$
, with $(G(x))^{-1} = (\tilde{g}^{ij}(x,0))_{1 \le i,j \le d-1}$.

If $w \in C_0^2(\mathcal{O}_0)$ then

$$P^{h}w = \hat{P}^{h}u$$
 with
 $u = |\tilde{g}|^{1/4}w$ and $\hat{P}^{h} = -h^{2}\sum_{1 \leq i, i \leq d-1} \partial_{x_{i}}(\tilde{g}^{ij}\partial_{x_{i}}) - h^{2}\partial_{u}^{2} + V + h^{2}V_{0}$, (4.12)

for some $V_0 \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{V}_0; \mathbb{R})$.

Let us write

$$V(x,y) = y^{2m}f(x) + y^{2m+1}f_1(x) + y^{2m+2}\widetilde{f}_2(x,y) :$$
 (4.13)

 $f(x) = \widetilde{f}(x,0)$ and $\widetilde{f}_2 \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{V}_0)$.

We perform the change of variable (2.1) and the related unitary transformation,

$$(x,y) \rightarrow (x,t) = (x, f^{1/(2(m+1))}(x)y), \quad u \rightarrow v = f^{-1/(4(m+1))}u,$$

to get that

$$\begin{split} \widehat{Q}^h &= Q_0^h + t^{2m+1} f_1^0(x) + h^2 R_0 + + h^2 t R_1 + t^{2m+2} \widehat{f}_2^0 : \\ Q_0^h &= -h^2 \sum_{1 \le i,j \le d-1} \partial_{x_i} (g^{ij} \partial_{x_j}) + f^{1/(m+1)}(x) \left(-h^2 \partial_t^2 + t^{2m} \right) \end{split} \tag{4.14}$$

and $R_0 = ta(x,t)(\partial_x f(x)\partial_x)\partial_t + b(x,t)t\partial_t +$

$$\sum_{ij} b_{ij}(x,t) \partial_{x_i} f(x) \partial_{x_j} f(x) (t \partial_t)^2 + c(x,t) ,$$

 $R_1=\sum_{1\leq i,j\leq d-1}\partial_{x_i}\left(\alpha_{ij}(x,t)\partial_{x_j}\right)$, all coefficients are regular in a neighbourhood of the zero in \mathbb{R}^d .

Let μ_j be as in the theorem 4.1. We define $h_j = h^{1/(m+1)}/\mu_i^{1/2}$.

Let \mathcal{O}_0' be a bounded open neighbourhood of zero in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} such that $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_0' \subset \mathcal{O}_0 \cap \{(x,0) \; ; \; x \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}\}$.

We consider the Dirichlet operator on $L^2(\mathcal{O}'_0)$, $H_0^{h_j}$:

$$H_0^{h_j} = -h_j^2 \sum_{1 \le k, \ell \le d-1} \partial_{x_k} (g^{k\ell}(x)\partial_{x_\ell}) + f^{1/(m+1)}(x).$$
 (4.15)

It is well known, (see for example [2] or [5], that for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{d-1}$ satisfying the assumptions of the theorem 4.1, one has:

$$\exists \lambda_{j,\alpha}^{h} \in sp(H_{0}^{h_{j}}) \text{ s.t. } |\lambda_{j,\alpha}^{h} - [\eta_{0}^{1/(m+1)} + h_{j}\mathcal{A}_{l}(\alpha)| \leq h_{j}^{2}C;$$

 $A_l(\alpha)$ is defined in theorem 4.1 in relation with our $s_l \in \Sigma_0$.

C is a constant depending only on N. We will denote by $\psi_{j,\alpha}^{h_j}(x)$ any associated eigenfunction with a L^2 -norm equal to 1. Let $\chi_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\chi_0(t) = 1$$
 if $|t| \le 1/2$ and $\chi(t) = 0$ if $|t| \ge 1$.

We define the following function:

$$u^h_{j,\alpha}(x,t) \; = \; h^{-1/(2m+2)} \chi_0(t/\epsilon_0) \psi^{h_j}_{j,\alpha}(x) \left[\varphi_j(h^{-1/(m+1)}t) \; - \; h^{1/(m+1)} F^h_j,(x,t) \right] \; , \label{eq:uham}$$

with

$$F_j^h(x, t) = f_1^0(x)f^{-1/(m+1)}(x)\phi_j(h^{-1/(m+1)}t),$$

where $\phi_j \in S(\mathbb{R})$ is solution of :

$$-\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\phi_j(t) + (t^{2m} - \mu_j)\phi_j(t) = t^{2m+1}\varphi_j(t)$$
,

and $\epsilon_0 \in [0,1]$ is a small enough constant, but independent of h and j.

 ϕ_j exists because μ_j is a non-degenerated eigenvalue and the related eigenfunction φ_j (see 1.8) verifies $\int_{\mathbb{R}} t^{2m+1} \varphi_j^2(t) dt = 0$, since it is a real even or odd function.

Using the similar estimates as in chapter 3, one can get easily that

$$\mu_i^{-1} \|t \partial_t \varphi_i\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \mu_i^{-2} \|(t \partial_t)^2 \varphi_i\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \le C$$

and $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\exists C_k > 0$ s.t. $\mu_j^{-k/2m} ||t^k \varphi_j||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \le C_k$. It is well known that there exists $\epsilon_1 > 0$ s.t.

 $|\mu_j-\mu_\ell|\geq \epsilon_1$, $\ \, \forall \ \ell \neq j$, then the inverse of $-\frac{d^2}{dt^2}+t^{2m}-\mu_j$ is $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ -bounded by $1/\epsilon_1$, (on the orthogonal of φ_j). So in the same way as in chapter 3, we get also that

$$\mu_i^{-2-1/2m} \|t \partial_t \phi_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \mu_i^{-3-1/2m} \|(t \partial_t)^2 \phi_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \le C$$

and $\forall k\in\mathbb{N}$, $\exists C_k>0$ s.t. $\mu_j^{-1-(k+1)/2m}\|t^k\phi_j\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\leq C_k$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we get easily that

$$\|[\widehat{Q}^h - \mu_j \lambda_{j,\alpha}^h] \chi_0(|x|/\epsilon_0) u_{j,\alpha}^{h_j}(x,t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O}_0)} \le h^2 \mu_j^{(4m+3)/2m} C$$

and

 $\|\chi_0(|x|/\epsilon_0)u_{j_0}^{h_{j_0}}(x,t)\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O}_0)} - 1\| = O(h^{1/(m+1)}\mu_j^{(2m+1)/2m}) = o(1)$. So the theorem 4.1 follows easily.

Remark 4.2 If in Theorem 4.1 we assume that j is also bounded by N, then, as in [6], we can get a full asymptotic expansion

$$\lambda_{j\ell\alpha}^{h} \sim h^{2m/(m+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} c_{j\ell k\alpha} h^{k/(m+1)}$$
,

and for the related eigenfunction, a quasimode of the form

$$u_{j\ell\alpha}^h(x,t) \sim c(h)e^{-\psi(x)/h^{1/(m+1)}}\chi_0(t/\epsilon_0)\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}h^{k/(2m+2)}a_{j\ell k\alpha}(x)\phi_{jk}(t/h^{1/(m+1)})$$
.

Received: June 2006. Revised: Oct 2006.

References

- S. Dozias, Clustering for the spectrum of h-pseudodifferential operators with periodic flow on an energy surface. J. Funct. Anal. 145,(1997),296-311.
- B. Helffer, Introduction to the semiclassical analysis for the Schrödinger operator and applications. Springer lecture Notes in Math., No 1336 (1988).
- [3] B. Heleffer, D. Robert, Propriétés asymptotiques du spectre d'opérateurs pseudo-différentiels sur Rⁿ. Comm. in P.D.E., 7(7),(1982),795-882.
- [4] B. Helffer, D. Robert, Comportement semi-classique du spectre des hamiltoniens quantiques hypoelliptiques. Annales ENS Pise IV, 9,(3),(1982), 405-431.
- [5] B. Helffer, J. Sjoestrand, Multiple wells in the semi-classical limit. I. Comm. in P.D.E., 9, (4), (1984), p.337-408.
- [6] B. Helffer, J. Sjoestrand, Puits multiples en mecanique semi-classique.
 VI. Ann. Institut H. Poincaré, Phys. Theor., 46,(4),(1987), 353-372.
- [7] L. HÖRMANDER, The Weyl calculus of pseudo-differential operators. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 32, (1979), 359-443.
- [8] V. IVRII, Microlocal analysis and precise spectral asymptotic. Springer, Berlin 1998.
- [9] D. KHUAT-DUY, A semi-classical trace formula for Schroedinger operators in the case of critical energy level. J. Funct. Anal., 146,(2),(1997), 299-351.
- [10] A. MARTINEZ, Développement asymptotiques et effet tunnel dans l'approximation de Born-Oppenheimer. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Vol. 49,(3),(1989), 239-257.
- [11] A. MORAME, F. TRUC, Semiclassical Eigenvalue Asymptotics for a Schrödinger Operator with Degenerate Potential. Asymptotic Anal., 22(1), (2000), 39-49.
- [12] D. ROBERT, Comportement asymptotique des valeurs propres d'opérateurs du type de Schrödinger à potentiel dégénéré. J. Math. Pures et Appl., 61,(1982), 275-300.
- [13] G. V. ROZENBLJUM, Asymptotics of the eigenvalues of the Schrödinger operator. Math. USSR Sbornik, (Eng. trans.), 22,(3),(1974), 349-371.
- [14] B. Simon, Nonclassical eigenvalue asymptotics. J. of Funct. Analysis, 53,(1983), 84-98.
- [15] B. Simon, Semi-classical analysis of low lying eigenvalues I. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, 38, (1983), 295-307.

- [16] M. Z. SOLOMYAK, Asymptotics of the spectrum of the Schrödinger operator with nonregular homogeneous potential. Math. USSR Sbornik, (Eng. trans.), 55,(1),(1986), 19-37.
- [17] M.A. Shubin, Pseudodifferential operators and spectral theory. Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1987.
- [18] F. TRUC, Semi-classical asymptotics for magnetic bottles. Asymp. Analysis, 15,(1997), 385-395.