

On σ -starcompact spaces

YAN-KUI SONG*

ABSTRACT. A space X is σ -starcompact if for every open cover \mathcal{U} of X , there exists a σ -compact subset C of X such that $St(C, \mathcal{U}) = X$. We investigate the relations between σ -starcompact spaces and other related spaces, and also study topological properties of σ -starcompact spaces.

2000 AMS Classification: 54D20, 54B10, 54D55.

Keywords: Lindelöf, σ -starcompact, \mathcal{L} -starcompact.

1. INTRODUCTION

By a space, we mean a topological space. Let us recall that a space X is *countably compact* if every countable open cover of X has a finite subcover. Fleischman [3] defined a space X to be *starcompact* if for every open cover \mathcal{U} of X , there exists a finite subset F of X such that $St(F, \mathcal{U}) = X$, where $St(F, \mathcal{U}) = \bigcup\{U \in \mathcal{U} : U \cap F \neq \emptyset\}$, and he proved that every countably compact space is starcompact. Conversely, van Douwen-Reed-Roscoe-Tree [1] proved that every Hausdorff starcompact space is countably compact, but this does not hold for T_1 -space (see [7]). As generalizations of starcompactness, the following classes of spaces were given:

Definition 1.1 ([1, 6]). A space X is *star-Lindelöf* if for every open cover \mathcal{U} of X , there exists a countable subset F of X such that $St(F, \mathcal{U}) = X$.

Definition 1.2. A space X is σ -starcompact if for every open cover \mathcal{U} of X , there exists a σ -compact subset C of X such that $St(C, \mathcal{U}) = X$.

Definition 1.3 ([3, 6, 8]). A space X is \mathcal{L} -starcompact if for every open cover \mathcal{U} of X , there exists a Lindelöf subset L of X such that $St(L, \mathcal{U}) = X$.

*The author acknowledges support from the NSF of China(Grants 10571081) and the National Science Foundation of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions of China (Grant No 07KJB110055)

In [1], a star-Lindelöf space is called strong star-Lindelöf, in [3], \mathcal{L} -starcompactness is called sLc property.

From the above definitions, we have the following diagram:

$$\text{star-Lindelöf} \Rightarrow \sigma\text{-starcompact} \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}\text{-starcompact}.$$

In the following section, we give examples showing that the converses in the above Diagram do not hold.

Thorough this paper, the symbol $\beta(X)$ means the Čech-Stone compactification of a Tychonoff space X . The cardinality of a set A is denoted by $|A|$. Let ω be the first infinite cardinal, ω_1 the first uncountable cardinal and \mathfrak{c} the cardinality of the set of all real numbers. As usual, a cardinal is the initial ordinal. For each ordinals α, β with $\alpha < \beta$, we write $(\alpha, \beta) = \{\gamma : \alpha < \gamma < \beta\}$, $[\alpha, \beta) = \{\gamma : \alpha \leq \gamma < \beta\}$ and $[\alpha, \beta] = \{\gamma : \alpha \leq \gamma \leq \beta\}$. Every cardinal is often viewed as a space with the usual order topology. Other terms and symbols follow [2].

2. σ -STARCOMPACT SPACES AND RELATED SPACES

In this section, we give two examples which show the converses in the above diagram in the section 1 do not hold.

Example 2.1. There exists a Tychonoff σ -starcompact space which is not star-Lindelöf.

Proof. Let D be a discrete space of the cardinality \mathfrak{c} . Define

$$X = (\beta(D) \times (\omega + 1)) \setminus ((\beta(D) \setminus D) \times \{\omega\}).$$

Then, X is σ -starcompact, since $\beta(D) \times \omega$ is a σ -compact dense subset of X .

Next, we show that X is not star-Lindelöf. Since $|D| = \mathfrak{c}$, then we can enumerate D as $\{d_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$. For each $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$, let $U_\alpha = \{d_\alpha\} \times [0, \omega]$. Then $U_\alpha \cap U_{\alpha'} = \emptyset$ for $\alpha \neq \alpha'$. Let us consider the open cover

$$\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} \cup \{\beta(D) \times \omega\}.$$

of X . Let F be a countable subset of X . Then, there exists a $\alpha_0 < \mathfrak{c}$ such that $F \cap U_{\alpha_0} = \emptyset$. Since U_{α_0} is the only element of \mathcal{U} containing the point $\langle d_{\alpha_0}, \omega \rangle$ and $U_{\alpha_0} \cap F = \emptyset$, then $\langle d_{\alpha_0}, \omega \rangle \notin St(F, \mathcal{U})$, which shows that X is not star-Lindelöf. \square

Example 2.2. There exists a Tychonoff \mathcal{L} -starcompact space which is not σ -starcompact.

Proof. Let $D = \{d_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be a discrete space of the cardinality \mathfrak{c} and let

$$Y = D \cup \{\infty\}, \text{ where } \infty \notin D$$

be the one-point Lindelöfication of D . Then, every compact subset of Y is finite by the construction of the topology of Y . Hence, Y is not σ -compact. Define

$$X = (Y \times (\omega + 1)) \setminus (\langle \infty, \omega \rangle).$$

Then, X is \mathcal{L} -starcompact, since $Y \times \omega$ is a Lindelöf dense subset of X .

Now, we show that X is not σ -starcompact. For each $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$, let $U_\alpha = \{d_\alpha\} \times [0, \omega]$. Then $U_\alpha \cap U_{\alpha'} = \emptyset$ for $\alpha \neq \alpha'$. Let us consider the open cover

$$\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} \cup \{Y \times \{n\} : n \in \omega\}.$$

of X . Let C be σ -compact subset of X . Then, $C \cap (D \times \{\omega\})$ is countable, since $D \times \{\omega\}$ is discrete closed in X . On the other hand, for each $n \in \omega$, $C \cap (Y \times \{n\})$ is countable in $Y \times \{n\}$, since $Y \times \{n\}$ is open and close in X . Thus, C is a countable subset of X . Since C is countable, then $\{\alpha : C \cap U_\alpha \neq \emptyset\}$ is countable, Hence, there exists a $\alpha_\omega \in \mathfrak{c}$ such that

$$C \cap U_\alpha = \emptyset \text{ for each } \alpha > \alpha_\omega.$$

If we pick $\alpha' > \alpha_\omega$. Then, $\langle d_{\alpha'}, \omega \rangle \notin St(C, \mathcal{U})$, since $U_{\alpha'}$ is the only element of \mathcal{U} containing $\langle d_{\alpha'}, \omega \rangle$ and $U_{\alpha'} \cap C = \emptyset$, which shows that X is not σ -starcompact. \square

Remark 2.3. The author does not know if there exists a normal \mathcal{L} -starcompact which is not σ -starcompact and a normal σ -starcompact space which is not star-Lindelöf.

3. PROPERTIES OF σ -STARCOMPACT SPACES

In Example 2.1, the closed subset $D \times \{\omega\}$ of X is not σ -starcompact, which shows that a closed subset of a σ -starcompact space need not be σ -starcompact. In the following, we construct an example which shows that a regular-closed G_δ -subspace of a σ -starcompact space need not be σ -starcompact.

Example 3.1. There exists a star-Lindelöf (hence, σ -starcompact) Tychonoff space having a regular-closed G_δ -subspace which is not σ -starcompact.

Proof. Let

$$S_1 = (Y \times (\omega + 1)) \setminus (\langle \infty, \omega \rangle).$$

be the same space as the space X in the proof of Example 2.2. As we prove above, S_1 is not σ -starcompact. Let $S_2 = \omega \cup \mathcal{R}$ be the Isbell-Mrówka space [7], where \mathcal{R} is a maximal almost disjoint family of infinite subsets of ω with $|\mathcal{R}| = \mathfrak{c}$. Then, S_2 is star-Lindelöf, since ω is a countable dense subset of S_2 . Hence, it is σ -starcompact.

We assume $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$. Let $\pi : D \times \{\omega\} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be a bijection and let X be the quotient image of the disjoint sum $S_1 \oplus S_2$ by identifying $\langle d_\alpha, \omega \rangle$ of S_1 with $\pi(\langle d_\alpha, \omega \rangle)$ of S_2 for each $\langle d_\alpha, \omega \rangle$ of $D \times \{\omega\}$. Let $\varphi : S_1 \oplus S_2 \rightarrow X$ be the quotient map. Then, $\varphi(S_1)$ is a regular-closed G_δ -subspace of X which is not σ -starcompact.

We shall show that X is star-Lindelöf. To this end, let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of X . Since $\varphi(\omega)$ is a countable dense subset of $\pi(S_2)$, then

$$\varphi(S_2) \subseteq St(\varphi(\omega), \mathcal{U}).$$

On the other hand, since $\varphi(Y \times \omega)$ is Lindelöf there exists a countable subset F_1 of $\varphi(Y \times \omega)$ such that $\varphi(Y \times \omega) \subseteq St(F_1, \mathcal{U})$. Let $F = \varphi(\omega) \cup F_1$. Then, $X = St(F, \mathcal{U})$. Hence, X is star-Lindelöf, which completes the proof. \square

We give a positive result:

Theorem 3.2. *An open F_δ -subset of a σ -starcompact space is σ -starcompact.*

Proof. Let X be an σ -starcompact space and let $Y = \cup\{H_n : n \in \omega\}$ be an open F_δ -subset of X , where the set H_n is closed in X for each $n \in \omega$. To show that Y is σ -starcompact, let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of Y . We have to find a σ -compact subset C of Y such that $St(C, \mathcal{U}) = Y$. For each $n \in \omega$, consider the open cover

$$\mathcal{U}_n = \mathcal{U} \cup \{X \setminus H_n\}$$

of X . Since X is σ -starcompact, there exists a σ -compact subset C_n of X such that $St(C_n, \mathcal{U}_n) = X$. Let $D_n = C_n \cap Y$. Since Y is a F_δ -set, D_n is σ -compact, and clearly $H_n \subseteq St(D_n, \mathcal{U})$. Thus, if we put $C = \cup\{D_n : n \in \omega\}$, then C is a σ -compact subset of Y and $St(C, \mathcal{U}) = Y$. Hence, Y is σ -starcompact. \square

A *cozero-set* in a space X is a set of the form $f^{-1}(R \setminus \{0\})$ for some real-valued continuous function f on X . Since a cozero-set is an open F_σ -set, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 3.3. *A cozero-set of a σ -starcompact space is σ -starcompact.*

Since a continuous image of a σ -compact space is σ -compact, then it is not difficult to show the following result.

Theorem 3.4. *A continuous image of a σ -starcompact space is σ -starcompact.*

Next, we turn to consider preimages. To show that the preimage of a σ -starcompact space under a closed 2-to-1 continuous map need not be σ -starcompact we use the Alexandroff duplicate $A(X)$ of a space X . The underlying set of $A(X)$ is $X \times \{0, 1\}$; each point of $X \times \{1\}$ is isolated and a basic neighborhood of a point $\langle x, 0 \rangle \in X \times \{0\}$ is of the form $(U \times \{0\}) \cup ((U \times \{1\}) \setminus \{\langle x, 1 \rangle\})$, where U is a neighborhood of x in X .

Example 3.5. There exists a closed 2-to-1 continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that Y is a σ -starcompact space, but X is not σ -starcompact.

Proof. Let Y be the space X in the proof of Example 2.1. Then Y is σ -starcompact and has the infinite discrete closed subset $F = D \times \{\omega\}$. Let X be the Alexandroff duplicate $A(Y)$ of Y . Then, X is not σ -starcompact, since $F \times \{1\}$ is an infinite discrete, open and closed set in X . Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be the natural map. Then, f is a closed 2-to-1 continuous map, which completes the proof. \square

Now, we give a positive result:

Theorem 3.6. *Let f be an open perfect map from a space X to a σ -starcompact space Y . Then, X is σ -starcompact*

Proof. Since $f(X)$ is open and closed in Y , we may assume that $f(X) = Y$. Let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of X and let $y \in Y$. Since $f^{-1}(y)$ is compact, there exists a finite subcollection \mathcal{U}_y of \mathcal{U} such that $f^{-1}(y) \subseteq \cup \mathcal{U}_y$ and $U \cap f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset$ for

each $U \in \mathcal{U}_y$. Pick an open neighbourhood V_y of y in Y such that $f^{-1}(V_y) \subseteq \cup\{U : U \in \mathcal{U}_y\}$, and we can assume that

$$(1) \quad V_y \subseteq \cap\{f(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}_y\}$$

because f is open. Taking such open set V_y for each $y \in Y$, we have an open cover $\mathcal{V} = \{V_y : y \in Y\}$ of Y . Hence, there exists a σ -compact subset C of Y such that $St(C, \mathcal{V}) = Y$, since Y is σ -compact. Since f is perfect, the set $f^{-1}(C)$ is a σ -compact subset of X . To show that $St(f^{-1}(C), \mathcal{U}) = X$, let $x \in X$. Then, there exists $y \in Y$ such that $f(x) \in V_y$ and $V_y \cap C \neq \emptyset$. Since

$$x \in f^{-1}(V_y) \subseteq \cup\{U : U \in \mathcal{U}_y\},$$

we can choose $U \in \mathcal{U}_y$ with $x \in U$. Then $V_y \subseteq f(U)$ by (1), and hence $U \cap f^{-1}(C) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, $x \in St(f^{-1}(C), \mathcal{U})$. Consequently, we have that $St(f^{-1}(C), \mathcal{U}) = X$. \square

By Theorem 3.6, we have the following Corollary 3.7.

Corollary 3.7. *Let X be a σ -starcompact space and Y a compact space. Then, $X \times Y$ is \mathcal{C} -starcompact.*

The following theorem is a generalization of Corollary 3.7.

Theorem 3.8. *Let X be a σ -starcompact space and Y a locally compact, Lindelöf space. Then, $X \times Y$ is σ -starcompact.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of $X \times Y$. For each $y \in Y$, there exists an open neighbourhood V_y of y in Y such that $cl_Y V_y$ is compact. By the Corollary 3.7, the subspace $X \times cl_Y V_y$ is σ -starcompact. Thus, there exists a σ -compact subset $C_y \subseteq X \times cl_Y V_y$ such that

$$X \times cl_Y V_y \subseteq St(C_y, \mathcal{U}).$$

Since Y is Lindelöf, there exists a countable cover $\{V_{y_i} : i \in \omega\}$ of Y . Let $C = \cup\{C_{y_i} : i \in \omega\}$. Then, C is a σ -compact subset of $X \times Y$ such that $St(C, \mathcal{U}) = X \times Y$. Hence, $X \times Y$ is σ -starcompact. \square

In the following, we give an example showing that the condition of the locally compact space in Theorem 3.8 is necessary.

Example 3.9. There exist a countably compact space X and a Lindelöf space Y such that $X \times Y$ is not σ -starcompact.

Proof. Let $X = \omega_1$ with the usual order topology. $Y = \omega_1 + 1$ with the following topology. Each point α with $\alpha < \omega_1$ is isolated and a set U containing ω_1 is open if and only if $Y \setminus U$ is countable. Then, X is countably compact and Y is Lindelöf. Now, we show that $X \times Y$ is not σ -starcompact. For each $\alpha < \omega_1$, let $U_\alpha = [0, \alpha] \times [\alpha, \omega_1]$, and $V_\alpha = [\alpha, \omega_1) \times \{\alpha\}$. Consider the open cover

$$\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\} \cup \{V_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$$

of $X \times Y$ and let C be a σ -compact subset of $X \times Y$. Then, $\pi_X(C)$ is a σ -compact subset of X , where $\pi_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ is the projection. Thus, there exists $\beta < \omega_1$ such that

$$\pi_X(C) \cap (\beta, \omega_1) = \emptyset$$

by the definition of the topology of X . Pick α_0 with $\alpha_0 > \beta$. Then, $V_{\alpha_0} \cap C = \emptyset$. If we pick $\alpha' > \alpha_0$, then $\langle \alpha', \alpha_0 \rangle \notin St(C, \mathcal{U})$ since V_{α_0} is the only element of \mathcal{U} containing $\langle \alpha', \alpha_0 \rangle$. Hence, $X \times Y$ is not σ -starcompact, which completes the proof. \square

The Theorem 3.9 also shows the product of two σ -starcompact spaces need not be σ -starcompact. Next, we give a well-known example showing that the product of two countably compact spaces need not be σ -starcompact. We give the proof roughly for the sake of completeness.

Example 3.10. There exist two countably compact spaces X and Y such that $X \times Y$ is not σ -starcompact.

Proof. Let D be a discrete space of the cardinality \mathfrak{c} . We can define $X = \cup_{\alpha < \omega_1} E_\alpha$, $Y = \cup_{\alpha < \omega_1} F_\alpha$, where E_α and F_α are the subsets of $\beta(D)$ which are defined inductively so as to satisfy the following conditions (1), (2) and (3):

- (1) $E_\alpha \cap F_\beta = D$ if $\alpha \neq \beta$;
- (2) $|E_\alpha| \leq \mathfrak{c}$ and $|F_\alpha| \leq \mathfrak{c}$;
- (3) every infinite subset of E_α (resp. F_α) has an accumulation point in $E_{\alpha+1}$ (resp. $F_{\alpha+1}$).

Those sets E_α and F_α are well-defined since every infinite closed set in $\beta(D)$ has the cardinality $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ (see [5]). Then, $X \times Y$ is not σ -starcompact, because the diagonal $\{\langle d, d \rangle : d \in D\}$ is a discrete open and closed subset of $X \times Y$ with the cardinality \mathfrak{c} and σ -starcompactness is preserved by open and closed subsets. \square

Example 3.11. There exist a separable space X and a Lindelöf space Y such that $X \times Y$ is not σ -starcompact.

Proof. Let $X = Y$ be the same space Y in the proof of Example 2.2. Then, Y is Lindelöf, however is not σ -starcompact. Let $Y = \omega \cup \mathcal{R}$ be the Isbell-Mrówka space [7], where \mathcal{R} is a maximal almost disjoint family of infinite subsets of ω with $|\mathcal{R}| = \mathfrak{c}$. Then, Y is separable. Since $|\mathcal{R}| = \mathfrak{c}$, then we can enumerate \mathcal{R} as $\{r_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$. To show that $X \times Y$ is not σ -starcompact. For each $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$, let $U_\alpha = \{d_\alpha\} \times Y$ and $V_\alpha = (X \setminus \{d_\alpha\}) \times (\{r_\alpha\} \cup r_\alpha)$. For $n \in \omega$, let $W_n = X \times \{n\}$. We consider the open cover

$$\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} \cup \{V_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} \cup \{W_n : n \in \omega\}$$

of $X \times Y$. Let C be a σ -compact subset of $X \times Y$. Then, $\pi_X(C)$ is a σ -compact subset of X , where $\pi_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ is the projection. Thus, there exists $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ such that $C \cap U_\alpha = \emptyset$. Hence, $\langle d_\alpha, r_\alpha \rangle \notin St(C, \mathcal{U})$ since U_α is the only element of \mathcal{U} containing $\langle d_\alpha, r_\alpha \rangle$. Hence, $X \times Y$ is not σ -starcompact. which completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.12. *Every Tychonoff space can be embedded in a σ -starcompact Tychonoff space as a closed G_δ -subspace.*

Proof. Let X be a Tychonoff space. If we put

$$Z = (\beta(X) \times (\omega + 1)) \setminus ((\beta(X) \setminus X) \times \{\omega\}),$$

then $X \times \{\omega\}$ is a closed subset of Z , which is homeomorphic to X . Since $\beta(D) \times \omega$ is a σ -compact dense subset of Z , then Z is σ -starcompact, which completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgements. The author is most grateful to the referee for his kind help and valuable suggestions

REFERENCES

- [1] E. K. van Douwen, G. M. Reed, A. W. Roscoe and I. J. Tree, *Star covering properties*, Topology Appl. **39** (1991), 71–103.
- [2] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Revised and completed edition, Heldermann Verlag., 1989. (1991), 255–271.
- [3] G. R. Hiremath, *On star with Lindelöf center property*, J. Indian Math. Soc. **59** (1993), 227–242.
- [4] W. M. Fleischman, *A new extension of countable compactness*, Fund. Math. **67** (1971), 1–9.
- [5] R. C. Walker, *The Stone-Čech compactification*, Berlin, 1974.
- [6] M. V. Matveev, *A survey on star covering properties*, Topology Atlas., preprint No. 330, 1998.
- [7] S. Mrówka, *On completely regular spaces*, Fund. Math. **41** (1954), 105–106.
- [8] Y.-K. Song, *On \mathcal{L} -starcompact spaces*, Czech. Math. J. **56** (2006), 781–788.

RECEIVED SEPTEMBER 2007

ACCEPTED NOVEMBER 2007

YAN-KUI SONG (songyankui@nju.edu.cn)
Department of Mathematics, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210097, P.
R. China.