

A note on a fixed point theorem for ray oriented maps

A. AROCKIASAMY AND A. ANTHONY ELDRED

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we will prove a fixed point theorem for a ray-oriented map defined on a nonempty closed bounded convex subset of a Banach space.

2000 AMS Classification: 47H10 , 54H25.

Keywords: Fixed point, ray - oriented map.

NOTATIONS

Let X be a Banach space and K be a non-empty subset of X . Let $T : K \rightarrow K$ be a mapping. Let R_x be the ray passing through the segment $\langle x, Tx \rangle$ and so $R_x := \{(1 - \lambda)x + \lambda Tx : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Let $\langle x, y \rangle$ be defined to be as $\{(1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y : \lambda \in [0, 1]\}$ and $(x, y) := \{(1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y : \lambda \in (0, 1)\}$. For any $x_1, x_2 \in R_x$, we say that $x_1 \leq x_2$ whenever $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ where $x_1 = (1 - \lambda_1)x + \lambda_1 Tx$ and $x_2 = (1 - \lambda_2)x + \lambda_2 Tx$ for some $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a normed linear space and let K be a nonempty closed bounded convex subset of X . Suppose $T : K \rightarrow K$ is a mapping satisfying the following conditions: (i). For some element x_0 of K , $R_{x_0} \cap K$ is invariant under T and (ii). For each element $x \in R_{x_0} \cap K$, $T|_{\langle x, Tx \rangle \cap K}$ is continuous. Then, we will prove that there exists $y_0 \in R_{x_0} \cap K$ such that $\langle y_0, Ty_0 \rangle \subseteq R_{x_0} \cap K$ is invariant under T .

Moreover, the above theorem will be followed by a corollary as in the following: Suppose $T : [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ is a mapping where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. If for each $x \in [a, b]$, the map T restricted to the segment joining x and Tx is continuous. Then we will prove that there exists an invariant interval under T and so it will have a fixed point in $[a, b]$. This result extends one dimensional Brouwer's result for a larger class of mappings which need not be continuous. Also one can find some similar treatment for the convergence of fixed point in the real line by Beardon [1]. For further important fixed point results one can refer to [2].

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a normed linear space and let K be a nonempty closed bounded convex subset of X . Suppose $T : K \rightarrow K$ is a mapping satisfying the following conditions:*

(1) *For some element x_0 of K , $R_{x_0} \cap K$ is invariant under T and*

(2) *For each element $x \in R_{x_0} \cap K$, $T|_{\langle x, Tx \rangle \cap K}$ is continuous*

Then, T has a fixed point in $R_{x_0} \cap K$.

Note: When we say $T|_{\langle x, Tx \rangle}$ is continuous, we mean that T is right continuous at x and left continuous at Tx if $x < Tx$.

Proof. Assume that the conclusion of the theorem is false. That is, T does not have a fixed point in $R_{x_0} \cap K$. Therefore, for every

$b \in R_{x_0} \cap K$, $\langle b, Tb \rangle$ is not invariant under T .

Fix $y_0 \in R_{x_0} \cap K$ and let $x_0 \in \langle y_0, Ty_0 \rangle$ such that $Tx_0 \notin \langle y_0, Ty_0 \rangle$.

Let $G_{x_0} = R_{x_0} \cap K$. Now we can easily prove that

$A = \{\lambda \in R : (1 - \lambda)x_0 + \lambda Tx_0 \in K\}$ is bounded.

Let $\alpha = \inf A$ and $\beta = \sup A$. Let $a = (1 - \alpha)x_0 + \alpha Tx_0$ and $b = (1 - \beta)x_0 + \beta Tx_0$. Therefore, there exists a sequence $\{\alpha_n\} \in A$ such that $\{\alpha_n\}$ converges to α . Hence $(1 - \alpha_n)x_0 + \alpha_n Tx_0$ converges to $(1 - \alpha)x_0 + \alpha Tx_0$. Therefore it is easy to see that $a \in G_{x_0}$ and $b \in G_{x_0}$. Hence $G_{x_0} = \{(1 - \lambda)a + \lambda b : 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1\}$. Now, define a map

$$g : G_{x_0} \longrightarrow G_{x_0}$$

by

$$g(z) = \begin{cases} x_0 & \text{if } z \leq x_0, \\ z & \text{if } z \in (x_0, Tx_0), \\ Tx_0 & \text{if } z \geq Tx_0, . \end{cases}$$

Since g and T are continuous,

$$goT : \langle x_0, Tx_0 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle x_0, Tx_0 \rangle$$

is also continuous.

Hence the map goT has a fixed point $z_0 \in \langle x_0, Tx_0 \rangle$.

Case 1: $z_0 = x_0$ Then

$$x_0 = z_0 = goT(z_0) = goT(x_0) = g(Tx_0) = Tx_0.$$

Hence $x_0 = Tx_0$, contradicting our assumption.

Case 2: $z_0 \in (x_0, Tx_0)$. If $Tz_0 \leq x_0$, then $z_0 = (goT)(z_0) = g(Tz_0) = x_0$, contradicting $z_0 \in (x_0, Tx_0]$.

If $Tz_0 \in \langle x_0, Tx_0 \rangle$, then $z_0 = (goT)(z_0) = g(Tz_0) = Tz_0$, again contradicting our assumption, $\langle z_0, Tz_0 \rangle$ is not invariant under T . Therefore,

$$(2.1) \quad Tz_0 \geq Tx_0$$

That is ,

$$(2.2) \quad z_0 = g \circ T(z_0) = g(Tz_0) = Tx_0$$

Substituting (2.2) in (2.1) we get

$$(2.3) \quad T^2x_0 \geq Tx_0$$

Now let us construct $B = \{x \in R_{x_0} \cap K : x < Tx < T^2x\}$.

Moreover it is bounded above and so it must have a least upper bound. Therefore let u be the least upper bound of B .

Then there exists $x_n \in B$ such that $x_n \rightarrow u$.

Suppose $Tu < u$, then there exists a positive integer N such that for all $n \geq N$, $x_n \in \langle u, Tu \rangle$. Then since $T|_{\langle u, Tu \rangle}$ is continuous, $Tx_n \rightarrow Tu$. Since $x_n < Tx_n$, $u \leq Tu$, a contradiction. Therefore, $u \leq Tu$.

Since $T|_{\langle u, Tu \rangle}$ is not invariant, by 2.3 we have $T^2u \geq Tu$.

Therefore, $u < Tu < T^2u$. Hence $u \in B$.

But again, $T^3u \geq T^2u$. Therefore, $u < Tu < T^2u < T^3u$.

Hence $Tu \in B$, which is a contradiction.

Therefore there exists a $y_0 \in R_{x_0} \cap K$ such that $T|_{\langle y_0, Ty_0 \rangle}$ is invariant. Hence T has a fixed point in $\langle y_0, Ty_0 \rangle$. \square

Corollary 2.2. *Suppose $T : [a, b] \rightarrow [a, b]$ is a mapping where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. For each element $x \in [a, b]$, $T|_{\langle x, Tx \rangle}$ is continuous. Then T has a fixed point in $[a, b]$.*

Remark 2.3. There exists a discontinuous mapping T satisfying the conditions of corollary 2.2. ($T : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $T(0) = 0$ and $T(x) = 1$ for $0 < x \leq 1$).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are indebted to Dr. S. Romaguera for his valuable comments on this paper. Moreover, the authors are grateful to Dr. P. Veeramani, Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai - 600 036 (India) and Dr. S. Somasundaram, Department of Mathematics, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli - 627 012 (India) for the knowledge they have acquired from the meaningful discussions with them.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. F. Beardon, *Contractions of the Real line*, The Mathematical Association of America, Monthly 113 (June-July 2006), 557-558.
- [2] M. A. Khamsi and W. A. Kirk, *An Introduction to Metric spaces and Fixed Point Theory*, A Wiley-interscience Publication, 2001.

RECEIVED FEBRUARY 2007

ACCEPTED OCTOBER 2007

A. AROCKIASAMY (arock_sj@rediffmail.com)

Department of Mathematics, St.Xavier's College, Palayamkottai, Tirunelveli-627 002, India.

ANTHONY ELDRED

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai-600 036, India.