

A study of fungi on droppings of certain birds

C. S. SINGH

Department of Botany, K. S. Saket Post-Graduate College, Faizabad (U.P.) India

Singh C. S.: *A study fungi on droppings of certain birds*. Acta Mycol. 19 (2): 197-205, 1983.

Droppings of fowl, owl, parrot, pigeon and sparrow were aseptically collected in sterilized bottles from different places at Gorakhpur; 54 fungi were isolated. The number of fungi was more in the pigeon showing considerable decrease in the fowl and the sparrow. In the parrot and the owl, however, the fungi were equal in number. The number of *Phycomycetes* was almost the same on droppings of all birds, from parrot only one species could be isolated. A larger number of *Ascomycetes* was recorded from fowl, less from pigeon and owl and the least (two each) on sparrow and parrot droppings. The *Basidiomycetes*, represented by two species only, were recorded on owl and pigeon droppings. Pigeon droppings yielded the largest number of *Deuteromycetes*. They were equal in numbers on owl and parrot while on fowl and sparrow their number was comparatively less. *Mycelia sterilia*, though poor in their numbers, were recorded on all the bird droppings excepting owl.

INTRODUCTION

Many fungi are known to occur on bird dung. But these are supposed to get there either by chance eating along with food or through air or water currents. L ó d h a (1974) considered these fungi next to those observed on mammalian dung called them the fungi of „Second category”. He also suggested that the spores of these fungi are not found in the intestine of birds or on their droppings on the regular cycle, as in herbivore mammals. Observations made on the fungi growing on dung of different animals also suggest their greater number on mammalian dung than on bird droppings (Singh 1981). A survey of the literature reveals that the study on succession of fungi on bird dung has been done so far only by W a t l i n g (1963) on the excreta of the hawk.

Since such studies on bird droppings appear quite meagre, it has been proposed to isolate the fungi from the excreta of five different birds of Gorakhpur and study their pattern of secession.

* A part of the thesis approved for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Botany of Gorakhpur University.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

For the proposed study, the droppings of fowl were collected from the poultry farms; of owl, pigeon and sparrow from the buildings of Gorakhpur University and those of parrot from the houses where these were kept in cages. Care was taken to collect fresh droppings which were kept in sterilized bottles with the help of sterilized scalps and spatulas: 10 droppings were then transferred to each Petri dish moist chamber devised by Keyworth (1951). This method allowed the filter paper to keep wet for long time. When needed more sterilized water was added from the sides of the Petri dish. After 24 hours of incubation at room temperature the samples were examined under high power lens for fungal fruit bodies. The observations were made on the 3rd, 5th, 10th, 15th, 20th, 30th, 40th, and 50th day of incubation. This procedure was repeated five times and an average of the observations was made. The bacteria were isolated from the fresh dung samples by dilution plate techniques commonly used by bacteriologists for counting bacterial numbers.

Specific diagnosis of *Chaetomia* shows the presence of certain variants within the species which were given separate designations to distinguish them from the typical species.

RESULTS

A total of 54 fungi comprising 10 *Phycomycetes*, 16 *Ascomycetes*, 2 *Basidiomycetes*, 23 *Deuteromycetes* and 3 *Mycelia sterilia* were isolated from bird droppings (Table 1). Pigeon droppings harboured the highest number of fungi followed by fowl, sparrow, owl and parrot. The number of *Phycomycetes* isolated was nearly the same from the droppings of sparrow, fowl, owl and pigeon but that of parrot produced only one species. *Ascomycetes* were highest in number on fowl, less on pigeon and sparrow and only two each on owl and parrot droppings. *Basidiomycetes* were recorded only from fowl and pigeon droppings. The number of *Deuteromycetes* was higher than any other group of fungi. These were more numerous on pigeon and comparatively less on other bird droppings. *Mycelia sterilia*, though in smaller number, were present on fowl, pigeon, parrot and sparrow but were absent from owl droppings.

Of different species isolated, *Mucor* sp. I, *Alternaria* sp., *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. versicolor*, *Cephalophora irregularis*, *Fusarium sporotrichoides* and white sterile mycelium were of common occurrence, recorded on three or more of these birds. The species occurring on droppings of a single bird only were regarded as restricted species. (Table 2).

It is evident (Table 3) that *Zygomycetes* appeared on the 3rd day and thrived well upto 15th day. These were then outnumbered by *Ascomycetes* and *Deuteromycetes* and no *Zygomycetes* could be observed after the 20th day. *Ascomycetes* appeared late (10th day), maintained their number upto the 50th day. Only two

Table 1
Number of fungi on bird droppings

Fungal classes	Total No.	Droppings of different birds				
		F	O	Pa	P	S
Zygomycetes	10	3	3	1	3	4
Ascomycetes	16	6	2	2	4	4
Basidiomycetes	2	2	-	-	1	-
Deuteromycetes	23	5	8	8	12	6
Mycelia sterilia	3	2	-	2	1	2
Total	54	18	13	13	21	16

F - Fowl; O - Owl; Pa - Parrot; P - Pigeon; S - Sparrow

Basidiomycetes made their appearance on the 10th day (late) and none could be found on the 30th day onwards. *Deuteromycetes* made their appearance on the 3rd day increased steadily up to the 15th day after which their number began to decline till only one species was left on the 40th day. *Mycelia sterilia* appeared on the 5th day, their number reached the peak on the 15th day which was maintained upto the 30th day. They number began to decline thereafter and none could be found on the 50th day.

A closer look on the species of different classes revealed that they varied in time of their appearance and disappearance. They may be grouped into four categories. Those,

- appearing early and persisting for a short time (persisting for less than 15 days),
- appearing early and persisting for a long time (persisting for 15-30 days),
- appearing late and persisting for a short time (appearing on or after the 10th day and persisting for less than 15 days), and
- appearing late and persisting for a long time (appearing on or after the 10th day and persisting for 15-30 days or more).

It is clear (Table 4) that most of the *Phycomycetes* appeared early and persisted for a short time while three others viz. *Choanephora cucurbitarum*, *Mucor* sp. II (on sparrow) and *Rhizopus* sp. appeared late but persisted for a short time. The *Ascomycetes* and *Basidiomycetes* appeared late but former persisted for a long time and the latter for a short time. The *Ascomycetes* and *Basidiomycetes* appeared late but former persisted for a long time and the latter for a short time. Among *Deuteromycetes*, one fungus (*Aspergillus sydowi*) appeared early and persisted for a short time, *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. versicolor*, *Cephalophora irregularis*, *Fusarium sporotrichoides* and *Monilia candida* appeared early but persisted for a long time;

Table 2

Fungi isolated from bird droppings incubated for 50 days

Fungi	Days of incubation									
	3	5	10	15	20	30	40	50		
ZYGOZYCELES										
<i>Choanephora cucurbitarum</i> /Berh. et Rav./ Thaxter	-	-	PS	PS	F	-	-	-		
<i>Mortierella</i> sp.	-	O	O	-	-	-	-	-		
<i>Mucor heterosporus</i> Ling Young	F	F	P	-	-	-	-	-		
<i>M. subtilissimus</i> Cud.	-	O	O	-	-	-	-	-		
<i>Mucor</i> sp. I	FaS	FaS	FaS	FaS	-	-	-	-		
<i>Mucor</i> sp. II	-	F	PS	PS	-	-	-	-		
<i>Mucor</i> sp. III	-	F	F	F	-	-	-	-		
<i>Piptocephalis lepidula</i> /Marchal/ Benj.	-	O	O	O	-	-	-	-		
<i>Rhizopus oryzae</i> Went et Prinsen	S	S	S	-	-	-	-	-		
<i>Rhizopus</i> sp.	-	-	F	F	-	-	-	-		
ASCOMYCETES										
<i>Ghaetomium atrobrunneum</i> Asee	-	-	F	F	F	P	P	F		
<i>G. bostrygodes</i> Sopf	-	-	S	S	S	S	S	S		
<i>G. brasiliense</i> Batista et Font.	-	-	S	S	S	S	S	S		
<i>G. erraticum</i> Asee II	-	-	S	S	S	S	S	S		
<i>G. globosum</i> Kunze et Fr. I	-	-	O	O	O	O	O	O		
<i>G. globosum</i> II	-	-	-	P	F	P	P	F		
<i>G. globosum</i> III	-	-	S	S	S	S	S	S		
<i>G. globosum</i> IV	-	-	F	F	F	F	F	F		
<i>G. undulatum</i> Bain.	-	-	-	O	O	O	O	O		
<i>Ghaetomium</i> sp.	-	-	-	F	F	F	F	F		
<i>Gelasinospora talospora</i> /Houton/ Moreau et Moreau	-	-	-	FP	FP	FP	FP	FP		
<i>Kernia nitida</i> /Sacc./ Siewwl.	-	-	-	F	F	F	F	F		
<i>Microascus nidicolus</i> Nasse et Salmon	-	-	-	F	F	F	F	F		
<i>Phaeotrichum circinatum</i> Cain	-	-	-	FaP	FaP	FaP	FaP	FaP		
<i>Sordaria</i> sp.	-	-	-	Fa	Fa	Fa	Fa	Fa		
<i>Triangularia obliqua</i> Cain	-	-	-	F	F	F	F	F		
BASIDIOMYCETES										
<i>Coprinus</i> sp.	-	-	-	FP	FP	-	-	-		
<i>Panaeolus subdaltentus</i> Quéf	-	-	F	F	F	-	-	-		
DEUTEROMYCETES										
<i>Acladium niveum</i> /Lev./ Sacc.	-	-	P	P	P	-	-	-		
<i>Alternaria alternata</i> /Fr./Zeisler	-	-	OP	OP	OP	-	-	-		
<i>Alternaria</i> sp.	-	-	-	FoP	FoP	-	-	-		
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i> Link ex Pries	Fa	FoFaP	FoFaP	FoFaP	FoFaP	FoFaP	FoFaP	FoFaP		
<i>A. niger</i> v. Sieghs	-	-	Fa	Fa	-	-	-	-		
<i>A. oryzae</i> /Ahlb./ Conn	-	-	-	S	-	-	-	-		
<i>A. sydowi</i> /Bain. et Sart./ Thom et Church	S	S	S	S	-	-	-	-		
<i>A. ustus</i> /Bain./ Thom et Church	-	-	F	FaP	FaP	-	-	-		
<i>A. versicolor</i> /Vuill./ Tiraboschi	S	S	FoPS	FoPS	FoPS	-	-	-		
<i>Aspergillus</i> sp.	-	-	S	S	S	-	-	-		
<i>Cephalophora irregularis</i> Thaxter	F	F	P	FoPS	FoPS	-	-	-		
<i>Coremium</i> sp.	-	-	-	Fa	Fa	-	-	-		
<i>Curvularia</i> sp.	-	-	-	Fa	Fa	-	-	-		
<i>Dactylaria</i> sp.	-	-	Fa	Fa	-	-	-	-		
<i>Fusarium roseum</i> Link	-	-	O	O	O	-	-	-		
<i>F. sporotrichoides</i> Sherb.	-	-	FP	FaP	FaP	FaP	-	-		
<i>Graphium</i> sp.	-	-	S	S	-	-	-	-		
<i>Memnoniella echinata</i> /Riv./ Galloway	-	-	O	O	O	O	O	O		
<i>Monilia candida</i> Bon.	-	F	F	F	F	-	-	-		
<i>Neogulariopsis brivicaulis</i> /Sacc./ Bainier	-	-	F	F	F	-	-	-		
<i>Stachybotrys atra</i> Corda	-	-	F	F	F	F	-	-		
<i>Styeanus melius</i> Sacc.	-	-	F	F	-	-	-	-		
<i>Trichoderma viride</i> Fern. ex Fr.	-	-	OPa	OPa	OPa	OPa	-	-		
MYCELIA STERILIA										
Black sterile mycelium	-	-	F	Fa	Fa	Fa	Fa	-		
Brown sterile mycelium	-	-	-	S	S	S	S	-		
White sterile mycelium	PS	FaPS	FaPS	FaPS	FaPS	FaPS	FaPS	-		

F = Fowl; O = Owl; Fa = Parrot; P = Pigeon; S = Sparrow

Table 3
Number of fungi on bird droppings at intervals

Fungal classes	Days of incubation							
	3	5	10	15	20	30	40	50
Zygomycetes	3	8	10	8	1	-	-	-
Ascomycetes	-	-	5	16	16	16	16	16
Basidiomycetes	-	-	1	2	2	-	-	-
Deuteromycetes	4	6	19	23	19	4	1	1
<i>Mycelia sterilia</i>	-	1	2	3	3	3	2	-
Total	7	15	37	52	41	23	19	17

the rest appeared late but persisted for a short time, and *Memnoniella echinata*, *Stachybotrys atra* and *Trichoderma viride* appeared late but persisted for a long time. *Mycelia sterilia* comprised white sterile mycelium which appeared early, and black sterile mycelium, brown sterile mycelium and white sterile mycelium which appeared late but all persisted for a long time.

In droppings of different birds, the maximum bacterial colony counts were obtained in pigeon (2850000) and fowl (2600000) followed by parrot owl and sparrow respectively (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

During the investigation, the greatest number of fungi were recorded on pigeon (20) and comparatively fewer on fowl (18), sparrow (16), parrot (13) and owl (13) droppings due to the selective nature of these fungi for the substrates (Table 1). The distributional pattern of these fungi may also be determined by their physiological responses to the environment into which these are introduced. The overall low number of *Zygomycetes* on droppings of birds is ascribed to high bacterial contents (Table 5) and the semi-solid state of the excreta which is favourable for bacterial multiplication. With some exceptions, the relative number of *Ascomycetes* and *Deuteromycetes* was similar (Table 1) on the droppings of all the birds which may be due to similar nutritional behaviour of the two classes (Garret 1963: 66). Taxonomically also, the majority of *Deuteromycetes* are imperfect stages *Ascomycetes*. The number of *Basidiomycetes* and *mycelia sterilia* was nearly the same on the droppings of different birds. The similarity in their occurrence may be due to the taxonomic relation between them. According to Alexopoulos (1952: 318) many of the *mycelia sterilia* proved to be *Basidiomycetes* when their perfect stages were discovered. Of all the species isolated, only *Mucor* sp. I, *Alternaria* sp., *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. versicolor*, *Cephalophora irregularis*, *Fusarium sporotrichoides* and white sterile mycelium were of common occurrence and the majority of

Table 4
Appearance and persistence of species on bird droppings

Appearing early, persisting for a short time	Appearing early, persisting for a long time	Appearing late, persisting for a short time	Appearing late, persisting for long time
ZYGOMYCETES	ZYGOMYCETES	ZYGOMYCETES	ZYGOMYCETES
Mortierella sp./O/	X	Choanephora cu- curbitarum /PS/	X
Mucor heterosporus /F/		Mucor sp.II /S/	
M.subtilissimus/O/		Rhizopus sp./F/	
Mucor sp.I /FPaS/			
Mucor sp.II and sp.III /F/			
Piptocephalis lepidula /O/			
Rhizopus oryzae/S/			
ASCOMYCETES	ASCOMYCETES	ASCOMYCETES	ASCOMYCETES
X	X	X	Chaetomium atro- brunneum I /F/
			C.bostrychodes /S/
			C.brasiliense /S/
			C.erraticum II /S/
			C.globosum I /O/
			C.globosum II and IV /F/
			C.globosum III/S/
			C.undulatum /O/
			Chaetomium sp./F/
			Gelasinospora cal- lospora /FF/
			Kernia nitida /P/
			Microascus nidico- lus /F/
			Chaetotrichum cir- cinnatum /PaF/
			Sordaria sp./Pa/
			Triangularia obliqua /F/
BASIDIOMYCETES	BASIDIOMYCETES	BASIDIOMYCETES	BASIDIOMYCETES
X	X	Coprinus sp./FF/	X
		Panaeolus sub- dalteatus /F/	

DEUTEROMYCETES	DEUTEROMYCETES	DEUTEROMYCETES	DEUTEROMYCETES
<i>Aspergillus sydovi</i> /S/	<i>Aspergillus flavus</i> /POPpP/	<i>Acladium niveus</i> /P/	<i>Memnoniella chinata</i> /O/
	<i>A. versicolor</i> /S/	<i>Alternaria alternata</i> /OP/	<i>Stachybotrys atra</i> /P/
	<i>Cephalophora irregularis</i> /P/	<i>Alternaria</i> sp. /POP/	<i>Trichoderma viride</i> /OPa/
	<i>Fusarium sporotrichoides</i> /FP/	<i>Aspergillus niger</i> /Pa/	
	<i>Monilia candida</i> /S/	<i>A. oryzae</i> /S/	
		<i>A. ustus</i> /PaP/	
		<i>A. versicolor</i> /POP/	
		<i>Aspergillus</i> sp. /S/	
		<i>Cephalophora irregularis</i> /POS/	
		<i>Coremium</i> sp. /Pa/	
		<i>Curvularia</i> sp. /Pa/	
		<i>Dactylaria</i> sp. /Pa/	
		<i>Fusarium roseum</i> /O/	
		<i>F. sporotrichoides</i> /Pa/	
		<i>Graphium</i> sp. /S/	
		<i>Scopulariopsis brevicaulis</i> /P/	
		<i>Stysanus medius</i>	
MYCELIA STERILIA	MYCELIA STERILIA	MYCELIA STERILIA	MYCELIA STERILIA
X	Whitesterile mycelium /PS/	X	Blac sterile mycelium /FPa/
			Brown sterile mycelium /S/
			White sterile mycelium /PaP/

F - Fowl; O - Owl; Pa - Parrot; P - Pigeon; S - Sparrow

them exhibited their restricted occurrence of the droppings of different birds (Table 2). It has been suggested that the spores of these fungi are not found in the intestine of birds or on their droppings in the regular cycle as observed in herbivorous mammals (Lodha 1974). The common occurrence of these fungi may be ascribed to their viability and recurrence in the alimentary canal of these birds and their restricted occurrence to chance incorporation of their spores with food or through air or water currents.

TABLE 5

Bacterial colony counts/g of dry droppings of different birds

Droppings of birds	Colony counts/g of dry droppings /in thousands/
Fowl	2600
Owl	2050
Parrot	2400
Pigeon	2850
Sparrow	1900

During the succession of fungi, fruiting bodies of *Zygomycetes* appeared first, closely followed by *Deteromycetes*, *Ascomycetes* and *Basidiomycetes*. *Mycelia sterilia* appeared early as well as late and persisted for a much longer time (Table 4). This pattern of succession of fungi agrees with observations of Harper and Webster (1964) on rabbit pellets and Wetling (1963) on hawk pellets. Burges (1939, 1958) and Garrett (1951) proposed what Webster (1970) has called the Nutritional Hypothesis which attributes the succession of fruit bodies on dung to the nutritional requirements of these groups of fungi. It has been pointed out that during the decomposition of manures, composts and plant litters, sugars, starches and proteins are first to be utilized followed by hemicelluloses and celluloses. Lignins usually disappear towards the last phase of decomposition. Early appearance of *Zygomycetes* is due to their rapid spore germination, high growth rate, short time taken in necessary developmental processes in fruit body formation and ability to utilize the soluble part of the substrate quickly. *Zygomycetes* are often referred to as sugar-fungi which grow comparatively faster on the substrate rich in soluble nutrients and disappear when these substances are depleted. The short persistence of these forms may be due to competition between fungi and bacteria for food because these have been found to be more active in decomposition during the first two weeks when *Phycomycetes* are present. Carter (1958) and Nicholson et al. (1966) have attributed the short persistence of these fungi to inhibition of their growth by bacteria. Late appearance of *Choanephora cucurbitarum*, *Mucor* sp. II and *Rhizopus* sp. may be attributed either to the longer latent period of spore germination of these forms or to the presence of bacteria in large numbers which inhibit their spore germination. The short persistence of these forms, however, may be ascribed to the intense competition among the fungi themselves and also to the depletion of simple carbohydrates required by these fungi. Late appearance and long persistence of fruit bodies is evident in all the *Ascomycetes* (Table 4). Their late appearance may be due to slow mycelial growth rate and long time taken in their fruit body formation (Griffin 1972: 40). Their long persistence is due to the

availability of hemicelluloses and celluloses for a longer time in the substrate. The *Basidiomycetes* appeared very late during succession and disappeared only after a short duration. Their late appearance may be associated with very slow growth rate of these forms (Burgess 1960; Griffin 1972). The reason for their short persistence may be the decomposition of their fructification soon after the maturation. The behaviour of most of the *Deuteromycetes* was similar to *Ascomycetes* in their appearance and persistence but the late appearance and short persistence of most of the species is due to similar seasons which resulted in late appearance and short persistence of some of the *Zygomycetes*. *Mycelia sterilia* which appeared either early or late in succession persisted for a long time. Their behaviour was supposed to be similar to *Basidiomycetes* in exploitation of the substratum.

The author is very grateful to Dr. K.S. Bhargava, Ex-Senior Professor and Head, Department of Botany, Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur (U.P.) for his guidance and cooperation during the course of the present investigation.

REFERENCES

- Alexopoulos C.J., 1952, Introductory Mycology, Toronto, N.Y.
- Burgess A., 1939, Soil fungi and root infection. *Broteria*, 8: 64-81.
- 1958, Micro-organisms in the Soil. London.
- 1960, Dynamic equilibria in the soil. (In:) The Ecology of Soil Fungi, D. Parkinson and J.S. Waid, Liverpool Univ. (Press.)
- Carter S., 1958, Some investigations on the succession of fungi on rabbit dung. M. Sc. Thesis, London University.
- Garret S.D., 1951, Ecological groups of soil fungi. *New Phytol.* 50: 149-166.
- 1963, Soil Fungi and Soil Fertility. New York.
- Griffin D.M., 1972, Ecology of Soil Fungi. London.
- Harper J.E., Webster J., 1964, An experimental analysis of Coprophilous fungus succession. *Trans. Brit. mycol. Soc.* 47: 511-530.
- Keyworth W.S., 1951, A Petridish moist chamber. *Trans. Brit. mycol. Soc.* 34: 291-292.
- Lodha B.C., 1974, Decomposition of digested Litter. (In:) *Biology of Plant Litter Decomposition*, I. C.H. Dickinson and G.J.F. Pugh. Academic Press, London.
- Nicholson P.B., Boco'ck K., Heal O.W., 1966, Studies on decomposition of faecal pellets of a millipede (*Glomaris marginata*) (Viller) *Jour. Ecol.* 54: 755-766.
- Sing E.S., 1981, Fungi on dung of some Vertebrates. Avadh Univ. Res. J. (In Press).
- Watling R., 1963, Fungal succession on hawk pellets. *Trans. Brit. Mycol. Soc.* 46: 81-89.
- Webster J., 1970, Coprophilous fungi. *Trans. Brit. Mycol. Soc.* 54: 161-180.