Academic reference service over electronic mail

By Ann Bristow

*Tips for offering a popular and
important service*

Reference service using electronic mail has
been around for a while in academic li-
braries. The chatter on electronic discussion
groups and in meetings at ALA suggests, how-
ever, that it is not thriving. No one describes
"heavy" use of such a service and yet some
libraries decide against initiating the service
because of a fear that they will not be able to
handle the volume. An assistant director of a
large research library asked colleagues at a re-
cent ALA meeting if other libraries had taken
this "leap into the future" having just discov-
ered, she reported, that one of the libraries
under her supervision had begun to offer the
service without requesting her approval. She
was worried.

She need not have been. At Indiana Uni-
versity in Bloomington, Indiana, we began of-
fering this service in 1987 as part of the librar-
ies' component of an electronic "Academic
Information Environment."[1] It appears from what
may be gathered informally that its use is, while
not heavy, at least heavier than that of other
academic libraries that speak of their experi-
ence.

From May through July 1991 (a quieter time
of year) the Main Library Reference Department
was contacted over electronic mail by 51 differ-
ent users and had a volume of 330 questions
and replies. Twenty-one of those users were
faculty members, 14 were graduate students,
15 were staff members, and one was an under-
graduate. One graduate student who maintained
his computing account had moved to Wash-
ington State and contacted us from there; he

intended to continue to use our services from
his new location. He felt it was still the most
convenient option available.

The greatest number of inquiries concerned
addresses, phone numbers, prices, and incom-
plete citations (in various languages). Another
group sought factual information; one associ-
ate instructor uses the service to double check
"facts" cited in undergraduate papers. Some
sought a "start" on a larger research problem.
Very rare in our experience is the "inappropri-
ate" question—too large or too vague.

Surveying e-mail users

In order to try to get a better understanding of
our experience, to identify our clientele, and to
consider improvements and modifications, a
very brief survey was sent out to these users
over electronic mail. Four questions were asked:

1) Do you do a great deal of your work
(including correspondence) using computers?
Or would you characterize your use of com-
puters as occasional?

2) Have you ever come to the Main Library
Reference Department and asked a question in
person? Have you ever telephoned a question
to that location?

3) If your answer to question 2 was posi-
tive, can you compare the usefulness of these
ways of getting answers to your questions?
(Does one fit in best with your work habits, do
you get better service using one over the other,
etc.?)

4) Could we modify the design or handling
of the electronic service in any way that would
make it more useful to you?

The first fact that our survey confirmed is
that some behavior over electronic mail is dif-
ferent. The response rate was 80 percent; 60
percent of the replies were received within 24

Ann Bristow is head of the Reference Department, Main Library, Indiana University, Bloomington

hours. That alone answered our first question: most (but not all) described themselves as using computers on a daily basis in many aspects of their work.

Answers to the second and third questions are harder to generalize. A few stated that they only communicate with us over e-mail; a larger number reported using all three methods of communicating. The telephone was the least popular by far, valued only for "emergencies." Several noted their frustration when asking questions in person and the interview is interrupted by a ringing phone; it was suggested that we train everyone to use e-mail and abandon the phone. The ability to discuss and refine a complex question in person was noted as very important by several.

> *It was suggested that we train everyone to use e-mail and abandon the phone.*

Answers to the fourth question were perfectly delightful. Our services were described as "great"; we were enjoined to "keep up the good work"; half a dozen replied that they welcomed the opportunity to say "thank you." Very few had specific suggestions for improvements or modifications to the service. But they did have a great deal to say about two other issues.

In response to budget cuts and with the advice of a faculty committee, the library had just severely curtailed a popular campus document delivery service. Many respondents wanted to know to whom they could complain about this decision and observed that it was an extremely valuable service. Another significant number of respondents asked when more databases would be offered over the campus network; mentioned more than once were *Books in Print, Dissertation Abstracts, ERIC,* and *Psychological Abstracts.*

Library users are typically too generous in evaluating services and it is with that awareness that we tried to read the replies. It took discipline, however, to remind ourselves of that fact in reading the enthusiastic appraisal of the service and of our performance. Librarians sometimes worry about the isolated, anonymous nature of the electronic future. The survey confirmed what we had already noticed: each user-name has its own personality and most are extremely polite. Half the replies we

send out routinely receive a "thanks again" within a few hours. It is just different, not less human, not dehumanizing.

Some useful tips

Apart from recounting our pleasure, there may be a few observations and principles that can be drawn from our experience and from the survey that many be helpful to other libraries:

1) In order for reference service using e-mail to be generally useful it must be part of a larger electronic framework: a campus information system, an option with the online catalog, etc. Simply announcing an e-mail address in a printed campus newsletter and waiting for business is not likely to succeed.

2) The service will be used most by people who have integrated computing into all aspects of their work and communication. An electronic reference service will not drive that choice but will be a useful service to those who have made it.

3) Describe the service as clearly and succinctly as you can. In our system a selection from the top menu of "Reference Services" prompts another menu asking where to send the question (the Reference Department, one of 15 branch libraries, etc.). A few months after the survey described here, another option was added at the bottom of the top "Library Services" menu entitled simply "Ask a Librarian." Questions addressed to that selection are forwarded to Reference and the volume of questions we receive has tripled (over that described earlier). A higher percentage of questions are now referrals to Circulation or other units but we are receiving at least twice as many "real" reference questions.

4) Include a description of service parameters (what kinds of questions can I use this service for?). The screen a user is supplied in our system says simply "Enter the text of your question here." What a "reference question" is may not be easily understood. We receive several questions a week with no text and guess it is a user trying to figure out what this service is and if it has any relevance or utility. We plan to add examples (modified from those actually put to us) of directory and factual queries.

5) Describe pick-up times: do you plan to check daily, hourly, every day, every "working" day? Though it may be hard to promise turn-around time, an idea of how often you are looking at the mailbox will give the user an

Since the initiation of reference roving, three new dial-in services (*Lexis/Nexis/Medis, Dialog/Classmate,* and *Univnet*), a Latin American wire service, and two CD-ROM databases (*Million Dollar Directory* and *National Newspaper Index on Infotrac*) have been added. Three Wilson databases (*Humanities, Social Sciences,* and *General Science Indexes*) were mounted on our NOTIS system and the UMI databases were moved onto our MultiPlatter network, along with *PAIS* and *GPO.* Having the roving service already in place, we were able to effectively introduce the new systems to our patrons.

Conclusion

A poll of the reference staff a year later indicates that our staff unanimously think reference roving is worthwhile and should be continued. It makes staff more accessible and allows time for in-depth help on an individual basis. Roving provides the opportunity for a pro-active approach and a first-hand view of how patrons use electronic resources. It also helps relieve the stress felt at the reference desk by allowing referrals to the reference rovers.

Several recent articles, including Charles A. Bunge's "CD-ROM Stress" (*Library Journal,* April 15, 1991), emphasized that this proliferation of electronic resources has led to the rise of technostress in reference departments. Reference roving at Boston College's O'Neill Library has proved to be a successful approach for dealing with these problems and meeting our patrons' needs. ■

---

idea of how fast the service may or may not be and how appropriate to present need. (We have been checking five times a day, seven days a week; but we had not communicated this to our users and a few have expressed disappointment that they did not get speedier replies. They may have imagined some ongoing constant monitoring.)

6) Pick up the messages regularly and monitor that responses have been sent. In our case the office manager assumes this task Monday through Friday. She then gives the questions to the librarian or staff member on duty at the Reference Desk. Another model might be to rotate the responsibility on a weekly basis to individual staff members. Whatever the model, it is important that the expertise of the staff be utilized when appropriate. Any question we receive on cinema goes automatically to our resident expert. One of the benefits of e-mail is that it allows you to take advantage of such expertise. It frees both librarian and patron from the lottery they each face in handling reference transactions over the telephone or in person—when an answer to the question is most often attempted immediately by the person on duty, whatever subject or language expertise they may or may not possess.

7) Cite the source your "fact" comes from. This need not be in correct and complete bibliographic form, unless that is requested. That associate instructor who uses us to double check facts his students use in their papers has reminded us again that one woman's fact is another's mistake. Unless you plan to check the fact in six different sources (finding three different answers), pick a reputable source and say what it is. This principle is hardly unique to reference work in an electronic setting. That it's worth mentioning here probably reflects the fact that librarians and staff accustomed to the generally unmonitored, oral, one-on-one style of most reference work may respond differently to written communications which may be viewed (and reviewed) by their colleagues. It is a good reminder of the form and substance of the answers we give to all questions.

8) This service will likely stimulate demand for other library services such as document delivery, databases beyond our own line catalogs, and expert systems designed within specific ranges of inquiry. The responses we received about the delivery service and additional databases over the network were not motivated only by local events but by a vision of the total array of services desired from the scholar's own workstation.

Even in the richest, most intelligent online environment, however, there is probably a useful niche for a "reference" option. Such an option requires the user to know only what she wants to know and to answer no questions about her question before she can ask it! It is a service users appreciate.

Note

[1]Described in this journal by Miriam Bonham, "Library services through electronic mail," *C&RL News* 48:9 (October 1987): 537–38. ■