## Majorization for certain classes of analytic functions defined by a new operator

E. A. ELJAMAL AND M. DARUS

School of Mathematical Sciences,

Faculty of Science and Technology,

Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia,

Bangi 43600 Selangor D. Ehsan, Malaysia.

email: n-ebtisam@yahoo.com , maslina@ukm.my

#### ABSTRACT

In the present paper, we investigate the majorization properties for certain classes of multivalent analytic functions defined by a new operator. Moreover, we pointed out some new and known consequences of our main result.

### RESUMEN

En el presente artículo, investigamos las propiedades de mayorización para ciertas clases de funciones analíticas multivalentes definidas por un nuevo operador. Además, resaltamos algunas consecuencias -nuevas y conocidas- de nuestro resultado princresultado.

**Keywords and Phrases:** Majorization properties, multivalent functions, Ruscheweyh derivative operator, Hadamard product.

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

## 1 Introduction

Let f and g be analytic in the open unit disk  $U = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1\}$ . We say that f is majorized by g in U and write

$$f(z) \ll g(z) \qquad (z \in U) \tag{1.1}$$

if there exists a function  $\varphi$ , analytic in U such that

$$|\varphi(z)| \le 1$$
 and  $f(z) = \varphi(z)g(z)$   $(z \in U)$ . (1.2)

It maybe noted here that (1.1) is closely related to the concept of quasi-subordination between analytic functions. Let  $A_p$  denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^{p} + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}, (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, ...\}),$$
(1.3)

which are analytic and multivalent in the open unit disk U. In particular, if p=1, then  $A_1=A$ . For functions  $f_j\in A_p$  given by

$$f_{j}(z) = z^{p} + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_{k,j} z^{k}, (j = 1, 2; p \in \mathbb{N}),$$
 (1.4)

we define the Hadamard product or convolution of two functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  by

$$f_1 * f_2(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_{k_1}, a_{k_2} z^k = (f_2 * f_1)(z).$$
 (1.5)

.

**Definition 1.1.** Let the function f be in the class  $A_p$ . Ruscheweyh derivative operator is given by

$$R^{n} = z^{p} + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} C(k,n) \alpha_{k} z^{k}. \tag{1.6}$$

Next we define the following differential operator,

$$\begin{split} D^0 &= f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^\infty \alpha_k z^k \\ D^1_{n,\lambda_1,\lambda_2,p} &= D^0 f(z) \frac{p-p\lambda_1 + \lambda_2(k-p)}{p+\lambda_2(k-p)} + (D^0 f(z))' \frac{z\lambda_1}{p+\lambda_2(k-p)} \\ &= z^p + \Sigma_{k=p+1}^\infty \left[ \frac{p+(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)(k-p)}{p+\lambda_2(k-p)} \right] \alpha_k z^k, \end{split}$$

and

$$D_{n,\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},p}^{2} = D_{n,\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},p}^{1}f(z)\frac{p-p\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}(k-p)}{p+\lambda_{2}(k-p)} + (D_{n,\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},p}^{1}f(z))'\frac{z\lambda_{1}}{p+\lambda_{2}(k-p)}$$

$$=z^p+\Sigma_{k=p+1}^{\infty}\left\lceil\frac{p+(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)(k-p)}{p+\lambda_2(k-p)}\right\rceil^2\alpha^kz^k.$$

In general,

$$D_{n,\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},p}^{m}f(z) = D(D^{n-1}f(z) = z^{p} + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{p + (\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2})(k-p)}{p + \lambda_{2}(k-p)} \right]^{m} a_{k}z^{k}$$
(1.7)

where  $(\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}\in\mathbb{N}_0=\mathbb{N}\cup\{0\},\lambda_2\geq\lambda_1\geq0)$ . By applying convolution product on (1.6) and (1.7) we have the following operator

$$D_{n,\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},p}^{m}f(z) = z^{p} + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{p + (\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2})(k-p)}{p + \lambda_{2}(k-p)} \right]^{m} C(k,n) a_{k} z^{k},$$
 (1.8)

where  $C(k,n) = \frac{\Gamma(k+n)}{\Gamma(k)}$ .

Moreover, for  $m, n \in N_0, \lambda_2 \ge \lambda_1 \ge 0$ 

$$(p + \lambda_2(k - p))D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, p}^{m, n} f(z) = (p + \lambda_2(k - p) - p\lambda_1)D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, p}^{m, n} f(z) + \lambda_1 z(D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, p}^{m, n} f(z))'$$
(1.9)

Special cases of this operator include:

- the Ruscheweyh derivative operator in the case  $D_{0,0,1}^{0,n}f(z) \equiv R^n$  [6],
- the Salagean derivative operator in the case  $D_{1,0,1}^{m,0}f(z)\equiv D^m\equiv S^n$  [2],
- the generalized Salagean derivative operator introduced by Al-Oboudi in the case  $D_{\lambda_1,0,1}^{m,0}f(z) \equiv D_{\lambda_1}^m[1]$ ,
  - the generalized Ruscheweyh derivative operator in the case  $D_{\lambda_1,0,1}^{1,n}f(z)\equiv D_n^{\lambda_1}$  [3], and
  - the generalized Al-Shaqsi and Darus derivative operator in the case  $D_{\lambda_1,0,1}^{\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}}f(z)\equiv D_{\mathfrak{n}}^{\mathfrak{m},\lambda_1}[4]$ .

To further our work, we need to define a class of functions as follows:

**Definition 1.2.** A function  $f \in A_p$  is said to be in the class  $S_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,n}^{\mathfrak{m},p,j}[A,B,\gamma]$  of p-valent functions of complex order  $\gamma \neq 0$  in U if and only if

$$\left\{1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{z(D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, p}^{m, n} f(z))^{(j+1)}}{(D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, p}^{m, n} f(z))^{(j)}} - p + j \right) \right\} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}.$$
(1.10)

 $(z \in U, p \in \mathbb{N}, j \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \gamma \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}, \lambda_2 \ge \lambda_1 \ge 0).$ 

Clearly, we have the following relationships:

(i) 
$$S_{0,0,0}^{0,1,0}[1,-1,\gamma] = S(\gamma)$$

- $\begin{array}{ll} \text{(ii)} & S_{0,0,0}^{0,1}, _{0}^{1}[1,-1,\gamma] = K(\gamma) \\ \text{(iii)} & S_{0,0,0}^{0,1}, _{0}^{0}[1,-1,1-\alpha] = S^{*} \quad \text{for} \quad 0<\alpha<1. \ \textit{The classes} \ S(\gamma) \ \textit{and} \ K(\gamma) \ \textit{are said to be classes} \\ \end{array}$ of starlike and convex of complex order  $\gamma \neq 0$  in U and  $S^*(\alpha)$  denote the class of starlike functions of order  $\alpha$  in U.

A majorization problem for the class  $S(\gamma)$  has been investigated by Altintas e.tal [5] and for the class  $S^*=S^*(0)$  has been investigated by MacGregor [7]. In the present paper, we investigate a majorization problem for the class  $S_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\alpha}^{m,p,j}[A,B,\gamma]$ .

### Majorization problem for the class $S_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,n}^{m,p,j}[A,B,\gamma]$ 2

**Theorem 2.1.** Let the function  $f \in A_p$  and suppose that  $g \in S_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,n}^{\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{j}}[A,B,\gamma]$ . If  $(D_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,p}^{\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}}f(z))^{(j)}$ is majorized by  $(D_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,p}^{\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}}g(z))^{(j)}$  in U, then

$$\left| (D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, p}^{m+1, n} f(z))^{(j)} \right| \le \left| (D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, p}^{m, n} g(z))^{(j)} \right| \quad \text{for} \quad |z| \le r_0, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $r_0 = r_0(p, \gamma, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, A, B)$  is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$r^{3} \left| \gamma(A - B) - \left( \frac{p + \lambda_{2}(k - p)}{\lambda_{1}} \right) B \right| - \left[ \frac{p + \lambda_{2}(k - p)}{\lambda_{1}} + 2|B| \right] r^{2} - \left[ \left| \gamma(A - B) - \left( \frac{p + \lambda_{2}(k - p)}{\lambda_{1}} \right) B \right| + 2 \right] r + \left( \frac{p + \lambda_{2}(k - p)}{\lambda_{1}} \right) = 0,$$

$$(-1 \le B < A \le 1; P \in \mathbb{N}; \gamma \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}).$$

$$(2.2)$$

**Proof.** Since  $g \in S_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,n}^{\mathfrak{m},p,j}[A,B,\gamma]$  we find from (1.10) that

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{z(D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, p}^{m, n} g(z))^{(j+1)}}{(D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, p}^{m, n} g(z))^{(j)}} - p + j \right) = \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}$$
 (2.3)

 $(\gamma \in \mathbb{C} - 0, j, p \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad p > j), \text{ where } w \text{ is analytic in } U \text{ with }$ 

$$w(0) = 0$$
 and  $|w(z)| < z$   $(z \in U)$ .

From (2.3) we get

$$\frac{z(D_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,p}^{\mathfrak{m},n}g(z))^{(j+1)}}{(D_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,p}^{\mathfrak{m},n}g(z))^{(j)}} = \frac{(p-j) + [\gamma(A-B) + (p-j)B]w(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}$$
(2.4)

and

$$z(D^{\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}}_{\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\mathfrak{p}}f(z))^{(j+1)} = (\mathfrak{p} + \frac{\lambda_{2}(k-\mathfrak{p})}{\lambda_{1}})(D^{\mathfrak{m}+1,\mathfrak{n}}_{\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\mathfrak{p}}f(z))^{(j)} +$$

$$(p - j - \frac{\lambda_2(k - p)}{\lambda_1})(D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, p}^{m, n} f(z))^{(j)}.$$
(2.5)

By virtue of (2.4) and (2.5) we get

$$\left| (D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, p}^{m, n} g(z))^{(j)} \right| \leq \frac{\frac{p + \lambda_2(k - p)}{\lambda_1} [1 + |B|z|]}{(\frac{p + \lambda_2(k - p)}{\lambda_1}) |\gamma(A - B) - (\frac{p + \lambda_2(k - p)}{\lambda_1}) |B|z|} |(D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, p}^{m + 1, n} g(z))^{(j)}|. \tag{2.6}$$

Next, since  $(D_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,p}^{\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}}f(z))^{(j)}$  is majorized by  $(D_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,p}^{\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}}g(z))^{(j)}$  in the unit disk U, we have from (1.2) that

$$(D_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,p}^{\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}}f(z))^{(j)} = \varphi(z)(D_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,p}^{\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}}g(z))^{(j)}.$$

Differentiating it with respect to z and multiplying by z we get

$$z(D_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,p}^{\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}}f(z))^{(j+1)} = z\phi'(z)(D_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,p}^{\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}}g(z))^{(j)} + z\phi(z)(D_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,p}^{\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}}g(z))^{(j+1)}.$$

Now by using (2.5) in the above equation, it yields

$$(D_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,p}^{\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}}f(z))^{(j)} = \frac{z\varphi'(z)(D_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,p}^{\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}}g(z))^{(j)}}{\frac{p+\lambda_2(k-p)}{\lambda_1}} + \varphi(z)(D_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,p}^{\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}}g(z))^{(j)}$$
(2.7)

Thus, by noting that  $\varphi \in \Omega$  satisfies the inequality (see, e.g. Nehari [8])

$$|\varphi'(z)| \le \frac{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}{1 - |z|^2} \qquad (z \in \mathbf{U})$$
 (2.8)

and using (2.6) and (2.8) in (2.7), we get

$$\left|\left(D_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,p}^{\mathfrak{m}+1,\mathfrak{n}}\mathsf{f}(z)\right)^{(\mathfrak{j})}\right|\leq$$

$$\left[ |\varphi(z)| + \frac{1 - |\varphi(z)|^2}{1 - |z|^2} \frac{|z|(1 + |B||z|)}{\frac{p + \lambda_2(k - p)}{\lambda_1} - |\gamma(A - B) - (\frac{p + \lambda_2(k - p)}{\lambda_1})|B||z}}{|(D_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, p}^{\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}} g(z))^{(j+1)}|} \right]$$
(2.9)

which upon setting

$$|z| = r$$
 and  $|\varphi(z)| = \rho$   $(0 \le \rho \le 1)$ 

leads us to the inequality

$$\left| \left( D_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,p}^{\mathfrak{m}+1,\mathfrak{n}} f(z) \right)^{(\mathfrak{j})} \right| \leq$$

$$\frac{\phi(\rho)}{(1-r^2)(\frac{p+\lambda_2(k-p)}{\lambda_1}) - |\gamma(A-B) - (\frac{p+\lambda_2(k-p)}{\lambda_1})B|r} \left| (D_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,p}^{m+1,n}g(z))^{(j)} \right|$$
(2.10)

where

$$\phi(\rho) = -r(1+|B|)\rho^2 + (1-r^2)$$

$$\left[(\frac{p+\lambda_2(k-p)}{\lambda_1})-|\gamma(A-B)+(\frac{p+\lambda_2(k-p)}{\lambda_1})B|r)\right]\rho+r(1+|B|r) \tag{2.11}$$

takes its maximum value at  $\rho = 1$  with  $r_1 = r_1(p, \gamma, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, A, B)$  for  $r_1(p, \gamma, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, A, B)$  is the smallest positive root of equation (2.2). Furthermore, if  $0 \le \rho \le r_1(p, \gamma, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, A, B)$ , then function  $\psi(\rho)$  defined by

$$\psi(\rho) = -\sigma(1 + |B|\sigma)\rho^2 + (1 - \sigma^2)$$

$$\left[ \left( \frac{p + \lambda_2(k-1)}{\lambda_1} \right) - |\gamma(A - B) + \left( \frac{p + \lambda_2(k-p)}{\lambda_1} \right) B|\sigma \right] \rho + \sigma(1 + |B|\sigma)$$
(2.12)

is seen to be an increasing function on the interval  $0 \le \rho \le 1$  so that

$$\psi(\rho) \leq \psi(1) = (1 - \sigma^2)(\frac{p + \lambda_2(k - p)}{\lambda_1}) - |\gamma(A - B) + (\frac{p + \lambda_2(k - p)}{\lambda_1})B|\sigma) \tag{2.13}$$

$$0 \le \rho \le 1; (0 \le \sigma \le r_1(p, \gamma, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, A, B)).$$

Hence upon setting  $\rho = 1$  in (2.13) we conclude that (2.1) of Theorem 2.1 holds true for  $|z| \le r_1(p, \gamma, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, A, B)$  where  $r_1(p, \gamma, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, A, B)$  is the smallest positive root of equation (2.2). This completes the proof of the Theorem 2.1.

Setting p = 1, m = 0, A = 1, B = -1 and j = 0 in Theorem 2.1 we get

**Corollary 2.1.** Let the function  $f \in A$  be analytic in the open unit disk U and suppose that  $g \in S_{0,0,0}^{0,1,0}[1,-1,\gamma] = S(\gamma)$ . If f(z) is majorized by g(z) in U, then

$$|f'(z)| \le |g'(z)| \quad (|z| < r_3)$$

where

$$r_3 = r_3(\gamma) = \frac{3 + |2\gamma - 1| - \sqrt{9 + 2|2\gamma - 1| + |2\gamma - 1|^2}}{2|2\gamma - 1|}.$$

This is a known result obtained by Altintas[5].

For  $\gamma = 1$ , the above corollary reduces to the following result:

**Corollary 2.2.** Let the function  $f(z) \in A$  be analytic univalent in the open unit disk U and suppose that  $g \in S^* = S^*(0)$ . If f is majorized by g in U, then

$$|f'(z)| \le |g'(z)| \quad (|z| \le 2 - \sqrt{3})$$

which is a known result obtained by MacGregor [7].

Some other work related to the class defined by (1.3) can be seen in [9] and of course elsewhere. In fact, recently Ibrahim [10] used the concept of majorization to find solutions of fractional differential equations in the unit disk.

**Acknowledgement** The work presented here was supported by UKM-ST-06-FRGS0244-2010. Received: April 2011. Revised: June 2011.

# References

- [1] F. M. Al-Oboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **27**(2004), 1429-1436.
- [2] G. Salagean, Subclasses of univalent functions, Lecture in Math. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1013(1983), 362-372.
- [3] K. Al-Shaqsi and M. Darus, On univalent functions with respect to k-symmetric points defined by a generalization Ruscheweyh derivative operators, *Jour. Anal. Appl.*, **7**(2009), 53-61.
- [4] M. Darus and K. Al-Shaqsi, Differential Sandwich Theorems with Generalised Derivative Operator, *Int. J. Comput. Math. Sci.*, (22)(2008), 75-78.
- [5] O. Altintas, Ö.Özkan and H. M. Srivastava, Majorization by starlike functions of complex order, *Complex Var.* **46**(2001), 207-218.
- [6] St. Ruscheweyh, New certain for univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. soc. 49(1975),109-115.
- [7] T. H. MacGregor, Majorization by univalent functions, Duke Math. J. 34(1967), 95-102.
- [8] Z. Nehari, Confformal mapping, MacGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, Toronto and London (1955).
- [9] M. Darus and R. W. Ibrahim, Multivalent functions based on a linear operator, *Miskolc Mathematical Notes*, **11**(1) (2010), 43-52.
- [10] R. W. Ibrahim, Existence and uniqueness of holomorphic solutions for fractional Cauchy problem, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 380 (2011), 232-240.