

Extension properties and the Niemytzki plane

HARUTO OHTA

ABSTRACT. The first part of the paper is a brief survey on recent topics concerning the relationship between C^* -embedding and C -embedding for closed subsets. The second part studies extension properties of the Niemytzki plane NP . A zero-set, z -, C^* -, C -, and P -embedded subsets of NP are determined. Finally, we prove that every C^* -embedded subset of NP is a P -embedded zero-set, which answers a problem raised in the first part.

2000 AMS Classification: 54C45, 54G20

Keywords: C^* -embedded, C -embedded, P -embedded, property (U^λ) , uniformly locally finite, Niemytzki plane, Tychonoff plank

1. INTRODUCTION

All spaces are assumed to be completely regular T_1 -spaces. A subset Y of a space X is said to be C -embedded in X if every real-valued continuous function on Y can be continuously extended over X , and Y is said to be C^* -embedded in X if every bounded real-valued continuous function on Y can be continuously extended over X . Obviously, every C -embedded subset is C^* -embedded, but the converse is not true, in general. In the first part of the paper, formed by Sections 2, 3 and 4, we discuss several problems concerning the relationship between C^* -embedding and C -embedding for closed subsets. For example, the following problem is still open as far as the author knows:

Problem 1.1. *Does there exist a first countable space having a closed C^* -embedded subset which is not C -embedded?*

Since a space which answers the above problem positively cannot be normal, the following problem naturally arises:

Problem 1.2. *Let X be one of the following spaces: The Niemytzki plane (i.e., the space NP defined in Section 4 below); the Sorgenfrey plane ([3, Example 2.3.12]); Michael's product space ([3, Example 5.1.32]). Then, does the space X have a closed C^* -embedded subset which is not C -embedded?*

In the second part, formed by Sections 5, 6 and 7, we answer Problem 1.2 for the Niemytzki plane NP negatively by determining a zero-set, z -, C^* -, C - and P -embedded subsets of NP . The problem, however, remains open for the Sorgenfrey plane and Michael's product space.

Throughout the paper, let \mathbb{R} denote the real line with the Euclidean topology, \mathbb{Q} the subspace of rational numbers and \mathbb{N} the subspace of positive integers. The cardinality of a set A is denoted by $|A|$. As usual, a cardinal is the initial ordinal and an ordinal is identified with the space of all smaller ordinals with the order topology. Let ω denote the first infinite ordinal and ω_1 the first uncountable ordinal. All undefined terms will be found in [3].

2. C^* -EMBEDDING VERSUS C -EMBEDDING

It is an interesting problem to find a closed C^* -embedded subset which is not C -embedded. We begin by showing typical examples of such subsets. First, let us consider the subspace $\Lambda = \beta\mathbb{R} \setminus (\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N})$ of $\beta\mathbb{N}$. The subset \mathbb{N} is closed C^* -embedded but not C -embedded in Λ , because Λ is pseudocompact (cf. [4, 6P, p.97]). More generally, Noble proved in [16] that every space Y can be embedded in a pseudocompact space pY as a closed C^* -embedded subspace. Thus, every non-pseudocompact space Y embeds in pY as a closed C^* -embedded subset which is not C -embedded. Shakhmatov [20] constructed a pseudocompact space X with a much stronger property that every countable subset of X is closed and C^* -embedded.

Now, we give another examples which does not rely on pseudocompactness. For every space X there exist an extremally disconnected space $E(X)$, called the *absolute* of X , and a perfect onto map $e_X : E(X) \rightarrow X$ (cf. [3, 6.3.20 (b)]). We now call a space X *weakly normal* if every two disjoint closed sets in X , one of which is countable discrete, have disjoint neighborhoods.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a space which is not weakly normal. Then $E(X)$ contains a closed C^* -embedded subset which is not C -embedded.*

Proof. By the assumption, X has a closed set A and a countable discrete closed set $B = \{p_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $A \cap B = \emptyset$ but they have no disjoint neighborhoods. We show that the closed set $F = e_X^{-1}[B]$ in $E(X)$ is C^* -embedded but not C -embedded. Since B is countable discrete closed in X , we can find a disjoint family $\mathcal{U} = \{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of open-closed sets in $E(X)$ such that $e_X^{-1}(p_n) \subseteq U_n \subseteq E(X) \setminus e_X^{-1}[A]$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $U = \bigcup\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$; then U is a cozero-set in $E(X)$. Since F and $E(X) \setminus U$ cannot be separated by disjoint open sets, it follows from Theorem 3.1 below that F is not C -embedded in $E(X)$. On the other hand, F is C -embedded in U , because each $e_X^{-1}(p_n)$ is compact and \mathcal{U} is disjoint, and further, U is C^* -embedded in $E(X)$ by [4, 1H6, p.23]. Consequently, A is C^* -embedded in $E(X)$. \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let X be one of the following spaces: The Niemytzki plane NP ; the Sorgenfrey plane S^2 ; Michael's product space $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times P$; the Tychonoff plank T (see Example 3.3 below). Then $E(X)$ contains a closed C^* -embedded subset which is not C -embedded.*

Proof. It is well known (and easily shown) that the spaces NP , S^2 and T are not weakly normal. Now, we show that Michael's product space $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times P$ is not weakly normal. The space $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is obtained from \mathbb{R} by making each point of $P = \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ isolated. Enumerate \mathbb{Q} as $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and choose $y_n \in P$ with $|x_n - y_n| < 1/n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $A = \{(x_n, y_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $B = \{(x, x) : x \in P\}$. Then A and B have no disjoint neighborhoods in $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times P$. Since A is discrete closed in $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times P$, $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times P$ is not weakly normal. Hence, the corollary follows from Lemma 2.1. \square

As another application, we have the following example concerning Problem 1.1.

Example 2.3. There exists a space X in which every point is a G_{δ} and there exists a closed C^* -embedded subset which is not C -embedded. In fact, let \mathcal{R} be a maximal almost disjoint family of infinite subsets of \mathbb{N} . Pick a point $p_A \in \text{cl}_{\beta\mathbb{N}} A \setminus A$ for each $A \in \mathcal{R}$ and let $R = \{p_A : A \in \mathcal{R}\}$. Then the subspace $X = \mathbb{N} \cup R$ of $\beta\mathbb{N}$ is extremally disconnected (i.e., $E(X) = X$) and R is discrete closed in X . Let E be a countable infinite subset of R . Then E and $R \setminus E$ have no disjoint neighborhoods in X by the maximality of \mathcal{R} . Hence, by the proof of Lemma 2.1, E is closed C^* -embedded in X but not C -embedded. \square

We change the topology of the space $X = \mathbb{N} \cup R$ in Example 2.3 by declaring the sets $\{p_A\} \cup (A \setminus \{1, 2, \dots, n\})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, to be basic neighborhoods of p_A for each $A \in \mathcal{R}$. The resulting space is first countable and is usually called a Ψ -space (see [4, 5I, p.79]). A positive answer to the following problem answers Problem 1.1 positively.

Problem 2.4. *Does there exist a Ψ -space having a closed C^* -embedded subset which is not C -embedded?*

For an infinite cardinal γ , a subset Y of a space X is said to be P^{γ} -embedded in X if for every Banach space B with the weight $w(Y) \leq \lambda$, every continuous map $f : Y \rightarrow B$ can be continuously extended over X . A subset Y of X is said to be P -embedded in X if Y is P^{γ} -embedded in X for every γ . It is known that Y is P^{γ} -embedded in X if and only if for every locally finite cozero-set cover \mathcal{U} of Y with $|\mathcal{U}| \leq \gamma$, there exists a locally finite cozero-set cover \mathcal{V} of X such that $\{V \cap Y : V \in \mathcal{V}\}$ refines \mathcal{U} . In particular, Y is C -embedded in X if and only if Y is P^{ω} -embedded in X . For further information about P^{γ} -embedding, the reader is referred to [1]. The following problem concerning the relationship between C -embedding and P -embedding is also open:

Problem 2.5. *Does there exist an example in ZFC of a space X , with $|X| = \omega_1$, having a closed C -embedded subset which is not P -embedded?*

Problem 2.6. *Does there exist an example in ZFC of a first countable space having a closed C -embedded subset which is not P -embedded?*

It is known that under certain set-theoretic assumption such as $MA + \neg CH$, there exists a first countable, normal space X which is not collectionwise normal (see [21]). Since a space is collectionwise normal if and only if every closed subset

is P -embedded, such a space X has a closed C -embedded subset which is not P -embedded (cf. Remark 6.4 in Section 6 below).

3. SPACES IN WHICH EVERY CLOSED C^* -EMBEDDED SET IS C -EMBEDDED

We say that a space X has the *property* ($C^* = Q$) if every closed C^* -embedded subset of X is Q -embedded in X , where $Q \in \{C, P^\gamma, P\}$. A subset Y of a space X is said to be *z -embedded* in X if every zero-set in Y is the restriction of a zero-set in X to Y (cf. [2]). Every C^* -embedded subset is z -embedded. Two subsets A and B are said to be *completely separated* in X if there exists a real-valued continuous function f on X such that $f[A] = \{0\}$ and $f[B] = \{1\}$. The following theorem was proved by Blair and Hager in [2, Corollary 3.6.B].

Theorem 3.1. [Blair-Hager] *A subset Y of a space X is C -embedded in X if and only if Y is z -embedded in X and Y is completely separated from every zero-set in X disjoint from Y .*

Recall from [11] that a space X is *δ -normally separated* if every two disjoint closed sets, one of which is a zero-set, are completely separated in X . All normal spaces and all countably compact spaces are δ -normally separated. By Theorem 3.1, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 3.2. *Every δ -normally separated space has the property ($C^* = C$).*

The converse of Corollary 3.2 does not hold as the next example shows:

Example 3.3. The Tychonoff plank $T = ((\omega_1 + 1) \times (\omega + 1)) \setminus \{\langle \omega_1, \omega \rangle\}$ is not δ -normally separated but every closed C^* -embedded subset of T is P -embedded, i.e., T has the property ($C^* = P$). To prove these facts, let $A = \{\omega_1\} \times \omega$ and $B = \omega_1 \times \{\omega\}$; then A is closed in T and B is a zero-set in T . Since A and B cannot be completely separated in T , T is not δ -normally separated. Next, let F be a closed C^* -embedded subset of T . We have to show that F is P -embedded in T . Since there is no uncountable discrete closed set in T , every locally finite cozero-set cover of F is countable. Hence, it suffices to show that F is C -embedded in T . Since F is closed in T , either F includes a closed unbounded subset of B or $F \cap \{\langle \beta, m \rangle : \alpha < \beta < \omega_1, n < m \leq \omega\} = \emptyset$ for some $\alpha < \omega_1$ and some $n < \omega$. In the former case, every zero-set in T disjoint from F must be compact. In the latter case, $A \cap F$ is finite since F is C -embedded, which implies that F is compact. In both cases, F is completely separated from a zero-set disjoint from it. Hence, it follows from Theorem 2.1 that F is C -embedded.

The following example shows that the product of a space with the property ($C^* = P$) and a compact space need not have the property ($C^* = C$).

Example 3.4. Let T be the Tychonoff plank. As we showed in Example 3.3, T has the property ($C^* = P$). We show that $T \times \beta E(T)$ fails to have the property ($C^* = C$), where $E(T)$ is the absolute of T . Let $e_T : E(T) \rightarrow T$ be the perfect onto map. Then the subspace $G = \{\langle e_T(x), x \rangle : x \in E(T)\}$ is closed in $T \times \beta E(T)$, because e_T is perfect. Since T is not weakly normal, it follows

from Lemma 2.1 that $E(T)$ does not have the property $(C^* = C)$, and hence, G also fails to have the property $(C^* = C)$, because G is homeomorphic to $E(T)$. Hence, if we prove that G is C^* -embedded in $T \times \beta E(T)$, then it would follow that $T \times \beta E(T)$ does not have the property $(C^* = C)$. For this end, let f be a bounded real-valued continuous function on G and define $g : E(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g(x) = f(\langle e_T(x), x \rangle)$ for $x \in E(T)$. Since g is bounded continuous, g extends to a continuous function h on $\beta E(T)$. Then $h \circ \pi$ is a continuous extension of f over $T \times \beta E(T)$, where $\pi : T \times \beta E(T) \rightarrow \beta E(T)$ is the projection. Hence, G is C^* -embedded in $T \times \beta E(T)$. \square

Problem 3.5. *Does there exist a space X with the property $(C^* = C)$ and a metric space M such that $X \times M$ fails to have the property $(C^* = C)$?*

The positive answer to Problem 1.2 for Michael's product space answers Problem 3.5 positively. We conclude this section by giving a class of spaces having the property $(C^* = P^\gamma)$. Recall from [10, 14] that a family \mathcal{F} of subsets of a space X is *uniformly locally finite* in X if there exists a locally finite cozero-set cover \mathcal{U} of X such that every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ intersects only finitely many members of \mathcal{F} . Let γ be an infinite cardinal. A subset Y of a space X is said to be U^γ -embedded in X if every uniformly locally finite family \mathcal{F} of subsets in Y with $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \gamma$ is uniformly locally finite in X (cf. [7]). The following theorem was proved in [15] (see also [7, Proposition 1.6]).

Theorem 3.6. [Morita-Hoshina] *For every infinite cardinal γ , a subset Y of a space X is P^γ -embedded in X if and only if Y is both z -embedded and U^γ -embedded in X .*

Recall from [7] that a space X has the *property (U^γ)* (resp. *property $(U^\gamma)^*$*) if every locally finite (resp. discrete) family \mathcal{F} of subsets of X with $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \gamma$ is uniformly locally finite in X . All γ -collectionwise normal and countably paracompact spaces have the property (U^γ) , and all γ -collectionwise normal spaces have the property $(U^\gamma)^*$. Hoshina [7] proved that a space X has the property $(U^\gamma)^*$ if and only if every closed subset of X is U^γ -embedded. Combining this with Theorem 3.6, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 3.7. *For every infinite cardinal γ , every space having the property $(U^\gamma)^*$ has the property $(C^* = P^\gamma)$.*

It will be worth noting that every γ -collectionwise normal Dowker space (see [17]) has the property $(U^\gamma)^*$ for every γ but does not have the property (U^ω) .

4. PRODUCTS

It is quite interesting to consider the relationship between C^* - and C -embeddings in the realm of product spaces. In spite of extensive studies, the following problem is still unanswered.

Problem 4.1. *Let A be a closed C -embedded subset of a space X , Y a space, and assume that $A \times Y$ is C^* -embedded in $X \times Y$. Then, is $A \times Y$ C -embedded in $X \times Y$?*

In this section, we summarize partial answers to Problem 4.1 and also discuss the following problem:

Problem 4.2. *Let X and Y be spaces with the property $(C^* = C)$. Under what conditions on X and Y does $X \times Y$ have the property $(C^* = C)$?*

First, we consider product spaces with a compact factor. Morita-Hoshina [15] proved the following theorem which answers Problem 4.1 positively when Y is a compact space.

Theorem 4.3. [Morita-Hoshina] *Let A be a subset of a space X , Y an infinite compact space, and assume that $A \times Y$ is C^* -embedded in $X \times Y$. Then $A \times Y$ is $P^{w(Y)}$ -embedded in $X \times Y$, where $w(Y)$ is the weight of Y .*

From now on, let γ denote an infinite cardinal. The next theorem is an answer to Problem 4.2.

Theorem 4.4. *If a space X has the property (U^γ) , then $X \times Y$ has the property $(C^* = P^\gamma)$ for every compact space Y .*

Proof. If X has the property (U^γ) and Y is a compact space, then it is easily proved that $X \times Y$ has the property (U^γ) . Hence, $X \times Y$ has the property $(C^* = P^\gamma)$ by Corollary 3.7. \square

Example 3.4 shows that ‘property (U^γ) ’ in Theorem 4.4 cannot be weakened to ‘property $(C^* = P^\gamma)$ ’. The following problem remains open:

Problem 4.5. *If $X \times Y$ has the property $(C^* = P^\gamma)$ for every compact space Y , then does X have the property (U^γ) ? More specially, does Theorem 4.4 remain true if ‘property (U^γ) ’ is weakened to ‘property $(U^\gamma)^*$ ’?*

A space is called σ -locally compact if it is the union of countably many closed locally compact subspaces. Concerning products with a σ -locally compact, paracompact factor, the following theorem was proved by Yamazaki in [23] and [25]:

Theorem 4.6. [Yamazaki] *Let A be a C -embedded subset of a space X , Y a σ -locally compact, paracompact space, and assume that $A \times Y$ is C^* -embedded in $X \times Y$. Then $A \times Y$ is C -embedded in $X \times Y$. Moreover, if A is P^γ -embedded in X in addition, then $A \times Y$ is also P^γ -embedded in $X \times Y$.*

Problem 4.7. *Does Theorem 4.4 remain true if ‘compact’ is weakened to ‘ σ -locally compact, paracompact’?*

Next, we consider products with a metric factor. The difficulty of this case is in the fact that $A \times Y$ need not be U^ω -embedded in $X \times Y$ even if A is P -embedded in X (consider Michael’s product space). Nevertheless, the following Theorems 4.8 and 4.9 were proved by Gutev-Ohta [6]:

Theorem 4.8. [Gutev-Ohta] *Let A be a subset of a space X , Y a non-discrete metric space, and assume that $A \times Y$ is C^* -embedded in $X \times Y$. Then $A \times Y$ is C -embedded in $X \times Y$.*

Theorem 4.9. [Gutsev-Ohta] *Let A be a P^γ -embedded subset of a space X and Y a metric space. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $A \times Y$ is P^γ -embedded in $X \times Y$;
- (2) $A \times Y$ is C^* -embedded in $X \times Y$;
- (3) $A \times Y$ is U^ω -embedded in $X \times Y$.

Corollary 4.10. *Let A be a P^γ -embedded subset of a space X , Y the product of a σ -locally compact, paracompact space K with a metric space M , and assume that $A \times Y$ is C^* -embedded in $X \times Y$. Then $A \times Y$ is P^γ -embedded in $X \times Y$.*

Proof. Since $(A \times K) \times M$ is C^* -embedded in $(X \times K) \times M$, $A \times K$ is C^* -embedded in $X \times K$. Hence, $A \times K$ is P^γ -embedded in $X \times K$ by Theorem 4.6. Finally, it follows from Theorem 4.9 that $(A \times K) \times M$ is P^γ -embedded in $(X \times K) \times M$. \square

Problem 4.11. *Does Theorem 4.8 remain true if ‘metric space’ is weakened to ‘paracompact M -space’ or ‘Lašnev space’?*

Problem 4.12. *Let A be a P^γ -embedded subset of a space X and Y a paracompact M -space. Then, does the condition (2) in Theorem 4.9 imply the condition (1)?*

Problem 4.13. *Let A be a P^γ -embedded subset of a space X and let Y be one of the following spaces (i)–(iii): (i) a Lašnev space; (ii) a stratifiable space; (iii) a paracompact σ -space. Then, are the conditions (1), (2), (3) in Theorem 4.9 equivalent?*

For the definitions of the spaces (i), (ii) and (iii) in Problem 4.13, we refer the reader to [5]. Problems 4.12 and 4.13 were raised in [6].

Now, we try to extend Theorems 4.3 and 4.8 to products with a factor space in wider class of spaces. For this end, we write $Y \in \Pi(Q)$ if for every space X and every closed subset A of X , if $A \times Y$ is C^* -embedded in $X \times Y$, then $A \times Y$ is Q -embedded in $X \times Y$, where $Q \in \{C, P^\gamma\}$. By Theorem 4.3, $Y \in \Pi(P^{w(Y)})$ for every infinite compact space Y , and by Theorem 4.8, $Y \in \Pi(C)$ for every non-discrete metric space Y . The following results show that the classes $\Pi(P^\gamma)$ and $\Pi(C)$ are much wider than we expected.

Theorem 4.14. *Let Y be a space with $Y \in \Pi(P^\gamma)$. Then $Y \times Z \in \Pi(P^\gamma)$ for every space Z .*

Proof. Let X be a space with a closed subset A such that $A \times (Y \times Z)$ is C^* -embedded in $X \times (Y \times Z)$. Then, it is obvious that $(A \times Z) \times Y$ is C^* -embedded in $(X \times Z) \times Y$. Since $Y \in \Pi(P^\gamma)$, $(A \times Z) \times Y$ is P^γ -embedded in $(X \times Z) \times Y$, which means that $A \times (Y \times Z)$ is P^γ -embedded in $X \times (Y \times Z)$. Hence, $Y \times Z \in \Pi(P^\gamma)$. \square

Corollary 4.15. *For every space Y , $Y \times (\omega + 1) \in \Pi(C)$.*

Proof. Since $\omega + 1 \in \Pi(C)$ by Theorem 4.3 (or Theorem 4.8), this follows immediately from Theorem 4.14. \square

The next theorem and its corollary were proved by Hoshina and Yamazaki in [9].

Theorem 4.16. [Hoshina-Yamazaki] *Let Y be a space which is homeomorphic to $Y \times Y$ and contains an infinite compact subset K . Then $Y \in \Pi(P^{w(K)})$.*

Corollary 4.17. [Hoshina-Yamazaki] *For every space Y with $|Y| \geq 2$, $Y^\gamma \in \Pi(P^\gamma)$.*

Finally, we consider some miscellaneous products. The following theorem was proved by Yamazaki in [24] and [25]. By a P -space, we mean a P -space in the sense of Morita [13]. For the definition of a Σ -space, see [5].

Theorem 4.18. [Yamazaki] *Let A be a closed subset of a normal P -space X , Y a paracompact Σ -space, and assume that $A \times Y$ is C^* -embedded in $X \times Y$. Then $A \times Y$ is C -embedded in $X \times Y$. Moreover, if A is P^γ -embedded in X in addition, then $A \times Y$ is P^γ -embedded in $X \times Y$.*

Since a P -space is countably paracompact, all normal P -spaces have the property (U^w) and all γ -collectionwise normal P -spaces have the property (U^γ) . Hence, the following problem naturally arises after Theorem 4.18.

Problem 4.19. *Let X be a normal P -space and Y a paracompact Σ -space. Then, does $X \times Y$ have the property $(C^* = C)$? Moreover, if X is γ -collectionwise normal in addition, then does $X \times Y$ have the property $(C^* = P^\gamma)$?*

Recently, a partial answer to Problem 4.19 was given by Yajima [22].

Theorem 4.20. [Yajima] *Let X be a collectionwise normal P -space and Y a paracompact Σ -space. Then every closed C -embedded subset of $X \times Y$ is P -embedded in $X \times Y$.*

5. ZERO-SETS IN THE NIEMYTZKI PLANE

In the remainder of this paper, we consider extension properties of the Niemytzki plane NP , and in the final section, we answer Problem 1.2 for NP negatively. The Niemytzki plane NP is the closed upper half-plane $\mathbb{R} \times [0, +\infty)$ with the topology defined as follows: For each $p = \langle x, y \rangle \in NP$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, let

$$S_\varepsilon(p) = \begin{cases} \{q \in NP : d(\langle x, \varepsilon \rangle, q) < \varepsilon\} \cup \{p\} & \text{for } y = 0, \\ \{q \in NP : d(p, q) < \varepsilon\} & \text{for } y > 0, \end{cases}$$

where d is the Euclidean metric on the plane. The topology of NP is generated by the family $\{S_\varepsilon(p) : p \in NP, \varepsilon > 0\}$. Let $L = \{\langle x, 0 \rangle : x \in \mathbb{R}\} \subseteq NP$.

From now on, we always consider a subset of \mathbb{R} to be a subspace of \mathbb{R} , and consider a subset of NP to be a subspace of NP unless otherwise stated. For example, an interval I is a subspace of \mathbb{R} but $I \times \{0\}$ is a subspace of NP . When $A \subseteq X \subseteq NP$, we say that A is ε -open in X if A is open with respect to the relative topology on X induced from the Euclidean topology. The words ε -closed and ε -continuous are used similarly.

In this section, we determine a zero-set in NP . We first state the main results in this section, then proceed to the proofs.

Theorem 5.1. *Let F be a closed subset of NP . Then F is a zero-set in NP if and only if the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \langle x, 0 \rangle \in F\}$ is a G_δ -set in \mathbb{R} .*

Corollary 5.2. *If S is a subset of NP with $S \cap L = \emptyset$, then $\text{cl}_{NP} S$ is a zero-set in NP . In particular, every closed subset S of NP with $S \cap L = \emptyset$ is a zero-set in NP .*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 5.1 above and Lemma 5.11 below. \square

The next corollary follows from Corollary 5.2, since $F = \text{cl}_{NP}(F \setminus L)$ for every regular-closed set F in NP .

Corollary 5.3. *Every regular-closed set in NP is a zero-set.*

Theorem 5.1 also shows that every zero-set in NP is a G_δ -set with respect to the Euclidean topology. On the other hand, every ε -closed set in the closed upper half-plane is a zero-set in NP . Hence, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 5.4. *For a subset S of NP , S is a Baire set in NP if and only if S is a Borel set with respect to the Euclidean topology.*

The final theorem of this section describes a zero-set in a subspace of NP .

Theorem 5.5. *Let Y be a subspace of NP and $Y_0 = \text{cl}_Y(Y \setminus L)$. Let F be a closed subset of Y . Then F is a zero-set in Y if and only if A is a G_δ -set in B , where $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \langle x, 0 \rangle \in F \cap Y_0\}$ and $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \langle x, 0 \rangle \in Y_0\}$.*

Before proving Theorems 5.1 and 5.5, let us observe some examples of non-trivial zero-sets in NP .

Example 5.6. (1) The first one is a zero-set E in NP such that $E \cap L = \emptyset$ but the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \langle x, 0 \rangle \in \text{cl}_\varepsilon E\}$ is the Cantor set \mathcal{K} , where $\text{cl}_\varepsilon E$ is the closure of E with respect to the Euclidean topology. Let \mathcal{I} be the set of all components of $[0, 1] \setminus \mathcal{K}$. For each open interval $I = (a, b) \in \mathcal{I}$, define

$$E_I = \{\langle x, y \rangle : a < x < b, y = \min\{1 - \sqrt{1 - (x - a)^2}, 1 - \sqrt{1 - (x - b)^2}\}\}.$$

Then E_I is a closed set in NP such that $\text{cl}_\varepsilon E_I \setminus E_I = \{\langle a, 0 \rangle, \langle b, 0 \rangle\}$. Define $E = \bigcup\{E_I : I \in \mathcal{I}\}$. Then E is a closed set in NP such that $E \cap L = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{K} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \langle x, 0 \rangle \in \text{cl}_\varepsilon E\}$, as required. By Corollary 5.2, E is a zero-set in NP .

(2) The second one is a zero-set F of NP such that $F = \text{cl}_{NP}(F \setminus L)$ and $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \langle x, 0 \rangle \in F\} = \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. Since $\mathbb{Q} \times \{0\}$ is countable and discrete closed in NP , we can find a disjoint family $\mathcal{S} = \{S_{\varepsilon(x)}(\langle x, 0 \rangle) : x \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ of basic open sets in NP . Define $F = NP \setminus \bigcup\{S : S \in \mathcal{S}\}$. Then, $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \langle x, 0 \rangle \in F\} = \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ clearly. To show that $F = \text{cl}_{NP}(F \setminus L)$, consider a point $q = \langle x, 0 \rangle \in (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}) \times \{0\}$. Then, $S_\varepsilon(q) \cap (F \setminus L) \neq \emptyset$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$, because \mathcal{S} is disjoint and the open interval $\{y \in \mathbb{R} : \langle x, y \rangle \in S_\varepsilon(q) \setminus \{q\}\}$ cannot be covered by disjoint open intervals J with $\inf J > 0$. Hence, $q \in \text{cl}_{NP}(F \setminus L)$, which implies that $F = \text{cl}_{NP}(F \setminus L)$. Finally, F is a zero-set in NP by Corollary 5.2. \square

To prove Theorems 5.1 and 5.5, we need some definitions and lemmas. Let $\mathbb{R}^\sharp = \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ and consider $-\infty < x < +\infty$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$. For each $a \in \mathbb{R}^\sharp$, we define a function $h_a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as follows: For $a \in \mathbb{R}$, define

$$h_a(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - \sqrt{1 - (x - a)^2} & \text{if } |x - a| \leq 1, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and define $h_{+\infty}(x) = h_{-\infty}(x) = 1$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. By an *open interval* in \mathbb{R} , we mean a set of the form $(a, b) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a < x < b\}$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^\sharp$ with $a < b$. For an open interval $J = (a, b)$ in \mathbb{R} , we define

$$U_J = \{\langle x, y \rangle : a < x < b, 0 \leq y < \min\{h_a(x), h_b(x)\}\}.$$

Lemma 5.7. *For every open interval $J = (a, b)$ in \mathbb{R} , the following are valid:*

- (1) $J \times \{0\} \subseteq U_J$,
- (2) $J \times \{0\}$ is a zero-set in NP and U_J is a cozero-set in NP .

Proof. (1) is obvious. To prove (2), let $H = J \times [0, +\infty)$. Since U_J is ε -open in H , there is an ε -continuous function $f : H \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f^{-1}(0) = J \times \{0\}$ and $f^{-1}(1) = H \setminus U_J$. We extend f to the function $f_* : NP \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by letting $f_*|_H = f$ and $f_*(p) = 1$ for each $p \in NP \setminus H$. Then f_* is continuous on NP by the definition of U_J . Since $J \times \{0\} = f_*^{-1}(0)$ and $U_J = f_*^{-1}[[0, 1]]$, we have (2). \square

Lemma 5.8. *If \mathcal{J} is a family of disjoint open intervals in \mathbb{R} , then the family $\mathcal{U} = \{U_J : J \in \mathcal{J}\}$ is discrete in NP .*

Proof. Let $p = \langle x, y \rangle \in NP$. If $y = 0$, then $S_1(p)$ meets at most one member of \mathcal{U} . If $y > 0$, then $S_{y/2}(p)$ meets at most one member of \mathcal{U} . \square

Let \mathcal{F} be a family of subsets of a space X . It is known [14, 18] that \mathcal{F} is uniformly locally finite in X if and only if there exist a locally finite family $\{G(F) : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ of cozero-sets in X and a family $\{Z(F) : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ of zero-sets in X such that $F \subseteq Z(F) \subseteq U(F)$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Now, we say that \mathcal{F} is *uniformly discrete* in X if there exist a discrete family $\{U(F) : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ of cozero-sets in X and a family $\{Z(F) : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ of zero-sets in X such that $F \subseteq Z(F) \subseteq U(F)$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

Lemma 5.9. [15, Lemma 2.3] *The union of a uniformly locally finite family of zero-sets in a space X is a zero-set in X .*

Lemma 5.10. *If A is a G_δ -set in \mathbb{R} , then $A \times \{0\}$ is a zero-set in NP .*

Proof. There exist open sets G_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, in \mathbb{R} such that $A = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, G_n is the union of a family $\{J_i : i \in M\}$ of disjoint open intervals in \mathbb{R} . By Lemmas 5.7 and 5.8, $\{J_i \times \{0\} : i \in M\}$ is a uniformly discrete family of zero-sets in NP . Hence, $G_n \times \{0\}$ is a zero-set in NP by Lemma 5.9. Since the intersection of countably many zero-sets is a zero-set, $A \times \{0\}$ is a zero-set in NP . \square

Lemma 5.11. *If S is a subset of NP with $S \cap L = \emptyset$, then the set $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \langle x, 0 \rangle \in \text{cl}_{NP} S\}$ is a G_δ -set in \mathbb{R} .*

Proof. For each $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus A$, there exists $n(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $S_{1/n(x)}(\langle x, 0 \rangle) \cap S = \emptyset$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $B_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : n(x) = n\}$. Then it is easily proved that $A \cap \text{cl}_{\mathbb{R}} B_n = \emptyset$. Since $\mathbb{R} \setminus A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{cl}_{\mathbb{R}} B_n$, A is a G_δ -set in \mathbb{R} . \square

Lemma 5.12. *Let Y be a subspace of NP such that $Y = \text{cl}_Y(Y \setminus L)$ and let F be a zero-set in Y . Then A is a G_δ -set in B , where $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \langle x, 0 \rangle \in F\}$ and $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \langle x, 0 \rangle \in Y\}$.*

Proof. Since F is a zero-set in Y , there exist open sets G_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, in Y such that $F = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{cl}_Y G_n$. Let $H = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{cl}_{NP}(G_n \setminus L)$; then $F = H \cap Y$ by the condition of Y . Moreover, the set $C = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \langle x, 0 \rangle \in H\}$ is a G_δ -set in \mathbb{R} by Lemma 5.11. Since $A = B \cap C$, A is a G_δ -set in B . \square

Lemma 5.13. *Let E and F be closed sets in NP such that $L \subseteq E$ and $E \cap F = \emptyset$. Then there exists an open set U in NP such that $E \subseteq U \subseteq \text{cl}_{NP} U \subseteq NP \setminus F$.*

Proof. For each $p \in E$, there is $n(p) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $S_{1/n(p)}(p) \cap F = \emptyset$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $E_n = \{p \in E : n(p) = n\}$ and $U_n = \bigcup \{S_{1/2n}(p) : p \in E_n\}$. Then U_n is an open set in NP with $E_n \subseteq U_n$. We show that $\text{cl}_{NP} U_n \cap F = \emptyset$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose on the contrary that there is a point $q = \langle x, y \rangle \in \text{cl}_{NP} U_n \cap F$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $y > 0$, because $F \cap L = \emptyset$. Thus, we can find $\delta > 0$ such that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, if $q \notin S_{1/n}(\langle x, 0 \rangle)$, then $S_\delta(q) \cap S_{1/2n}(\langle x, 0 \rangle) = \emptyset$. If we put $\varepsilon = \min\{\delta, 1/2n\}$, then

$$\forall p \in NP \ (q \notin S_{1/n}(p) \Rightarrow S_\varepsilon(q) \cap S_{1/2n}(p) = \emptyset).$$

Now, since $q \in \text{cl}_{NP} U_n$, $S_\varepsilon(q) \cap S_{1/2n}(p) \neq \emptyset$ for some $p \in E_n$. By (1), this implies that $q \in S_{1/n}(p)$, which contradicts the fact that $S_{1/n}(p) \cap F = \emptyset$. Hence, $\text{cl}_{NP} U_n \cap F = \emptyset$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and obviously, $E \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$. On the other hand, since F is Lindelöf, there exists a countable family $\{V_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of open sets in NP such that $F \subseteq \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_n$ and $\text{cl}_{NP} V_n \cap E = \emptyset$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Finally, the set $U = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (U_n \setminus \bigcap_{i \leq n} \text{cl}_{NP} V_i)$ is a required open set in NP . \square

We are now ready to prove Theorems 5.1 and 5.5.

Proof. (of Theorem 5.1) Let $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \langle x, 0 \rangle \in F\}$. If F is a zero-set in NP , then A is a G_δ -set in \mathbb{R} by Lemma 5.12. Conversely, assume that A is a G_δ -set in \mathbb{R} , i.e., there exist open sets G_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, in \mathbb{R} with $A = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $K_n = (\mathbb{R} \setminus G_n) \times \{0\}$. Then both $A \times \{0\}$ and K_n are zero-sets in NP by Lemma 5.10. Hence, there exists a continuous function $f_n : NP \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f_n[A \times \{0\}] = \{0\}$ and $f_n[K_n] = \{1\}$. Let $H_n = F \cap f_n^{-1}[[1/2, 1]]$. Then H_n is a closed set in NP with $H_n \cap L = \emptyset$. By using Lemma 5.13 and the technique used in the proof of Urysohn's lemma, we can define another continuous function $g_n : NP \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $g_n[L] = \{0\}$ and $g_n[H_n] = \{1\}$. Define $Z_n = f_n^{-1}[[0, 1/2]] \cup g_n^{-1}[\{1\}]$. Then Z_n is a zero-set in NP such that $F \subseteq Z_n$ and $Z_n \cap K_n = \emptyset$. On the other hand, $F \cup L$ is a zero-set in NP , because it is an ε -closed set. Since $F = (F \cup L) \cap \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Z_n$, F is a zero-set in NP . \square

Proof. (of Theorem 5.5) If F is a zero-set in Y , then $F \cap Y_0$ is a zero-set in Y_0 . Since $Y_0 = \text{cl}_Y(Y_0 \setminus L)$, it follows from Lemma 5.12 that A is a G_δ -set in B . To

prove the converse, assume that A is a G_δ -set in B . Since $Y \setminus Y_0$ is a discrete, open and closed subset of Y , $F \setminus Y_0$ is a zero-set in Y . Hence, it suffices to show that $F \cap Y_0$ is a zero-set in Y . To show this, let $Z_1 = \text{cl}_{NP}(F \setminus L) \cap Y_0$ and $Z_2 = (F \cap Y_0) \cap L$. Then $F \cap Y_0 = Z_1 \cup Z_2$. By Corollary 5.2, Z_1 is a zero-set in Y_0 . On the other hand, by the assumption, there exists a G_δ -set C in \mathbb{R} such that $A = B \cap C$. Since $Z_2 = (C \times \{0\}) \cap Y_0$, Z_2 is a zero-set in Y_0 by Lemma 5.10. Consequently, $F \cap Y_0$ is a zero-set in Y_0 , and hence, in Y , because Y_0 is open and closed in Y . \square

6. z -EMBEDDED SUBSETS IN NP

A subset A of \mathbb{R} is called a Q -set if every subset of A is a G_δ -set in A . All countable sets are Q -sets and the existence of an uncountable Q -set is known to be independent of the usual axioms of set theory (cf. [12]). It is quite easy to determine a z -embedded set Y in NP such that $Y \subseteq L$. Indeed, the first theorem immediately follows from Theorem 5.1:

Theorem 6.1. *For a subset A of \mathbb{R} , $A \times \{0\}$ is z -embedded in NP if and only if A is a Q -set in \mathbb{R} .*

Next, we consider a z -embedded subset in NP which is not necessarily a subset of L .

Lemma 6.2. *Let Y be a subset of NP such that $Y = \text{cl}_Y(Y \setminus L)$. Then Y is z -embedded in NP .*

Proof. Let F be a zero-set in Y . Let $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \langle x, 0 \rangle \in F\}$ and $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \langle x, 0 \rangle \in Y\}$. Then by Lemma 5.12, A is a G_δ -set in B , i.e., there is a G_δ -set C in \mathbb{R} with $A = B \cap C$. Let $Z = (C \times \{0\}) \cup \text{cl}_{NP}(F \setminus L)$. Then Z is a zero-set in NP , because both $C \times \{0\}$ and $\text{cl}_{NP}(F \setminus L)$ are zero-sets in NP by Lemma 5.10 and Corollary 5.2, respectively. Since $F = Z \cap Y$, Y is z -embedded in NP . \square

Theorem 6.3. *Let Y be a subspace of NP and $Y_0 = \text{cl}_Y(Y \setminus L)$. Then Y is z -embedded in NP if and only if A is a Q -set in \mathbb{R} and is a G_δ -set in B , where $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \langle x, 0 \rangle \in Y \setminus Y_0\}$ and $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \langle x, 0 \rangle \in Y\}$.*

Proof. First, assume that Y is z -embedded in NP . Then $Y \setminus Y_0$ is z -embedded in NP , because Y_0 is open and closed in Y . Hence, it follows from Theorem 6.1 that A is a Q -set. Moreover, since Y is z -embedded in NP , there is a zero-set F in NP such that $Y \setminus Y_0 = F \cap Y$. By Theorem 5.1, the set $C = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \langle x, 0 \rangle \in F\}$ is a G_δ -set in \mathbb{R} . Since $A = B \cap C$, A is a G_δ -set in B . Next, we prove the converse. By the assumption, there is a G_δ -set D in \mathbb{R} such that $A = B \cap D$. Let $Z_1 = D \times \{0\}$ and $Z_2 = \text{cl}_{NP}(Y \setminus L)$. Then both Z_1 and Z_2 are zero-sets in NP by Lemma 5.10 and Corollary 5.2, respectively, and they satisfy that $Y \setminus Y_0 \subseteq Z_1$, $Y_0 \subseteq Z_2$, $Z_1 \cap Y_0 = \emptyset$ and $Z_2 \cap (Y \setminus Y_0) = \emptyset$. Hence, it suffices to show that both $Y \setminus Y_0$ and Y_0 are z -embedded in NP . Since A is a Q -set, $Y \setminus Y_0$ is z -embedded in NP by Theorem 6.1, and Y_0 is z -embedded in NP by Lemma 6.2. \square

Remark 6.4. It is known that if $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is a Q -set, then the subspace $Y = (A \times \{0\}) \cup (NP \setminus L)$ of NP is normal (cf. [21, Example F]). Hence, the closed set $A \times \{0\}$ is then C -embedded in Y . However, this does not mean that $A \times \{0\}$ is C -embedded in NP even if A is countable. In fact, it is known ([8, Example 3.14]) that $\mathbb{Q} \times \{0\}$ is not C^* -embedded in NP ; this also follows from Theorem 7.1 below.

7. P -, C - AND C^* -EMBEDDED SUBSETS IN NP

Recall from [6] that a subset Y of a space X is CU -embedded in X if for every pair of a zero-set E in Y and a zero-set F in X with $E \cap F = \emptyset$, E and $F \cap Y$ are completely separated in X . The extension properties we have considered are related as the following diagram, where the arrow ' $A \rightarrow B$ ' means that every A -embedded subset is B -embedded:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} P & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & C^* & \longrightarrow & z \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & U^\omega & \longrightarrow & CU & & \end{array}$$

Moreover, we say that a subset $Y \subseteq X$ is *uniformly discrete* in X if the family $\{\{x\} : x \in Y\}$ is uniformly discrete in X , in other words, there exists a discrete family $\{U(x) : x \in Y\}$ of cozero-sets in X such that $x \in U(x)$ for each $x \in Y$. As is easily shown, every uniformly discrete set in X is P -embedded in X . Finally, we briefly review scattered sets in \mathbb{R} . Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. For every ordinal α , we define the set $A^{(\alpha)}$ inductively as follows: $A^{(0)} = A$; if $\alpha = \beta + 1$, then $A^{(\alpha)}$ is the derived set of $A^{(\beta)}$; and if α is a limit, then $A^{(\alpha)} = \bigcap \{A^{(\beta)} : \beta < \alpha\}$. A subset A of \mathbb{R} is called *scattered* if $A^{(\alpha)} = \emptyset$ for some α , and then we write $\kappa(A) = \min\{\alpha : A^{(\alpha)} = \emptyset\}$. It is known that $\kappa(A) < \omega_1$ for every scattered set A in \mathbb{R} .

Theorem 7.1. *For a subset A of \mathbb{R} , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) A is a scattered set in \mathbb{R} ;
- (2) $A \times \{0\}$ is uniformly discrete in NP ;
- (3) $A \times \{0\}$ is P -embedded in NP ;
- (4) $A \times \{0\}$ is CU -embedded in NP .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): We prove this implication by induction on $\kappa(A)$. If $\kappa(A) = 0$, it is obviously true since $A = \emptyset$. Now, let $\alpha > 0$ and assume that the implication holds for every subset $A' \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with $\kappa(A') < \alpha$. Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a scattered set with $\kappa(A) = \alpha$. In case $\alpha = \beta + 1$, $(A \setminus A^{(\beta)}) \times \{0\}$ is uniformly discrete in NP by inductive hypothesis, because $\kappa(A \setminus A^{(\beta)}) < \alpha$. Since $A^{(\beta)}$ is discrete, there is a family $\{I_x : x \in A^{(\beta)}\}$ of disjoint open intervals in \mathbb{R} such that $x \in I_x$ for each $x \in A^{(\beta)}$. Hence, it follows from Lemmas 5.7 and 5.8 that $A^{(\beta)} \times \{0\}$ is also uniformly discrete in NP . Since the union of finitely many uniformly discrete subsets is uniformly discrete, $A \times \{0\}$ is uniformly discrete in NP . In case α is a limit, then $\mathcal{U} = \{A \setminus A^{(\beta)} : \beta < \alpha\}$ is an open cover of A . Since every scattered set in \mathbb{R} is zero-dimensional, there exists a disjoint open refinement \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{U} . By considering order components of each member of \mathcal{V} , we

can find a family $\mathcal{J} = \{J_n : n \in M\}$ of disjoint open intervals in \mathbb{R} such that \mathcal{J} covers A and $\{J_n \cap A : n \in M\}$ refines \mathcal{V} . By Lemmas 5.7 and 5.8 again, the family $\{J_n \times \{0\} : n \in M\}$ is uniformly discrete in NP , and hence, so is $\{(J_n \cap A) \times \{0\} : n \in M\}$. Moreover, each $(J_n \cap A) \times \{0\}$ is uniformly discrete in NP by inductive hypothesis. Since the union of a uniformly discrete family of uniformly discrete subsets is also uniformly discrete, $A \times \{0\}$ is uniformly discrete in NP .

(2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4): Obvious.

(4) \Rightarrow (1): Suppose that A is not scattered; then A includes a perfect subset B which is closed in A . Let $K = \text{cl}_{\mathbb{R}} B$ and take a countable dense subset B_0 of B such that the set $B_1 = B \setminus B_0$ is also dense in B , i.e., $K = \text{cl}_{\mathbb{R}} B_0 = \text{cl}_{\mathbb{R}} B_1$. Let $E = (K \setminus B_0) \times \{0\}$; then E is a zero-set in NP by Lemma 5.10. Now, $B_0 \times \{0\}$ is a zero-set in $A \times \{0\}$, because $A \times \{0\}$ is discrete. On the other hand, $B_1 \times \{0\} = E \cap (A \times \{0\})$. Since $A \times \{0\}$ is CU -embedded in NP , there exists a continuous function $f : NP \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f[B_i \times \{0\}] = i$ for $i = 0, 1$. Let $C_i = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(\langle x, 0 \rangle) = i\}$ for each $i = 0, 1$. Then C_0 and C_1 are disjoint G_δ -sets in \mathbb{R} by Theorem 5.1. Hence, we can write $K \setminus C_i = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} D_{i,j}$, where each $D_{i,j}$ is ε -closed in K , for each $i = 0, 1$. Since $B \subseteq C_0 \cup C_1$ and both B_0 and B_1 are dense in K , $D_{i,j}$ is nowhere dense in K for all i and j . This contradicts the completeness of K . \square

Lemma 7.2. *Every CU -embedded subset Y in a first countable space X is closed.*

Proof. If Y is not closed in X , then there exists a sequence $\{p_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq Y$ which converges to a point $p \in X \setminus Y$. We may assume that $p_m \neq p_n$ if $m \neq n$. Let $E = \{p_{2n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $F = \{p_{2n-1} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{p\}$. It is easily proved that F is a compact G_δ -set in X , and hence, a zero-set in X , because X is completely regular. On the other hand, since $E \cup \{p\}$ is also a zero-set in X , E is a zero-set in Y . Since Y is CU -embedded in X , E and $F \setminus \{p\}$ must be completely separated in X , which is impossible. \square

Lemma 7.3. *Every scattered subset A of \mathbb{R} is a G_δ -set in \mathbb{R} .*

Proof. This is well-known and also follows from our results. In fact, by Theorem 7.1, $A \times \{0\}$ is uniformly discrete in NP , which implies that $A \times \{0\}$ is a zero-set in NP by Lemma 5.9. Hence, A is a G_δ -set in \mathbb{R} by Theorem 5.1. \square

By Lemma 7.2, we can restrict our attention to closed subsets of NP . The following theorem shows that every CU -embedded subset of NP is P -embedded, which answers Problem 1.2 for the Niemytzki plane negatively.

Theorem 7.4. *Let Y be a closed subspace of NP and let $Y_0 = \text{cl}_Y(Y \setminus L)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *The set $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \langle x, 0 \rangle \in Y \setminus Y_0\}$ is a scattered set in \mathbb{R} ;*
- (2) *$Y \setminus Y_0$ is uniformly discrete in NP ;*
- (3) *Y is P -embedded in NP ;*
- (4) *Y is CU -embedded in NP .*

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2): This equivalence follows from Theorem 7.1.

(1) \Rightarrow (3): Suppose that (1) is true. Then A is a G_δ -set in \mathbb{R} by Lemma 7.3. Hence, the set $A \times \{0\} (= Y \setminus Y_0)$ is a zero-set in NP by Lemma 5.10. On the other hand, by the definition of Y_0 , it follows from Corollary 5.2 that Y_0 is a zero-set. Consequently, Y_0 and $Y \setminus Y_0$ are completely separated in NP . Hence, it suffices to show that both Y_0 and $Y \setminus Y_0$ are P -embedded in NP . By Theorem 6.3 and Corollary 5.2, Y_0 is a z -embedded zero-set in NP , which implies that Y_0 is C -embedded in NP by Theorem 3.1. Since Y_0 is separable, Y_0 has no uncountable locally finite cozero-set cover. Hence, Y_0 is P -embedded in NP . On the other hand, $Y \setminus Y_0$ is P -embedded in NP by Theorem 7.1.

(3) \Rightarrow (4): Obvious.

(4) \Rightarrow (1): If Y is CU -embedded in NP , then the set $A \times \{0\} (= Y \setminus Y_0)$ is also CU -embedded in NP , because $Y \setminus Y_0$ is open and closed in Y . Hence, this implication follows from Theorem 7.1. \square

By Theorem 7.4, both of the zero-sets E and F defined in Example 5.6 are P -embedded in NP .

Corollary 7.5. *Every CU -embedded subset in NP is a P -embedded zero-set.*

Proof. Let Y be a CU -embedded set in NP and let $Y_0 = \text{cl}_Y(Y \setminus L)$. By Theorem 7.4, Y is P -embedded in NP . Moreover, as I showed in the proof of Theorem 7.4 (1) \Rightarrow (3), both Y_0 and $Y \setminus Y_0$ are zero-sets in NP . Hence, Y is a zero-set in NP . \square

Recall from [19] that a subset A of a space X is π -embedded in X if $A \times Y$ is C^* -embedded in $X \times Y$ for every space Y . The following problem is open:

Problem 7.6. *Is every P -embedded subset in NP π -embedded in NP ?*

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank Ken-iti Tamano for his helpful suggestions. In particular, Theorem 5.1 was born from the discussion with him.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. Aló and H. L. Shapiro, *Normal Topological Spaces* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1974).
- [2] L. Blair and A. W. Hager, *Extensions of zero-sets and of real-valued functions*, *Math. Z.* **136** (1974), 41–52.
- [3] R. Engelking, *General Topology* (Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989).
- [4] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions* (Van Nostrand, New York, 1960).
- [5] G. Gruenhage, *Generalized metric spaces*, in: *Handbook of Set-theoretical Topology*, K. Kunen and J. E. Vaughan, eds. (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984), 423–501.
- [6] V. Gutev and H. Ohta, *Does C^* -embedding imply C -embedding in the realm of products with a non-discrete metric factor?*, to appear in *Fund. Math.*
- [7] T. Hoshina, *Spaces with a property related to uniformly local finiteness*, *Tsukuba J. Math.* **6** (1982), 51–62.
- [8] T. Hoshina, *Extensions of mappings II*, in: *Topics in General Topology*, K. Morita and J. Nagata, eds. North-Holland (Amsterdam, 1989), 41–80.

- [9] T. Hoshina and K. Yamazaki, *C*-embedding and C-embedding on products with certain metric factor*, *Topology Appl.* **82** (1998), 195–204.
- [10] M. Katětov, *Extensions of locally finite coverings*, *Colloq. Math.* **6** (1958), 145–151.
- [11] J. Mack, *Countable paracompactness and weak normality properties*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **148** (1970), 265–272.
- [12] A. W. Miller, *Special subsets of the real line*, in: *Handbook of Set-theoretical Topology*, K. Kunen and J. E. Vaughan, eds. (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984), 201–233.
- [13] K. Morita, *Products of normal spaces with metric spaces*, *Math. Ann.* **154** (1964), 365–382.
- [14] K. Morita, *Dimension of general topological spaces*, in: *Surveys in General Topology*, G. M. Reed, ed. (Academic Press, New York, 1980), 201–233.
- [15] K. Morita and T. Hoshina, *P-embedding and product spaces*, *Fund. Math.* **93** (1976), 71–80.
- [16] N. Noble, *Countably compact and pseudocompact products*, *Czech. Math. J.* **19** (1969), 390–397.
- [17] M. E. Rudin, *A normal space X for which $X \times I$ is not normal*, *Fund. Math.* **73**, 179–186.
- [18] H. Ohta, *Topologically complete spaces and perfect maps*, *Tsukuba J. Math.* **1** (1977), 77–89.
- [19] T. C. Przymusiński, *Product spaces*, in: *Surveys in General Topology*, G. M. Reed, ed. (Academic Press, New York, 1980), 399–429.
- [20] D. B. Shakhmatov, *A pseudocompact Tychonoff space all countable subsets of which are closed and C*-embedded*, *Topology Appl.* **22** (1986), 139–144.
- [21] F. D. Tall, *Normality versus collectionwise normality*, in: *Handbook of Set-theoretical Topology*, K. Kunen and J. E. Vaughan, eds. (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984), 685–732.
- [22] Y. Yajima, *Special refinements and their applications on products*, preprint.
- [23] K. Yamazaki, *C*-embedding and C-embedding on product spaces*, *Tsukuba J. Math.* **21** (1997), 515–527.
- [24] K. Yamazaki, *C*-embedding and P- or M-embedding on product spaces*, preprint.
- [25] K. Yamazaki, e-mail, January 16, 1998.

RECEIVED MARCH 2000

H. OHTA
Faculty of Education
Shizuoka University
Ohya, Shizuoka, 422-8529, Japan
E-mail address: echohtaipc.shizuoka.ac.jp