

# Best proximity points of contractive mappings on a metric space with a graph and applications

ASRIFA SULTANA AND V. VETRIVEL\*

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai-600036, India.  
(asrifa.iitg@gmail.com, vetri@iitm.ac.in)

Communicated by M. Abbas

## ABSTRACT

---

We establish an existence and uniqueness theorem on best proximity point for contractive mappings on a metric space endowed with a graph. As an application of this theorem, we obtain a result on the existence of unique best proximity point for uniformly locally contractive mappings. Moreover, our theorem subsumes and generalizes many recent fixed point and best proximity point results.

---

2010 MSC: 54H25; 47H10.

KEYWORDS: Fixed point; best proximity point; contraction; graph; metric space;  $P$ -property.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Fixed point theory plays an important role for solving equations of the form  $Tx = x$  where  $T$  is defined on a subset of a metric space, partially ordered metric space, topological vector space or some suitable space. Given two non-empty subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of a metric space  $(X, d)$ , consider a non-self mapping  $T : A \rightarrow B$ . If  $T(A) \cap A = \emptyset$ , there does not exist a solution of the equation  $Tx = x$ . Then it is interesting to find a point  $x \in A$  that is closest to  $Tx$  in some sense. Best approximation and best proximity point results have been established in this direction. The well-known best approximation theorem due to Ky Fan [3] states that for a given non-empty compact convex subset  $C$  of

---

\*Corresponding author.

a normed linear space  $E$  and a continuous mapping  $F : C \rightarrow E$ , there exists  $x^* \in C$  such that  $\|x^* - Fx^*\| = d(Fx^*, C) = \inf\{\|Fx^* - x\| : x \in C\}$ . Though this result gives the existence of an approximate solution of  $Fx = x$ , such solution need not be optimal in the sense that  $\|x - Fx\|$  is minimum.

Naturally, for the map  $T$ , one can think of finding an element  $x^* \in A$  such that  $d(x^*, Tx^*) = \min\{d(x, Tx) : x \in A\}$ . Since for all  $x \in A$ ,  $d(x, Tx) \geq d(A, B) = \inf\{d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}$ . An optimal solution of  $\min\{d(x, Tx) : x \in A\}$  is one for which the value  $d(A, B)$  is attained. An element  $x^* \in A$  is called a *best proximity point* for the mapping  $T$  if  $d(x^*, Tx^*) = d(A, B)$ . Hence a best proximity point of the map  $T$  is not only an approximate solution of  $Tx = x$ , but also optimal in the sense that  $d(x, Tx)$  is minimum. Clearly, a best proximity point theorem is a natural generalization of a fixed point theorem. Some interesting best proximity point results can be found in [7, 11, 14] and for applications, one can refer to [5, 6].

Recently, Jachymski [4] established the existence of fixed points for contractive mappings on a metric space endowed with a graph. This result unified various fixed point theorems for contractive mappings on metric spaces and partially ordered metric spaces. For some more fixed point results on a metric space with a graph, one can refer to [1, 13].

**1.1. Our contribution.** Following Jachymski [4], in this article we prove an existence and uniqueness theorem on best proximity point for non-self contractive mappings on a metric space endowed with a graph. As an application of this result, we obtain a generalization of the fixed point theorem for uniformly locally contractive mappings due to Edelstein [2, Theorem 5.2]. Also, our result enables us to obtain a best proximity point result for non-self mappings on partially ordered metric spaces. Further, our result subsumes a very recent result on existence of a unique best proximity point on a metric space due to V. Sankar Raj [11, Theorem 3.1].

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, let us recall some definitions and notations which are needed for our results.

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. For given non-empty subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $(X, d)$ , we denote by  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= \{x \in A : d(x, y) = d(A, B) \text{ for some } y \in B\} \\ B_0 &= \{y \in B : d(x, y) = d(A, B) \text{ for some } x \in A\}. \end{aligned}$$

For sufficient conditions which ensure the non-emptiness of  $A_0$  and  $B_0$ , one can refer to [7].

Let  $(A, B)$  be a pair of non-empty subsets of  $(X, d)$  such that  $A_0 \neq \emptyset$ . Then the pair  $(A, B)$  is said to have the  $P$ -property [11] if and only if

$$\left. \begin{aligned} d(x_1, y_1) &= d(A, B) \\ d(x_2, y_2) &= d(A, B) \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow d(x_1, x_2) = d(y_1, y_2),$$

where  $x_1, x_2 \in A_0$  and  $y_1, y_2 \in B_0$ .

It is easy to verify that for a non-empty subset  $A$  of  $(X, d)$ , the pair  $(A, A)$  has the  $P$ -property. Every pair of non-empty closed convex subsets of a real Hilbert space  $H$  has the  $P$ -property (see [11]).

Consider a directed graph  $G$  where the set  $V(G)$  of its vertices coincides with  $X$ , the set  $E(G)$  of its edges is such that  $E(G) \supseteq \Delta$  (where  $\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$ ) and  $E(G)$  has no parallel edges. We denote by  $\tilde{G}$  the undirected graph obtained from  $G$  by ignoring the direction of edges. For given two vertices  $x$  and  $y$ , we say that there is a *path* in  $G$  of length  $N$  (where  $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ) between them if there exists a sequence  $(x^i)_{i=0}^N$  such that  $x^0 = x$ ,  $x^N = y$  and  $(x^{i-1}, x^i) \in E(G) \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . The graph  $G$  is called connected if there is a path between any two vertices and weakly connected if  $\tilde{G}$  is connected. For  $x \in V(G) = X$ , we denote

$$[x]_G^N = \{y \in X : \text{there is a path in } G \text{ of length } N \text{ from } x \text{ to } y\}.$$

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

Throughout this section we assume that  $(X, d)$  is a metric space endowed with a directed graph  $G$  where  $V(G) = X$ ,  $E(G) \supseteq \Delta$  and  $G$  has no parallel edges. We now introduce a notion of Banach contraction (for non-self map) with respect to the graph  $G$  for which we prove our main results.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two non-empty subsets of  $(X, d)$ . A mapping  $T : A \rightarrow B$  is said to be a *Banach  $G$ -contraction* or simply  *$G$ -contraction* if for all  $x, y \in A$ ,  $x \neq y$  with  $(x, y) \in E(G)$ :

(a)  $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y)$  for some  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ ;

(b)  $\left. \begin{aligned} d(x_1, Tx) &= d(A, B) \\ d(y_1, Ty) &= d(A, B) \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow (x_1, y_1) \in E(G), \text{ for all } x_1, y_1 \in A.$

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $(X, d)$  be complete metric space,  $A$  and  $B$  be two non-empty closed subsets of  $(X, d)$  such that  $(A, B)$  has the  $P$ -property. Let  $T : A \rightarrow B$  be a  $G$ -contraction such that  $T(A_0) \subseteq B_0$ . Assume that for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

- (i) there exist  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  in  $A_0$  such that there is a  $N$ -length path  $(y_0^i)_{i=0}^N \subseteq A_0$  in  $G$  between them and  $d(x_1, Tx_0) = d(A, B)$ ;
- (ii) for any sequence  $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $A$  with  $s_n \rightarrow s$  and  $s_{n+1} \in [s_n]_G^N$ , there is a subsequence  $(s_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $(s_{n_k}, s) \in E(G) \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $d(x_{n+1}, Tx_n) = d(A, B)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , converging to a best proximity point of  $T$ . Furthermore,  $T$  has a unique best proximity point if for any two elements  $x$  and  $y$  in  $A_0$ , there exists a path  $(y^i)_{i=0}^1 \subseteq A_0$  in  $G$  between them.

*Proof.* By (i), there exist two points  $x_0, x_1 \in A_0$  such that  $d(x_1, Tx_0) = d(A, B)$  and a sequence  $(y_0^i)_{i=0}^N$  containing points of  $A_0$  such that  $y_0^0 = x_0, y_0^N = x_1$  and  $(y_0^{i-1}, y_0^i) \in E(G) \forall 1 \leq i \leq N$ . As  $y_0^1 \in A_0$  and  $T(A_0) \subseteq B_0$ , there exists  $y_1^1 \in A_0$  such that  $d(y_1^1, Ty_0^1) = d(A, B)$ . Similarly, for  $i = 2, \dots, N$ , there exists  $y_1^i \in A_0$  such that  $d(y_1^i, Ty_0^i) = d(A, B)$ .

As  $(y_0^0 = x_0, y_0^1) \in E(G)$  and  $T$  is a  $G$ -contraction, it follows from the above that  $(x_1, y_1^1) \in E(G)$ . In a similar way, it follows that  $(y_1^{i-1}, y_1^i) \in E(G)$  for  $i = 2, \dots, N$ . Let  $x_2 = y_1^N$ . Thus  $(y_1^i)_{i=0}^N$  is a path from  $x_1 (= y_0^0)$  to  $x_2 (= y_1^N)$ .

Again, for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , since  $y_1^i \in A_0$  and  $Ty_1^i \in T(A_0) \subseteq B_0$ , there exists  $y_2^i \in A_0$  such that  $d(y_2^i, Ty_1^i) = d(A, B)$ . Also, we have  $d(x_2, Tx_1) = d(A, B)$ . As shown in the previous paragraph, it follows that  $(x_2, y_2^i) \in E(G)$  and  $(y_2^{i-1}, y_2^i) \in E(G) \forall i = 2, \dots, N$ . Set  $x_3 = y_2^N$ . Thus  $(y_2^i)_{i=0}^N$  is a path from  $x_2 (= y_1^0)$  to  $x_3 (= y_2^N)$ .

Continuing in this manner for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we obtain a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  where  $x_{n+1} \in [x_n]_G^N$  and  $d(x_{n+1}, Tx_n) = d(A, B)$  by producing a path  $(y_n^i)_{i=0}^N$  from  $x_n (= y_n^0)$  to  $x_{n+1} (= y_n^N)$  in such way that

$$(3.1) \quad d(y_{n+1}^i, Ty_n^i) = d(A, B) \quad \forall i = 0, \dots, N.$$

Using the  $P$ -property of  $(A, B)$ , it follows from equation (3.1) that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(3.2) \quad d(y_n^{i-1}, y_n^i) = d(Ty_{n-1}^{i-1}, Ty_{n-1}^i) \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq N.$$

Now for any positive integer  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(y_n^0, y_n^N) \\ &\leq d(y_n^0, y_n^1) + d(y_n^1, y_n^2) + \dots + d(y_n^{N-1}, y_n^N) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N d(y_n^{i-1}, y_n^i) = \sum_{i=1}^N d(Ty_{n-1}^{i-1}, Ty_{n-1}^i). \end{aligned}$$

Since for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $1 \leq i \leq N$ ,  $(y_{n-1}^{i-1}, y_{n-1}^i) \in E(G)$  and  $T$  is a  $G$ -contraction, it follows from the above inequalities that for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \alpha \sum_{i=1}^N d(y_{n-1}^{i-1}, y_{n-1}^i) \quad \text{for some } \alpha \in [0, 1).$$

Repeating the process, it follows that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \alpha^n \sum_{i=1}^N d(y_0^{i-1}, y_0^i) = M\alpha^n \quad \text{where } M = \sum_{i=1}^N d(y_0^{i-1}, y_0^i).$$

Now for  $m \geq n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_m) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \dots + d(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &\leq M\alpha^n + \dots + M\alpha^{m-1} \\ &= M\alpha^n [1 + \dots + \alpha^{m-n-1}] \leq M \frac{\alpha^n}{1 - \alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Therefore  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to some point  $x^* \in A$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By (ii), there is a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $(x_{n_k}, x^*) \in E(G) \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,

$$d(Tx_{n_k}, Tx^*) \leq \alpha d(x_{n_k}, x^*) \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus taking  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $Tx_{n_k} \rightarrow Tx^*$ . Using the continuity of the metric function, we get  $d(x_{n_{k+1}}, Tx_{n_k}) \rightarrow d(x^*, Tx^*)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Now  $\{d(x_{n_{k+1}}, Tx_{n_k})\}$  is nothing but a constant sequence with value  $d(A, B)$ . Therefore  $d(x^*, Tx^*) = d(A, B)$ .

Suppose that  $p$  and  $q$  are two best proximity points of  $T$ . Consider two sequences  $\{p_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  where  $p_n = p$  and  $q_n = q$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Clearly,  $d(p_{n+1}, Tp_n) = d(A, B)$  and  $d(q_{n+1}, Tq_n) = d(A, B)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . As  $p, q \in A_0$ , it follows from the hypothesis that there is a path  $(y_1^i)_{i=0}^M \subseteq A_0$  in  $\tilde{G}$  between  $p_1 = p$  and  $q_1 = q$ . For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, M - 1$ , since  $y_1^i \in A_0$  and  $T(y_1^i) \in T(A_0) \subseteq B_0$ , we can obtain  $\{y_n^i\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $d(y_{n+1}^i, Ty_n^i) = d(A, B) \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is easy to verify that  $T$  is also a  $\tilde{G}$ -contraction. Also, we have  $(y_1^{i-1}, y_1^i) \in E(\tilde{G})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq M$ . Thus it follows that  $(y_2^i)_{i=0}^M$  is a path in  $\tilde{G}$  between  $p_2 (= y_2^0)$  and  $q_2 (= y_2^M)$ . Similarly, it follows that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(y_n^i)_{i=0}^M$  is a path in  $\tilde{G}$  from  $p_n (= y_n^0)$  to  $q_n (= y_n^M)$ . Now for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(p, q) &= d(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^M d(y_{n+1}^{i-1}, y_{n+1}^i) = \sum_{i=1}^M d(Ty_n^{i-1}, Ty_n^i) \\ &\leq \alpha \sum_{i=1}^M d(y_n^{i-1}, y_n^i) \leq \dots \leq \alpha^n \sum_{i=1}^M d(y_1^{i-1}, y_1^i). \quad [\text{where } \alpha \in [0, 1]] \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $p = q$  and this completes the proof. □

*Remark 3.3.* Theorem 3.2 still holds true if we replace the condition (ii) by the continuity of the function  $T$  on the set  $A$ .

The above Theorem 3.2 yields the following result due to Jachymski [4].

**Theorem 3.4** (see [4]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be complete and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a map such that for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $(x, y) \in E(G)$ ,  $(fx, fy) \in E(G)$  and  $d(fx, fy) \leq kd(x, y)$  where  $k \in [0, 1)$ . Assume that for any  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X$  with  $y_n \rightarrow y^*$  and  $(y_{n+1}, y_n) \in E(G) \forall n \geq 1$ , there exists a subsequence  $\{y_{n_p}\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $(y_{n_p}, y^*) \in E(G)$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the following statements hold:*

- (i)  $\{f^n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to a fixed point of  $f$  if  $(x, fx) \in E(G)$ ;
- (ii) if  $G$  is weakly connected and there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $(x_0, fx_0) \in E(G)$ , then  $\forall x \in X$ ,  $\{f^n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to a unique fixed point of  $f$ .

Further, we get the following result due to V. Sankar Raj [11] as a corollary to the Theorem 3.2 by taking  $E(G) = X \times X$ .

**Corollary 3.5** ([11, Theorem 3.1]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $A$  and  $B$  be two non-empty closed subsets of  $(X, d)$  such that  $A_0 \neq \emptyset$  and  $(A, B)$*

satisfies  $P$ -property. Suppose that  $T : A \rightarrow B$  is such that  $T(A_0) \subseteq B_0$  and

$$(3.3) \quad d(Tx, Ty) \leq kd(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in A \text{ and for some } k \in [0, 1).$$

Then there exists a unique  $x^*$  in  $A$  such that  $d(x^*, Tx^*) = d(A, B)$ . Further, for any fixed  $x_0 \in A_0$ , there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $d(x_n, Tx_{n-1}) = d(A, B)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , converging to  $x^*$ .

The following example shows that our Theorem 3.2 is an extension of the above result due to V. Sankar Raj [11].

**Example 3.6.** Consider  $X = \mathbb{R}^2$  with usual metric and suppose that

$$A = \left\{ \left( 0, \frac{1}{n} \right) : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \cup \{(0, 0)\},$$

$$B = \left\{ \left( 1, \frac{1}{n} \right) : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \cup \{(1, 0)\}.$$

It is easy to check that the pair  $(A, B)$  has the  $P$ -property. Suppose that a map  $T : A \rightarrow B$  is defined as follows:

$$T((0, x)) = \left( 1, \frac{x}{2} \right), \quad \text{for all } (0, x) \in A \text{ with } x \neq 1,$$

$$T((0, 1)) = (1, 1).$$

Consider a graph  $G$  with  $V(G) = X$  and  $E(G) = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : d(x, y) < \frac{1}{2}\}$ . Let  $x = (0, x')$  and  $y = (0, y')$  be two elements in  $A$  with  $(x, y) \in E(G)$ . Then,

$$d(T(x), T(y)) = d\left(\left(1, \frac{x'}{2}\right), \left(1, \frac{y'}{2}\right)\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}d(x, y).$$

If  $x_1 = (0, x'_1)$  and  $y_1 = (0, y'_1)$  are two elements in  $A$  such that

$$d(x_1, T(x)) = d(y_1, T(y)) = \text{dist}(A, B).$$

Then by using the  $P$ -property of  $(A, B)$ , it follows from the above equation that  $d(x_1, y_1) = d(T(x), T(y)) \leq \frac{1}{2}d(x, y) < \frac{1}{2}$ . Hence the pair  $(x_1, y_1) \in E(G)$ . This proves that  $T$  is a non-self  $G$ -contraction with  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ . Clearly,  $(X, d)$  is complete and  $A$  and  $B$  are closed subsets of  $X$ . Also, note that in this case  $A_0 = A, B_0 = B$  and  $T(A_0) = T(A) \subseteq B = B_0$ . Let  $x_0 = (0, \frac{1}{2}), x_1 = (0, \frac{1}{4})$  and  $N = 1$ . Then  $d(x_1, T(x_0)) = \text{dist}(A, B) = 1$  and the pair  $(x_1, x_0) \in E(G)$ . Hence, the condition (i) of Theorem 3.2 holds. Also, let  $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $A$  such that  $s_n \rightarrow s$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then there exists a positive integer  $M$  such that  $d(s_n, s) < \frac{1}{2} \forall n \geq M$ . Let  $n_k = M + k$  for  $k \geq 1$ . Consequently,  $\{s_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a subsequence of the sequence  $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $(s_{n_k}, s) \in E(G) \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies that the condition (ii) of Theorem 3.2 is also satisfied. Therefore Theorem 3.2 guarantees the existence of a best proximity point of  $T$ . Note that  $(0, 0)$  and  $(0, 1)$  are two best proximity points. However,

$$d(T(0, 0), T(0, 1)) = d((1, 0), (1, 1)) = 1 > kd((0, 0), (0, 1)),$$

for any  $k \in [0, 1)$ . This proves that  $T$  does not satisfy the contractive condition (3.3).

## 4. APPLICATIONS

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two non-empty subsets of a metric space  $(X, d)$ . A mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is called  $(\epsilon, k)$ -uniformly locally contractive [2] (where  $k \in [0, 1)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ ) if  $d(fx, fy) \leq kd(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in A$  with  $d(x, y) < \epsilon$ . An  $(\epsilon, k)$ -uniformly locally contractive mapping need not be a contraction, for example one can refer to [2, 8]. As an application of Theorem 3.2, we now establish the following result for uniformly locally contractive mappings.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be complete metric space,  $A$  and  $B$  be closed subsets of  $(X, d)$  such that  $A_0 \neq \emptyset$  and  $(A, B)$  satisfies  $P$ -property. Suppose that  $T : A \rightarrow B$  is an  $(\epsilon, k)$ -uniformly locally contractive mapping satisfying  $T(A_0) \subseteq B_0$ . Then  $T$  has a unique best proximity point if the space  $(A_0, d)$  is  $\epsilon$ -chainable, that is, given  $a, b \in A_0$ , there exist  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and a sequence  $(y^i)_{i=0}^N$  in  $A_0$  such that  $y^0 = a$ ,  $y^N = b$  and  $d(y^{i-1}, y^i) < \epsilon$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the graph  $G$  where  $V(G) = X$  and  $E(G)$  as follows:

$$E(G) = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : d(x, y) < \epsilon\}.$$

It is clear that  $E(G) \supseteq \Delta$  and  $G$  has no parallel edges. Also, in this case  $G = \tilde{G}$ . Let  $x, y \in A$  be such that  $(x, y) \in E(G)$  and for all  $x_1, y_1 \in A$ ,

$$d(x_1, Tx) = d(A, B) \text{ and } d(y_1, Ty) = d(A, B).$$

Since  $(x, y) \in E(G)$ ,  $d(Tx, Ty) \leq kd(x, y)$  where  $k \in [0, 1)$ . Hence and by the  $P$ -property of  $(A, B)$ , we have  $d(x_1, y_1) < \epsilon$ . Therefore  $T$  is a  $G$ -contraction. Since  $A_0 \neq \emptyset$  and  $T(A_0) \subseteq B_0$ , there exist  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  in  $A_0$  such that  $d(x_1, Tx_0) = d(A, B)$ . The  $\epsilon$ -chainability of  $(A_0, d)$  implies that there exist a natural number  $N$  and a sequence  $(y^i)_{i=0}^N$  containing points of  $A_0$  such that  $y^0 = x_0$ ,  $y^N = x_1$  and  $d(y^{i-1}, y^i) < \epsilon$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Thus  $(y^i)_{i=0}^N \subseteq A_0$  is a path in  $G$  between  $x_0$  and  $x_1$ . If  $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence in  $A$  such that  $s_n \rightarrow s$ , then there exists  $M \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(s_n, s) < \epsilon \forall n \geq M$ . Hence we can obtain a subsequence  $\{s_{n_p}\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $(s_{n_p}, s) \in E(G) \forall p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Also, it is clear from the  $\epsilon$ -chainability of  $(A_0, d)$  that for every  $x, y \in A_0$ , there is a path  $(q^i)_{i=0}^l \subseteq A_0$  in  $\tilde{G}$  (i.e.,  $G$ ) between them. Thus  $T$  has a unique best proximity point by Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

As a corollary to the above theorem, we get the following theorem due to Edelstein [2] by considering  $A = B = X$ .

**Theorem 4.2** ([2, Theorem 5.2]). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space. An  $(\epsilon, k)$ -uniformly locally contractive mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$  has a unique fixed point if  $(X, d)$  is  $\epsilon$ -chainable.*

In the last part of this section we establish the following result for non-self contractive mapping on a partially ordered metric space.

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space endowed with a partial order  $\preceq$  and  $A$  and  $B$  be two non-empty subsets of  $(X, d)$ . By  $X_{\preceq}$ , we denote the following set:

$$X_{\preceq} = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \preceq y \text{ or } x \succeq y\}.$$

Following [10], we say that a mapping  $T : A \rightarrow B$  is a *proximally monotone* mapping if for all  $x_1, x_2 \in A$  with  $x_1 \preceq x_2$ :

$$\left. \begin{aligned} d(y_1, Tx_1) &= d(A, B) \\ d(y_2, Tx_2) &= d(A, B) \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow (y_1, y_2) \in X_{\preceq}, \quad \text{for all } y_1, y_2 \in A.$$

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be complete metric space,  $A$  and  $B$  be two closed subsets of  $(X, d)$  such that  $(A, B)$  has the  $P$ -property. Let  $T : A \rightarrow B$  be a proximally monotone map such that  $T(A_0) \subseteq B_0$  and*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq kd(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x \preceq y \text{ and for some } k \in [0, 1).$$

*Assume that either  $T$  is continuous on  $A$  or for any  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $A$  with  $y_n \rightarrow y^*$  and  $(y_n, y_{n+1}) \in X_{\preceq}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $(y_{n_p})_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $(y_{n_p}, y^*) \in X_{\preceq}$  for  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $T$  has a best proximity point if there exist  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  in  $A_0$  such that  $d(x_1, Tx_0) = d(A, B)$  and  $(x_0, x_1) \in X_{\preceq}$ . Moreover, the best proximity point of  $T$  is unique if for  $x, y \in A_0$ , there exists  $z \in A_0$  such that  $(x, z), (y, z) \in X_{\preceq}$ .*

*Proof.* By considering the graph  $G$  where  $V(G) = X$  and

$$E(G) := \{(x, y) \in X \times X : x \preceq y \vee y \preceq x\},$$

the proof follows by Theorem 3.2 and Remark 3.3. □

The above result includes the fixed point results for mappings on a partially ordered metric space due to Ran and Reurings [12] and J. J. Nieto and R. R. López [9].

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.** *The authors are grateful to the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions to improve this manuscript. The first author is thankful to University Grants Commission (F.2 – 12/2002(SA – I)), New Delhi, India for the financial support.*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T. Dinevari and M. Frigon, Fixed point results for multivalued contractions on a metric space with a graph, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 405 (2013), 507–517.
- [2] M. Edelstein, An extension of Banach’s contraction principle, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 12 (1961), 7–10.
- [3] K. Fan, Extensions of two fixed point theorems of F. E. Browder, *Math. Z.* 122 (1969), 234–240.
- [4] J. Jachymski, The contraction principle for mappings on a metric space with a graph, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 136 (2008), 1359–1373.
- [5] W. K. Kim and K. H. Lee, Existence of best proximity pairs and equilibrium pairs, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 316 (2006), 433–446.
- [6] W. K. Kim, S. Kum and K. H. Lee, On general best proximity pairs and equilibrium pairs in free abstract economies, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA* 68 (2008), 2216–2227.



- [7] W. A. Kirk, S. Reich and P. Veeramani, Proximinal retracts and best proximity pair theorems, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* 24 (2003), 851–862.
- [8] L. Máté, The Hutchinson-Barnsley theory for certain non-contraction mappings, *Period. Math. Hungar.* 27 (1993), 21–33.
- [9] J. J. Nieto and R. Rodríguez-López, Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations, *Order* 22 (2005), 223–239.
- [10] V. Pragadeeswarar and M. Marudai, Best proximity points: approximation and optimization in partially ordered metric spaces, *Optim. Lett.* 7 (2013), 1883–1892.
- [11] V. Sankar Raj, Best proximity point theorems for non-self mappings, *Fixed Point Theory* 14 (2013), 447–454.
- [12] A. C. M. Ran and M. C. Reurings, A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 132 (2004), 1435–1443.
- [13] A. Sultana and V. Vetrivel, Fixed points of Mizoguchi-Takahashi contraction on a metric space with a graph and applications, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 417 (2014), 336–344.
- [14] A. Sultana and V. Vetrivel, On the existence of best proximity points for generalized contractions, *Appl. Gen. Topol.* 15 (2014), 55–63.