

## Tightness of function spaces

SHOU LIN\*

**ABSTRACT.** The purpose of this paper is to give higher cardinality versions of countable fan tightness of function spaces obtained by A. Arhangel'skiĭ. Let  $\text{vet}(X)$ ,  $\omega\text{H}(X)$  and  $\text{H}(X)$  denote respectively the fan tightness,  $\omega$ -Hurewicz number and Hurewicz number of a space  $X$ , then  $\text{vet}(C_p(X)) = \omega\text{H}(X) = \sup\{\text{H}(X^n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

**2000 AMS Classification:** 54C35; 54A25; 54D20; 54D99.

**Keywords:** Function spaces; fan tightness; Hurewicz spaces; cardinal functions.

The general question in the theory of function spaces is to characterize topological properties of the space,  $C(X)$ , of continuous real-valued functions on a topological space  $X$ . A study of some convergence properties in function spaces is an important task of general topology. It have been obtained interested results on some higher cardinal properties of first-countability, Fréchet properties, tightness[2, 4, 6, 9]. Arhangel'skiĭ-Pytkeev theorem[2] is a nice result about tightness of function spaces:  $t(C_p(X)) = \sup\{L(X^n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  for any Tychonoff space  $X$ . The following result on countable fan tightness of function spaces is shown by A. Arhangel'skiĭ[1]:  $C_p(X)$  has countable fan tightness if and only if  $X^n$  is a Hurewicz space for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for an arbitrary space  $X$ . In this paper the higher cardinality versions of countable fan tightness of  $C_p(X)$  are obtained.

In this paper all spaces will be Tychonoff spaces. Let  $\alpha$  be a network of compact subsets of a space  $X$ , which is closed under finite unions and closed subsets. Then the space  $C_\alpha(X)$  is the set  $C(X)$  with the set-open topology as follows[9]: The subbasic open sets of the form  $[A, V] = \{f \in C(X) : f(A) \subset V\}$ , where  $A \in \alpha$  and  $V$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $C_\alpha(X)$  is a topological vector space[9]. The family of all compact subsets of  $X$  generates the compact-open topology,

---

\*Supported by the NNSF of China (10271026).

denoted by  $C_k(X)$ . Also the family of all finite subsets of  $X$  generates the topology of pointwise convergence, denoted by  $C_p(X)$ . For each  $f \in C(X)$ , a basic neighborhood of  $f$  in  $C_p(X)$  can be expressed as  $W(f, K, \varepsilon)$  for each finite subset  $K$  of  $X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , here  $W(f, K, \varepsilon) = \{g \in C(X) : |f(x) - g(x)| < \varepsilon \text{ for each } x \in K\}$ . In this paper the alphabet  $\lambda$  is an infinite cardinal number,  $\gamma$  is an ordinal number, and  $i, m, n, j, k$  are natural numbers.

The fan tightness of a space  $X$  is defined by  $\text{vet}(X) = \sup\{\text{vet}(X, x) : x \in X\}$ , here  $\text{vet}(X, x) = \omega + \min\{\lambda : \text{for each family } \{A_\gamma\}_{\gamma < \lambda} \text{ of subsets of } X \text{ with } x \in \bigcap_{\gamma < \lambda} \overline{A_\gamma} \text{ there is a subset } B_\gamma \subset A_\gamma \text{ with } |B_\gamma| < \lambda \text{ for each } \gamma < \lambda \text{ such that } x \in \bigcup_{\gamma < \lambda} B_\gamma\}$ . A space  $X$  has countable fan tightness[1] if and only if  $\text{vet}(X) = \omega$ . An  $\alpha$ -cover of a space  $X$  is a family of subsets of  $X$  such that every member of  $\alpha$  is contained in some member of this family. An  $\alpha$ -cover is called a  $k$ -cover if  $\alpha$  is the set of all compact subsets of  $X$ . Also an  $\alpha$ -cover is called an  $\omega$ -cover if  $\alpha$  is the set of all finite subsets of  $X$ . The  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz number of  $X$  is defined by  $\alpha H(X) = \omega + \min\{\lambda : \text{for each family } \{\mathcal{U}_\gamma\}_{\gamma < \lambda} \text{ of open } \alpha\text{-covers of } X \text{ there is a subset } \mathcal{B}_\gamma \subset \mathcal{U}_\gamma \text{ with } |\mathcal{B}_\gamma| < \lambda \text{ for each } \gamma < \lambda \text{ such that } \bigcup_{\gamma < \lambda} \mathcal{B}_\gamma \text{ is an } \alpha\text{-cover of } X\}$ . The  $\alpha$ -Hurewicz number of  $X$  is called the Hurewicz number of  $X$  and written  $H(X)$  if  $\alpha$  consists of the singleton of  $X$ . A space  $X$  is Hurewicz space[5] if and only if  $H(X) = \omega$ .

**Theorem 1.**  $\text{vet}(C_\alpha(X)) = \alpha H(X)$  for any space  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda = \text{vet}(C_\alpha(X))$ , and let  $\{\mathcal{U}_\gamma\}_{\gamma < \lambda}$  be any family of open  $\alpha$ -covers of  $X$ . For each  $\gamma < \lambda$ , put  $A_\gamma = \{f \in C_\alpha(X) : \text{there is } U \in \mathcal{U}_\gamma \text{ such that } f(X \setminus U) \subset \{0\}\}$ . Then  $A_\gamma$  is dense in  $C_\alpha(X)$ . In fact, let  $\bigcap_{i \leq m} [K_i, V_i]$  be a non-empty basic open set of  $C_\alpha(X)$ , fix  $f \in \bigcap_{i \leq m} [K_i, V_i]$ . There is  $U \in \mathcal{U}_\gamma$  such that  $\bigcup_{i \leq m} K_i \subset U$  because  $\mathcal{U}_\gamma$  is an  $\alpha$ -cover on  $X$ . Since  $\bigcup_{i \leq m} K_i$  is compact in Tychonoff space  $X$ , there is  $g \in C_\alpha(X)$  such that  $g|_{\bigcup_{i \leq m} K_i} = f|_{\bigcup_{i \leq m} K_i}$  and  $g(X \setminus U) \subset \{0\}$ . Then  $g \in A_\gamma \cap (\bigcap_{i \leq m} [K_i, V_i])$ , and  $\overline{A_\gamma} = C_\alpha(X)$ .

Take  $f_1 \in C(X)$  with  $f_1(X) = \{1\}$ , then  $f_1 \in \bigcap_{\gamma < \lambda} \overline{A_\gamma}$ . For each  $\gamma < \lambda$  there is a subset  $B_\gamma \subset A_\gamma$  with  $|B_\gamma| < \lambda$  such that  $f_1 \in \overline{\bigcup_{\gamma < \lambda} B_\gamma}$  by  $\lambda = \text{vet}(C_\alpha(X))$ . Denote  $B_\gamma = \{f_\kappa\}_{\kappa \in \Phi_\gamma}$ , here  $|\Phi_\gamma| < \lambda$ . There is  $U_\kappa \in \mathcal{U}_\gamma$  such that  $f_\kappa(X \setminus U_\kappa) \subset \{0\}$  for each  $\kappa \in \Phi_\gamma$ . Put  $\mathcal{U}'_\gamma = \{U_\kappa\}_{\kappa \in \Phi_\gamma}$ . Then  $\bigcup_{\gamma < \lambda} \mathcal{U}'_\gamma$  is an  $\alpha$ -cover of  $X$ . In fact, for each  $A \in \alpha$ , since  $f_1 \in [A, (0, 2)]$ , there are  $\gamma < \lambda$  and  $\kappa \in \Phi_\gamma$  such that  $f_\kappa \in [A, (0, 2)]$ , then  $A \subset U_\kappa$ , so  $\bigcup_{\gamma < \lambda} \mathcal{U}'_\gamma$  is an  $\alpha$ -cover of  $X$ . This shows that  $\alpha H(X) \leq \text{vet}(C_\alpha(X))$ .

To show the reverse inequality, let  $\lambda = \alpha H(X)$ . Since  $C_\alpha(X)$  is a topological vector space, it is homogeneous. It suffices to show that  $\text{vet}(C_\alpha(X), f_0) \leq \lambda$ , here  $f_0 \in C(X)$  with  $f_0(X) = \{0\}$ . Suppose that  $f_0 \in \bigcap_{\gamma < \lambda} \overline{A_\gamma}$  with each  $A_\gamma \subset C_\alpha(X)$ . For each  $\gamma < \lambda$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $\mathcal{U}_{\gamma, n} = \{f^{-1}(O_n) : f \in A_\gamma\}$ , here  $\{O_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a decreasing local base of 0 in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}_{\gamma, n}$  is an open  $\alpha$ -cover of  $X$ . In fact, for each  $A \in \alpha$ ,  $f_0 \in [A, O_n]$ , there is  $f \in [A, O_n] \cap A_\gamma$ , thus  $A \subset f^{-1}(O_n) \in \mathcal{U}_{\gamma, n}$ .

**Case 1.**  $\lambda > \omega$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , since  $\{\mathcal{U}_{\gamma,n}\}_{\gamma < \lambda}$  is a family of open  $\alpha$ -covers of  $X$ , there is a subset  $\mathcal{U}'_{\gamma,n} \subset \mathcal{U}_{\gamma,n}$  with  $|\mathcal{U}'_{\gamma,n}| < \lambda$  for each  $\gamma < \lambda$  such that  $\bigcup_{\gamma < \lambda} \mathcal{U}'_{\gamma,n}$  is an open  $\alpha$ -cover of  $X$ . Denote  $\mathcal{U}'_{\gamma,n} = \{U_\tau\}_{\tau \in \Phi_{\gamma,n}}$ . There is  $f_\tau \in A_\gamma$  such that  $U_\tau = f_\tau^{-1}(O_n)$  for each  $\tau \in \Phi_{\gamma,n}$ . Let  $B_\gamma = \overline{\{f_\tau : \tau \in \Phi_{\gamma,n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$ . Then  $B_\gamma \subset A_\gamma$  and  $|B_\gamma| < \lambda$ . We show that  $f_0 \in \bigcup_{\gamma < \lambda} B_\gamma$ . For arbitrary basic neighborhood  $[A, V]$  of  $f_0$  in  $C_\alpha(X)$ , there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $O_n \subset V$ . Since  $\bigcup_{\gamma < \lambda} \mathcal{U}'_{\gamma,n}$  is an open  $\alpha$ -cover of  $X$ , there are  $\gamma < \lambda$  and  $\tau \in \Phi_{\gamma,n}$  such that  $A \subset U_\tau = f_\tau^{-1}(O_n)$ , hence  $f_\tau(A) \subset V$ , i.e.,  $f_\tau \in [A, V]$ , so  $f_0 \in \overline{\{f_\tau : \tau \in \Phi_{\gamma,n}, n \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma < \lambda\}} = \bigcup_{\gamma < \lambda} B_\gamma$ .

**Case 2.**  $\lambda = \omega$ . Put  $M = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : X \in \mathcal{U}_{n,n}\}$ . If  $M$  is infinite, there is  $m \in M$  such that  $O_m \subset V$  for arbitrary basic neighborhood  $[A, V]$  of  $f_0$  in  $C_\alpha(X)$ . By the definition of  $\mathcal{U}_{m,m}$ , there is  $g_m \in A_m$  such that  $X = g_m^{-1}(O_m)$ , then  $g_m(X) \subset V$ , so  $g_m \in [A, V]$ , thus the sequence  $\{g_m\}_{m \in M}$  converges to  $f_0$ . If  $M$  is finite, there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for each  $m \geq n_0$  and  $g \in A_m$ ,  $g^{-1}(O_m) \neq X$ . Since  $\{\mathcal{U}_{m,m}\}_{m \geq n_0}$  is a sequence of open  $\alpha$ -covers of  $X$ , there is a finite subset  $\mathcal{U}'_m$  of  $\mathcal{U}_{m,m}$  for each  $m \geq n_0$  such that  $\bigcup_{m \geq n_0} \mathcal{U}'_m$  is an open  $\alpha$ -cover of  $X$ . Denote  $\mathcal{U}'_m = \{U_{m,j}\}_{j \leq i(m)}$ . There is  $f_{m,j} \in A_m$  such that  $U_{m,j} = f_{m,j}^{-1}(O_m)$  for each  $m \geq n_0, j \leq i(m)$ . Next, we shall show that  $f_0 \in \overline{\{f_{m,j} : m \geq n_0, j \leq i(m)\}}$ . For arbitrary basic neighborhood  $[A, V]$  of  $f_0$  in  $C_\alpha(X)$ , let  $F = \{(m, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : m \geq n_0, j \leq i(m) \text{ and } A \subset U_{m,j}\}$ . Obviously,  $F \neq \emptyset$ . If  $F$  is finite, take  $x_{m,j} \in X \setminus U_{m,j}$  for each  $(m, j) \in F$  because  $U_{m,j} \neq X$ . There is  $K \in \alpha$  with  $A \cup \{x_{m,j} : (m, j) \in F\} \subset K$ . Then  $K$  is not contained by any element of  $\bigcup_{m \geq n_0} \mathcal{U}'_m$ , so  $\bigcup_{m \geq n_0} \mathcal{U}'_m$  is not an  $\alpha$ -cover of  $X$ , a contradiction. Hence  $F$  is infinite, and there are  $m \geq n_0$  and  $j \leq i(m)$  such that  $A \subset U_{m,j} = f_{m,j}^{-1}(O_m)$  and  $O_m \subset V$ , so  $f_{m,j}(A) \subset V$ , i.e.,  $f_{m,j} \in [A, V]$ . Thus  $f_0 \in \overline{\{f_{m,j} : m \geq n_0, j \leq i(m)\}}$ .

This shows that  $\text{vet}(C_\alpha(X)) \leq \alpha H(X)$ .  $\square$

By Theorem 1,  $C_p(X)$  has countable fan tightness if and only if for each sequence  $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}$  of open  $\omega$ -covers of  $X$  there is a finite subset  $\mathcal{U}'_n \subset \mathcal{U}_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}'_n$  is an  $\omega$ -cover of  $X$ .

**Theorem 2.**  $\text{vet}(C_p(X)) = \sup\{H(X^n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  for any space  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda = \text{vet}(C_p(X))$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that  $\{\mathcal{U}_\gamma\}_{\gamma < \lambda}$  is a family of open covers of the space  $X^n$ . For each  $\gamma < \lambda$ , a family  $\mathcal{V}$  of subsets of  $X$  is called having a property  $P_{n,\gamma}$  if for each  $\{V_i\}_{i \leq n} \subset \mathcal{V}$  there is  $U \in \mathcal{U}_\gamma$  such that  $\prod_{i \leq n} V_i \subset U$ . Denote by  $\Gamma_{n,\gamma}$  the family of the all finite sets, which has the property  $P_{n,\gamma}$ , of open sets in  $X$ . For each  $\mathcal{V} \in \Gamma_{n,\gamma}$ , let  $F_\mathcal{V} = \{f \in C_p(X) : f(X \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{V}) \subset \{0\}\}$ . We show that the set  $A_\gamma = \bigcup\{F_\mathcal{V} : \mathcal{V} \in \Gamma_{n,\gamma}\}$  is dense in  $C_p(X)$ .

Let  $W(f, K, \varepsilon)$  be any basic neighborhood of  $f$  in  $C_p(X)$ . Since  $K$  is finite, there is a finite family  $\mathcal{W}$  of open subsets in  $X$  such that for any  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in K^n$  there are  $U \in \mathcal{U}_\gamma$  and a finite subset  $\{W_i\}_{i \leq n} \subset \mathcal{W}$  such that  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \prod_{i \leq n} W_i \subset U$ . Then  $K \subset \bigcup \mathcal{W}$ . For each  $x \in K$ ,

put  $V_x = \bigcap \{W \in \mathcal{W} : x \in W\}$ , and  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_x : x \in K\}$ . Then  $K \subset \bigcup \mathcal{V}$  and the family  $\mathcal{V}$  has the property  $P_{n,\gamma}$ . In fact, take an arbitrary  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in K^n$ , there are  $\{W_i\}_{i \leq n} \subset \mathcal{W}$  and  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \prod_{i \leq n} W_i \subset U$ . Since each  $V_{x_i} \subset W_i$ ,  $\prod_{i \leq n} V_{x_i} \subset U$ . Now, take  $g \in C_p(X)$  such that  $f|_K = g|_K$  and  $g(X \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{V}) = \{0\}$ , then  $g \in F_{\mathcal{V}} \subset A_{\gamma}$ , so  $W(f, K, \varepsilon) \cap A_{\gamma} \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $\overline{A_{\gamma}} = C_p(X)$ .

Let  $f_1 \in C(X)$  with  $f_1(X) = \{1\}$ . Then  $f_1 \in \bigcap_{\gamma < \lambda} \overline{A_{\gamma}}$ . There is a subset  $B_{\gamma} \subset A_{\gamma}$  with  $|B_{\gamma}| < \lambda$  for each  $\gamma < \lambda$  such that  $f_1 \in \overline{\bigcup_{\gamma < \lambda} B_{\gamma}}$ . Then there is a subset  $\Delta_{n,\gamma} \subset \Gamma_{n,\gamma}$  with  $|\Delta_{n,\gamma}| < \lambda$  such that  $B_{\gamma} \subset \bigcup \{F_{\mathcal{V}} : \mathcal{V} \in \Delta_{n,\gamma}\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{V} \in \Delta_{n,\gamma}$ . For each  $\xi = (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n) \in \mathcal{V}^n$ , take  $G_{\xi} \in \mathcal{U}_{\gamma}$  such that  $\prod_{i \leq n} V_i \subset G_{\xi}$ . Put  $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma} = \{G_{\xi} : \xi \in \mathcal{V}^n, \mathcal{V} \in \Delta_{n,\gamma}\}$ . Clearly,  $|\mathcal{G}_{\gamma}| < \lambda$  and  $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma} \subset \mathcal{U}_{\gamma}$ . We show that  $\bigcup_{\gamma < \lambda} \mathcal{G}_{\gamma}$  covers  $X$ .

For an arbitrary  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ , let  $F = \{f \in C_p(X) : f(x_i) > 0 \text{ for each } i \leq n\}$ . Then  $F$  is an open neighborhood of  $f_1$  in  $C_p(X)$ . Since  $f_1 \in \overline{\bigcup_{\gamma < \lambda} B_{\gamma}}$ , there is  $\gamma < \lambda$  such that  $F \cap B_{\gamma} \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $F \cap F_{\mathcal{V}} \neq \emptyset$  for some  $\mathcal{V} \in \Delta_{n,\gamma}$ . Let  $g \in F \cap F_{\mathcal{V}}$ . Then  $g(X \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{V}) = 0$  and  $g(x_i) > 0$  for each  $i \leq n$ . Take  $V_i \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $x_i \in V_i$  for each  $i \leq n$ , then there is  $G_{\xi} \in \mathcal{G}_{\gamma}$  such that  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \prod_{i \leq n} V_i \subset G_{\xi}$ . So  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \bigcup (\bigcup_{\gamma < \lambda} \mathcal{G}_{\gamma})$ . Hence  $\mathbf{H}(X^n) \leq \text{vet}(C_p(X))$ .

Conversely, suppose  $\lambda = \sup\{\mathbf{H}(X^n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Fix  $f \in C_p(X)$  and a family  $\{A_{\gamma}\}_{\gamma < \lambda}$  of subsets in  $C_p(X)$  such that  $f \in \bigcap_{\gamma < \lambda} \overline{A_{\gamma}}$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\gamma < \lambda$  and  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ , there is  $g_{x,\gamma} \in W(f, \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}, 1/n) \cap A_{\gamma}$ . For each  $i \leq n$ , since  $|g_{x,\gamma}(x_i) - f(x_i)| < 1/n$ , by the continuity of  $f$  and  $g_{x,\gamma}$ , there is an open neighborhood  $O_i$  of  $x_i$  in  $X$  such that  $|g_{x,\gamma}(y_i) - f(y_i)| < 1/n$  if  $y_i \in O_i$ . The set  $U_{x,\gamma} = \prod_{i \leq n} O_i$  is a neighborhood of  $x$  in  $X^n$ . Thus  $\mathcal{U}_{n,\gamma} = \{U_{x,\gamma} : x \in X^n\}$  covers  $X^n$ , and  $|g_{x,\gamma}(y_i) - f(y_i)| < 1/n$  for each  $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in U_{x,\gamma}$ .

**Case 1.**  $\lambda > \omega$ . Since  $\mathbf{H}(X^n) \leq \lambda$ , there is a family  $\{S_{n,\gamma}\}_{\gamma < \lambda}$  of subsets in  $X^n$  with  $|S_{n,\gamma}| < \lambda$  for each  $\gamma < \lambda$  such that  $\bigcup_{\gamma < \lambda} S_{n,\gamma}$  covers  $X^n$ , here each  $S_{n,\gamma} = \{U_{x,\gamma} : x \in S_{n,\gamma}\}$ . For each  $\gamma < \lambda$ , let  $B_{n,\gamma} = \{g_{x,\gamma} : x \in S_{n,\gamma}\}$ , and  $B_{\gamma} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_{n,\gamma}$ . Then  $B_{\gamma} \subset A_{\gamma}$ ,  $|B_{\gamma}| < \lambda$ , and  $f \in \overline{\bigcup_{\gamma < \lambda} B_{\gamma}}$ .

In fact, let  $W(f, \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}, \varepsilon)$  be a basic neighborhood of  $f$  in  $C_p(X)$  with  $1/n < \varepsilon$ . There is  $\gamma < \lambda$  such that  $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \bigcup S_{n,\gamma}$ , thus there is  $x \in S_{n,\gamma}$  such that  $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in U_{x,\gamma}$ , so  $g_{x,\gamma} \in B_{n,\gamma}$  and  $|g_{x,\gamma}(y_i) - f(y_i)| < 1/n < \varepsilon$  for each  $i \leq n$ , hence  $g_{x,\gamma} \in W(f, \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}, \varepsilon) \cap B_{\gamma}$ . This shows that  $f \in \overline{\bigcup_{\gamma < \lambda} B_{\gamma}}$ .

**Case 2.**  $\lambda = \omega$ . Replace  $\gamma < \lambda$  by  $k \geq n$ , and let  $B_k = \bigcup_{n \leq k} B_{n,k}$  in the proof of Case 1, then  $B_k$  is finite subset of  $A_k$  and  $f \in \overline{\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} B_k}$ .

In a word,  $\text{vet}(C_p(X)) \leq \sup\{\mathbf{H}(X^n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .  $\square$

The following result obtained by A. Arhangel'skiĭ[1] is generalized:  $C_p(X)$  has countable fan tightness if and only if  $X^n$  is a Hurewicz space for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Arhangel'skii, *Hurewicz spaces, analytic sets, and fan tightness of functions*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **33**(1986), 396-399.
- [2] A. Arhangel'skii, *Topological function spaces* (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1992).
- [3] R. Engelking, *General Topology(revised and completed edition)*(Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989).
- [4] J. Gerlits, Zs. Nagy and Z. Szentmiklossy, *Some convergence properties in function space*, In: General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra VI, Proc. Sixth Prague Topological Symposium(Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1988), 211-222.
- [5] W. Hurewicz, *Über folgen stetiger fukktionen*, Fund. Math. **9**(1927), 193-204.
- [6] Lj. D. Kočinac, *On radiality of function spaces*, In: General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra VI, Proc. Sixth Prague Topological Symposium(Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1988), 337-344.
- [7] Lj. D. Kočinac, *Closure properties of function spaces*, Applied General Topology **4**(2003)(2), 255-261.
- [8] S. Lin, C. Liu and H. Teng, *Fan tightness and strong Fréchet property of  $C_k(X)$* , Adv. Math.(China) **23:3**(1994), 234-237(in Chinese).
- [9] R. A. McCoy, I. Ntantu, *Topological Properties of Spaces of Continuous Functions*, Lecture Notes in Math., No. 1315(Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1988).

RECEIVED AUGUST 2004

ACCEPTED MAY 2005

SHOU LIN (linshou@public.ndptt.fj.cn)

Department of Mathematics, Zhangzhou Teachers' College, Fujian 363000, P. R. China

Department of Mathematics, Ningde Teachers' College, Fujian 352100, P. R. China