

YIELD POTENTIAL OF GARDEN PEA VARIETIES AT VARIED HARVESTING DATES

S. R. Haque¹, N. Akter², M. A. H. Khan³ K. Kabir⁴, M. M. Islam⁵

^{1,3 & 5}Scientific Officer, Agricultural Research Station, BARI, Comilla

²M S student, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University

⁴Assistant Professor, Department of Horticulture, Sher-e- Bangla Agricultural University

Corresponding author: ayubagronomy@yahoo.com

Key words: Garden pea, harvesting time, variety

Abstract

A field experiment was carried out at the experimental field of Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding of Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University, Salna, Gazipur during November 2008 through April 2009 to find out the performance of different pea varieties at different harvesting time. The treatments consisted of two factors, which were four harvesting times (70, 80, 90 and 100 days after sowing) and six varieties of garden pea (IPSA Motor shuti-1, IPSA Motor shuti-2, IPSA Motor shuti-3, BARI Motor shuti-1, BARI Motor shuti-3 and Local white). The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design with three replications. The maximum pod yield (11.42 t ha⁻¹) was obtained from BARI Motor shuti-1 harvesting at 80 days after sowing which was statistically similar to IPSA Motor shuti-2 harvesting at 80 days after sowing and Local white at same harvesting date and superior to the rest of the treatment combination. The lowest pod yield (5.25 t ha⁻¹) was produced by BARI Motor shuti-1 harvesting at 70 days after sowing.

Introduction

Pea (*Pisum sativum* ssp. *Hortense*) is well known as a vegetable and one of the important legumes in Bangladesh. It is an annual herbaceous plant of family *Leguminosae* having genus *Pisum* and mostly grown for green pods and seeds. The genus includes 6-7 species. The Mediterranean region, western and central Asia and Ethiopia have been indicated as centers of its origin. For gardening purposes, peas may be classified as garden peas (English peas), snap peas and snow peas (sugar peas). Garden pea varieties have smooth or wrinkled seeds. The green pods and immature seeds are rich in vitamin and have a balanced amino acid composition. Moreover, some important minerals such as calcium, phosphorus and iron are present in abundant quantities in peas which are lacking in cereals. The crop becomes popular for its high nutritive value and good taste. It contains 15-35% protein, 20-25% starch, 4-10% sugar, 0.6-1.5% fat and 2-4% minerals (Makasheva, 1983). The importance of pea as a vegetable crop has sharply increased in many countries of the world. It ranks third or fourth in the worldwide production among the grain legumes (Farrington, 1974). The major green pea producing countries of the world are India, China, USA, France, UK, Egypt, Hungary, Italy, Pakistan, Japan and Thailand. In Bangladesh at present pea is grown in a very small area of 12,477 ha and annual production is about 9,410 metric tons (BBS, 2005). The average dry seed yield is only 0.75 t ha⁻¹ which is much lower as compared to other pea growing countries such as USA 3.94 t ha⁻¹ (Anon., 1990) and France 3.23 t ha⁻¹ (Makasheva, 1983). The low yield is mainly due to the use of low yielding cultivars and lack of good quality seeds and cultural practices. Harvesting time determines the nutrient contents and shelf life in seeds of pea. Harvesting time is

known to affect seed growth and development as it prevails under different conditions in the process of seed development. In Bangladesh vegetable pea is grown during cool period in the winter season having very short durability. Thus time of harvesting is a very important factor which influences yield greatly. Harvesting of peas beyond or before its optimum period causes reduction in quality seed yield. Now a day the average production of field pea in Bangladesh has been drastically reduced due to introduction of HYV Rice and wheat. Thus the scope of its production as field crop has already been limited. On the other hand there is a shortage of off-season vegetable in our country. Green pea can be considered as vegetable crop as it need smaller areas of land and can also be grown without competition with cereal crops. Under frozen condition the vegetable can be used throughout the year. However, it is necessary to determine the appropriate harvesting time to ensure seed quality and maximum productivity. Hence the present study was undertaken to evaluate the performance of different pea varieties harvested at different times.

Materials and Methods

A field experiment was carried out at the experiment field of Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding of Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University, Salna, Gazipur during November 2008 to April 2009. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) having two factors with three replications. The unit plot size was 4m x 1m maintaining line to line 30 cm and plant to plant 10 cm. The experimental blocks were separated by 0.6 m. The plots were raised by 10 cm for proper irrigation. The treatments include Factor A: Harvesting time; $H_1=30^{\text{th}}$ January '09 (70 Days After Sowing), $H_2=9^{\text{th}}$ February '09 (80 DAS), $H_3=19^{\text{th}}$ February '09 (90 DAS), $H_4=1^{\text{st}}$ March '09 (100 DAS) and Factor B: Variety; $V_1=$ IPSA Motor shuti-1, $V_2=$ IPSA Motor shuti-2, $V_3=$ IPSA Motor shuti-3, $V_4=$ BARI Motor shuti-1, $V_5=$ BARI Motor shuti-3, $V_6=$ Local white, The experimental soil was well prepared by deep ploughing with tractor followed harrowing and laddering up to a good tilth. The experimental plots were uniformly fertilized with cow-dung (@ of 10 t ha⁻¹), Urea (@ of 120 kg ha⁻¹), TSP (@ of 160 kg ha⁻¹) and MoP (@ of 100 kg ha⁻¹). The total cow-dung, TSP, MoP and 1/2 urea were applied during the final land preparation and the rest of the urea were top dressed in the plot in two installments. The first installment was top dressed at 21 and the second was at 42 days after sowing. Seeds were sown @ 2-3 seeds hill⁻¹ on November 20 in furrows maintaining the row spacing of 30 cm and plant to plant spacing 10 cm. Thinning was done after 7 and 15 days of emergence for keeping desired plant density. Three weeding were done after 15, 30 and 45 days of emergence by hand to avoid competition between the plants and weeds. Proper irrigation was done as and when. Ten plants were randomly selected from each plot for data collection on yield characteristics and pods were collected from the specific plot at the specific harvesting time according to the treatment. For seed production whole plants were harvested from each plot according to the treatment. After harvesting the pod, the seeds were removed and separated by hand.

Results and Discussion

Interaction effects on yield and yield contributing characters of garden pea

Days to 50% flowering

The interaction of variety and harvesting time significantly influenced the days of 50% flowering of the crop (Table 1). The treatments H_1V_1 , H_1V_5 , H_2V_1 , H_2V_5 , H_3V_1 , H_4V_1 and H_4V_5 showed

Yield of Garden Pea at Varied Harvesting Dates

50% flowering at 35 days which was the minimum and it was statistically identical to all the treatments except H₁V₄, H₁V₆, H₂V₄, H₂V₆, H₃V₄, H₃V₆, H₄V₄ and H₄V₆. V₆ variety took the longest period (68 days) with the combination of all the harvesting time. Verbitskii (1968) reported that the duration of the period from germination to flowering of the some varieties varied from 20 to 70 days in different years.

Number of branches plant⁻¹

Remarkable variation was found in the number of branches plant⁻¹ among the treatments combination of harvesting time and varieties (Table 1). Maximum number of branches (1.80 plant⁻¹) was recorded in H₂V₃ which was significantly higher than other combinations. The lowest number of branches (1.10 plant⁻¹) was obtained from the treatment H₁V₁ which was statistically similar to treatments H₁V₂ and H₁V₄.

Plant height (cm)

Plant height of different varieties of pea was significantly interacted with harvesting time (Table 1). The variety V₃ was the tallest (86.50 cm plant⁻¹) at harvesting time H₃ which was statistically similar to H₄V₃. The shortest plant (17.00 cm plant⁻¹) was found in H₄V₁ and it was statistically similar to H₁V₁, H₂V₁ and H₃V₁.

Table 1. Interaction effect of variety and harvesting time on days to 50% flowering, number of branches per plant and plant height of pea

Treatments	Days to 50% flowering	Number of branches plant ⁻¹	Plant height (cm)	
H ₁	V ₁	35.00c	1.10g	20.11j
	V ₂	40.00c	1.11g	37.93hi
	V ₃	50.00bc	1.55b	61.87c
	V ₄	66.00a	1.15fg	46.82e-g
	V ₅	35.00c	1.30c-e	44.80f-h
	V ₆	68.00a	1.35cd	51.23d-f
H ₂	V ₁	35.00c	1.27de	20.43j
	V ₂	40.00c	1.38cd	38.98g-i
	V ₃	50.00bc	1.80a	77.72b
	V ₄	66.00a	1.28c-e	53.45c-e
	V ₅	35.00c	1.40c	44.39f-i
	V ₆	68.00a	1.39cd	59.40cd
H ₃	V ₁	35.00c	1.22ef	18.00j
	V ₂	40.00c	1.30c-e	37.55hi
	V ₃	49.33bc	1.35cd	86.50a
	V ₄	60.00ab	1.28c-e	55.67cd
	V ₅	35.33c	1.36cd	38.48g-i
	V ₆	68.00a	1.38cd	59.28cd
H ₄	V ₁	35.00c	1.37cd	17.00j
	V ₂	40.00c	1.28c-e	35.67i
	V ₃	50.00bc	1.40c	84.60ab
	V ₄	66.00a	1.27de	53.83c-e
	V ₅	35.00c	1.34c-e	37.35hi
	V ₆	68.00a	1.34c-e	55.93cd
F value	0.07**	6.92**	4.41**	
CV (%)	16.06	4.76	9.80	

H₁=30th January'09 (70 Days After Sowing), H₂= 9th February'09 (80 DAS), H₃=19th February'09 (90 DAS), H₄=1st March'09 (100 DAS), V₁=IPSA Motor shuti-1, V₂=IPSA Motor shuti-2, V₃=IPSA Motor shuti-3, V₄=BARI Motor shuti-1, V₅=BARI Motor shuti-3, V₆=Local white.

Means in a column followed by same letter(s) are not significantly different at 1 % level of significance.

Pod length (mm pod⁻¹)

Pod length of pea varieties varied significantly with different harvesting times (Table 2). The longest pod (63.68 mm pod⁻¹) was observed in the treatment, H₃V₆, which was statistically identical to all the treatments except H₁V₁, H₁V₆, H₃V₁, H₄V₁ and H₄V₃. The lowest pod length (41.57 mm pod⁻¹) was observed with the treatment, H₁V₁.

Pod breadth (mm pod⁻¹)

The interaction effect of variety and harvesting time on pod breadth was significant (Table 2). The treatment, H₄V₂ produced the widest pod (13.06 mm pod⁻¹) which was statistically similar to all the treatments except H₁V₄, H₁V₆, H₂V₃, H₂V₄, H₂V₆, H₃V₃, H₃V₄, H₃V₆, H₄V₃, H₄V₄ and H₄V₆. The narrowest pod (9.03 mm pod⁻¹) was obtained by treatment H₄V₃ which was statistically similar to treatments H₁V₆, H₂V₃, H₂V₆ and H₃V₃.

Pod weight (g pod⁻¹)

The pod weight g pod⁻¹ varied greatly for different varieties of pea at different harvesting levels (Table 2). The maximum pod weight (4.13 g pod⁻¹) was produced by the treatment, H₂V₅ which was statistically superior to the treatments H₁V₁, H₁V₂, H₁V₃, H₁V₄, H₁V₅, H₁V₆, H₂V₂, H₄V₁, H₄V₂, H₄V₃ and H₄V₄. This might be due to combination H₂V₅ received comparatively optimum time for properly growing and did not dried out very much. Environmental factors that suited the genetic potential to be exploited for pod weight. The weather prevailed during this time was perhaps favorable for the maximum vegetative growth of plant and lead to production of higher photosynthetic products which result in maximum pod weight. The lowest pod weight (2.74 g pod⁻¹) was obtained by H₄V₃ of the crop. These findings are supported by Sachan *et al.* (2003).

Number of pods plant⁻¹

Number of pods plant⁻¹ is also an important factor among the yield contributing characters. A significant interaction effect for number of pods per plant was observed (Table 2). The highest number of pods (5.47 plant⁻¹) was produced by the treatment, H₂V₄ which was statistically similar to H₁V₃, H₂V₁, H₂V₂, H₂V₃, H₂V₆, H₃V₂, H₃V₃ and H₄V₂ of pea. The minimum number of pods (3.24 pod plant⁻¹) showed by treatment H₁V₄.

Number of seeds pod⁻¹

Interaction effect of variety and harvesting time played a significant role on number of seeds pod⁻¹ of pea too (Table 3). The maximum number of seeds pod⁻¹ (6.34) was recorded in H₂V₄ which was statistically similar to H₁V₄, H₂V₂, H₂V₃, H₂V₅, H₂V₆, H₃V₂, H₃V₄, H₃V₆, H₄V₄ and H₄V₆ treatments. The minimum number of seeds (3.18 pod⁻¹) recorded at the treatment H₁V₁. The minimum number of seeds pod⁻¹ in H₁V₁ might be due to the lack of proper time to grow and unfavorable environmental condition.

Pod yield (g plant⁻¹)

Pod yield plant⁻¹ was significantly influenced by the interaction effect of variety and harvesting time of the crop (Table 3). The treatment, H₂V₄ produced the maximum pod yield (22.84 g plant⁻¹) was statistically superior to the rest of treatments of pea. This might be due to plants of H₂ harvesting time received comparatively favorable environment and optimum time for vegetative growth of plant which lead to formation of higher photosynthetic products resulted in

Yield of Garden Pea at Varied Harvesting Dates

higher pod yield plant⁻¹. The lowest pod yield (10.50 g pod⁻¹) produced by the treatment, H₁V₄. These findings are supported by Sachan *et al.* (2003) and Chandra and Polisetty (1998).

Table 2. Interaction effect of variety and harvesting time on pod length, pod breadth, pod yield and number of pods of pea

Treatments	Pod length (mm pod ⁻¹)	Pod breadth (mm pod ⁻¹)	Pod weight (g pod ⁻¹)	Number of pods plant ⁻¹	
H ₁	V ₁	41.57d	11.84a-d	3.09d-f	3.93c-e
	V ₂	51.53a-d	11.73a-d	3.33b-f	4.31b-d
	V ₃	48.21a-d	11.63a-e	3.23c-f	4.53a-d
	V ₄	59.21a-d	10.47d-g	3.25b-f	3.24e
	V ₅	59.39a-c	11.77a-d	3.07d-f	3.80de
	V ₆	45.86b-d	9.72 gh	3.20c-f	3.93c-e
H ₂	V ₁	53.27a-d	12.19a-c	3.83a-d	4.50a-d
	V ₂	58.86a-d	12.85ab	3.13d-f	4.88a-c
	V ₃	52.01a-d	10.20f-h	3.63a-e	4.93a-c
	V ₄	62.86ab	10.94c-g	4.05ab	5.47a
	V ₅	58.46a-d	12.19a-c	4.13a	4.00c-e
	V ₆	57.06a-d	10.27e-h	3.84a-d	5.06ab
H ₃	V ₁	44.44cd	12.40ab	3.64a-e	4.39b-d
	V ₂	54.60a-d	12.35a-c	3.40a-f	4.77a-d
	V ₃	47.50a-d	9.820gh	3.35a-f	4.67a-d
	V ₄	59.68a-c	10.43d-g	3.58a-e	4.05b-e
	V ₅	59.96a-c	12.07a-c	3.60a-e	4.15b-e
	V ₆	63.68a	11.50b-f	3.63a-e	4.06b-e
H ₄	V ₁	43.02cd	12.37a-c	2.92ef	4.20b-e
	V ₂	48.71a-d	13.06a	3.12d-f	4.67a-d
	V ₃	43.05cd	9.03h	2.74f	4.38b-d
	V ₄	56.56a-d	11.55b-f	3.04d-f	3.93c-e
	V ₅	53.26a-d	12.71ab	3.93a-c	4.00c-e
	V ₆	48.35a-d	10.93c-g	3.35a-f	3.95c-e
F value	0.45**	2.68**	1.29*	1.27*	
CV (%)	16.70	6.50	11.87	12.27	

H₁=30th January'09 (70 Days After Sowing), H₂= 9th February'09 (80 DAS), H₃=19th February'09 (90 DAS), H₄=1st March'09 (100 DAS), V₁=IPSA Motor shuti-1, V₂=IPSA Motor shuti-2, V₃=IPSA Motor shuti-3, V₄=BARI Motor shuti-1, V₅=BARI Motor shuti-3, V₆=Local white.

Means in a column followed by same letter(s) are not significantly different at 1 or 5 % level of significance.

Pod yield (t ha⁻¹)

The interaction effect of variety and harvesting time on pod yield per hectare was significant (Table 3). The maximum pod yield (11.42 t ha⁻¹) was obtained from treatment H₂V₄ of pea which was statistically similar to H₂V₂ and H₂V₆ and superior to the rest of the treatments of pea. The lowest pod yield (5.25 t ha⁻¹) was produced by treatment H₁V₄. These findings are supported by Sachan *et al.* (2003) and Chandra and Polisetty (1998).

Seed yield pod⁻¹ (g)

There was a significant interaction among the treatment combination in recording the weight of seeds pod⁻¹ (Table 4). The maximum seed yield pod⁻¹ (1.37 g pod⁻¹) was obtained by the treatment H₂V₁, H₂V₂ and H₄V₁ which were statistically superior to the treatments H₁V₁, H₁V₃,

H₁V₅, H₁V₆, H₃V₃, H₄V₃, H₄V₄, H₄V₅ and H₄V₆. The lowest seed yield pod⁻¹ (1.02 g pod⁻¹) was obtained from H₄V₃.

Table 3. Interaction effect of variety and harvesting time on number of seeds pod⁻¹ and pod yield of pea

Treatments	Number of seeds pod ⁻¹	Pod yield (g plant ⁻¹)	Pod yield (t ha ⁻¹)	
H ₁	V ₁	3.18d	12.15jk	6.02f-h
	V ₂	4.31b-d	14.61h	7.31c-h
	V ₃	4.18b-d	13.13ij	6.57d-h
	V ₄	5.22ab	10.50l	5.25h
	V ₅	3.40cd	11.54k	5.78gh
	V ₆	4.53b-d	12.52i-k	6.26e-h
H ₂	V ₁	4.25b-d	17.06cd	8.53b-d
	V ₂	5.11ab	20.08b	9.71ab
	V ₃	5.48ab	17.82c	8.91bc
	V ₄	6.34a	22.84a	11.42a
	V ₅	4.80a-d	16.39de	8.19b-e
	V ₆	6.24a	19.43b	9.72ab
H ₃	V ₁	4.01b-d	15.90e-g	8.62b-d
	V ₂	5.01a-c	16.23d-f	8.12b-f
	V ₃	4.58b-d	15.60e-h	7.80b-g
	V ₄	5.04a-c	14.79h	7.39c-g
	V ₅	4.00b-d	15.11gh	7.55c-g
	V ₆	4.91a-c	14.79h	7.39c-g
H ₄	V ₁	3.90b-d	12.13i-k	6.06e-h
	V ₂	4.25b-d	14.57h	7.28c-h
	V ₃	4.17b-d	12.15i-k	6.07e-h
	V ₄	5.26ab	11.89k	5.95gh
	V ₅	3.93b-d	15.23f-h	6.85c-h
	V ₆	4.75a-d	13.22i	6.62d-h
F value	0.31**	21.76**	1.61**	
CV (%)	18.02	4.00	2.57	

H₁=30th January'09 (70 Days After Sowing), H₂= 9th February'09 (80 DAS), H₃=19th February'09 (90 DAS), H₄=1st March'09 (100 DAS), V₁=IPSA Motor shuti-1, V₂=IPSA Motor shuti-2, V₃=IPSA Motor shuti-3, V₄=BARI Motor shuti-1, V₅=BARI Motor shuti-3, V₆=Local white.

Means in a column followed by same letter(s) are not significantly different at 1 % level of significance.

Seed yield plant⁻¹ (g)

The interaction among varieties and harvesting time on the seed yield plant⁻¹ was found to be significant (Table 4). The combination H₂V₄ produced the maximum seed yield (8.01 g plant⁻¹) of pea which was statistically superior to the rest of the treatments of the crop. This might be due to plants of H₂ received comparatively favorable environment and optimum time for vegetative growth of plant which leads to formation of higher photosynthetic products resulted in higher seed yield plant⁻¹. The lowest yield of seeds (4.12 g plant⁻¹) was obtained from treatment H₁V₅.

Yield of Garden Pea at Varied Harvesting Dates

1000-seed weight (g)

Thousand seed weight was found significant among the treatment combination of varieties and harvesting time of the crop (Table 4). However, the 1000-seed weight found increased up to 300.00 g from the treatment H₂V₂ which was statistically similar to H₂V₆, H₃V₂ and H₄V₂ and superior to the rest of the treatments. The lowest thousand seed weight was from treatment, H₄V₃ and H₄V₅ and it was 170.00 g.

Seed yield (t ha⁻¹)

Interaction effect significantly influenced the seed yield of pea (Table 4). The highest seed yield (4.00 t ha⁻¹) was obtained in treatment, H₂V₄ and it was statistically similar to the treatments, H₂V₂, H₂V₅ and H₂V₆ and superior to the rest of the treatments. The lowest seed yield was (2.06 t ha⁻¹) by the treatment, H₁V₅ of pea.

Table 4. Interaction effect of variety and harvesting time on 1000-seed weight and seed yield of pea

Treatments	Seed yield pod ⁻¹ (g)	Seed yield plant ⁻¹ (g)	1000-seed weight (g)	Seed Yield (t ha ⁻¹)
H ₁	V ₁	1.15b-e	215.00f-h	2.50h-k
	V ₂	1.22a-d	260.00b-d	2.82e-h
	V ₃	1.06de	180.00ij	2.75f-i
	V ₄	1.19a-e	230.00d-g	2.17jk
	V ₅	1.15b-e	190.00h-j	2.06k
	V ₆	1.18b-e	220.00e-h	2.56g-j
H ₂	V ₁	1.37a	250.00b-e	3.28b-e
	V ₂	1.37a	300.00a	3.64ab
	V ₃	1.24a-c	203.30g-j	3.30b-e
	V ₄	1.36a	265.00bc	4.00a
	V ₅	1.32ab	200.00g-j	3.87a
	V ₆	1.32ab	280.00ab	3.59a-c
H ₃	V ₁	1.25a-c	230.00d-g	2.99d-g
	V ₂	1.32ab	280.00ab	3.34b-d
	V ₃	1.15b-e	190.00h-j	2.92d-h
	V ₄	1.25a-c	250.00b-e	3.00d-g
	V ₅	1.21a-d	190.00h-j	2.68f-i
	V ₆	1.22a-d	240.00c-f	2.74f-i
H ₄	V ₁	1.37a	215.00f-h	2.52g-k
	V ₂	1.20a-d	275.00ab	3.15c-f
	V ₃	1.02e	170.00j	2.47h-k
	V ₄	1.10c-e	210.00f-i	3.07d-f
	V ₅	1.05de	170.00 j	2.30i-k
	V ₆	1.15b-e	210.00f-i	2.50h-k
F value	1.11*	82.51**	0.86**	3.47**
CV (%)	7.41	1.56	7.84	8.52

H₁=30th January'09 (70 Days After Sowing), H₂= 9th February'09 (80 DAS), H₃=19th February'09 (90 DAS), H₄=1st March'09 (100 DAS), V₁=IPSA Motor shuti-1, V₂=IPSA Motor shuti-2, V₃=IPSA Motor shuti-3, V₄=BARI Motor shuti-1, V₅=BARI Motor shuti-3, V₆=Local white.

Means in a column followed by same letter(s) are not significantly different at 1 or 5 % level of significance.

Table 5. Prevailing temperature, relative humidity and rainfall during the growing period of Pea during 2008-09

Month	Air Temperature (°C)			Humidity (%)	Rainfall (mm)
	Max.	Min.	Ave.		
November'08	26.2	23.7	25.0	87.7	035.8
December'08	22.0	19.4	20.6	84.2	000.0
January,09	19.9	17.6	18.7	84.0	029.6
February,09	20.5	17.8	19.2	85.0	064.3
March,09	26.2	23.5	24.8	83.6	015.0
April,09	30.0	28.6	28.2	76.2	010.4

Conclusion

All the varieties of garden pea (IPSA Motor shuti-1, IPSA Motor shuti-2, IPSA Motor shuti-3, BARI Motor shuti-1, BARI Motor shuti-3 and Local white) showed better performance for harvesting at 9th February'09 (80 DAS) in case of pod yield and seed yield. So, it can be concluded that, these six varieties should be sown on November 20 and harvested at 80 DAS to obtain higher pod and seed yield.

References

- Anonymous. 1990. United States Department of Agriculture. National Agricultural statistics service, Agricultural Statistics Board. USA. (Cited from John M. S., W. W. George and J. P. Mccollum. 1992. Producing Vegetable Crops. Interstate pub. Inc. 4th edition. 406.)
- BBS. 2005. Year book of Agricultural Statistics of Bangladesh. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics, Statistics Division, Ministry of Planning, Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh p.63.
- Chandra, R. and R. Polisetty. 1998. Factors affecting growth and harvest index in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) varieties differing in time of flowering and maturity. J. Agron. Crop Sci. 181(3): 129-135.
- Farrington, P. 1974. F₂ diallel analysis of some qualitative traits in garden pea. J. Aust. Inst. Agric. Sci. 40: 99-108.
- Makasheva, R. K. H. 1983. The pea. Oxonion Press pvt. Ltd. New Delhi. p.267.
- Sachan, C. P., M. P. Yadav, P. K. Katiyar, K. Sanjeev, and V. P. Kanaujia. 2003. Effect of harvest time on seed quality in pea. Farm. Sci. J.12(1): 64-66.
- Verbitskii, N. M. 1968. Initial material for breeding for fodder in Rostov district. Publication of the Moscow District Committee Komsomol. Moscow, pp.105-107.