

Breeding of frosthardy rhododendrons

M. UOSUKAINEN¹⁾ and P.M.A. TIGERSTEDT²⁾

¹⁾ *Agricultural Research Centre
Healthy Plant Center
SF-41340 Laukaa, Finland*

²⁾ *Department of Plant Breeding,
University of Helsinki
SF-00710 Helsinki, Finland*

Abstract. In 1973 a *Rhododendron* breeding program was started at the Department of Plant Breeding, University of Helsinki. The program was based on the extremely hardy rhododendron material that had been naturally selected at Arboretum Mustila. The aim was to create new frosthardy cultivars that could tolerate minimum temperatures below -35°C in mid-winter. *Rhododendron brachycarpum* subsp. *tigerstedtii* Nitz. was used as the maternal parent in the majority of crosses. Also *R. smirnowii* and *R. catawbiense* were used as sources for winter hardiness.

Totally 148 different combinations were done between species, species and hybrids and between hybrids. The total number of matings was 496. Over 20 000 seedlings were obtained and a total of 13 752 plants were planted in field trials on eight different test sites between 1975—1979.

After two extremely cold winters, 1984/85 and 86/87, about 60 % of the plants died or were severely damaged. *R. brachycarpum* subsp. *tigerstedtii* crosses with either *R. smirnowii* or *R. catawbiense* gave the best genetic material for better climatic adaptation. The progenies of these crosses survived without any severe damage when minimum temperature was as low as -37°C . Tissue culture methods were developed and used in vegetative propagation of selected ortets. By the end of 1987 six clones have been released as new cultivars for commercial propagation.

Index words: *Rhododendron* breeding, *R. brachycarpum* subsp. *tigerstedtii*, *R. smirnowii*, *R. catawbiense*, selection for cold tolerance

Introduction

Rhododendrons belong to the *Ericaceae*- (heath) family that consists of about 70 genera and 1900 species (LAWRENCE 1951). They are widely distributed on acid soils throughout

temperate regions of northern and southern hemispheres and to a lesser extent in the subarctic. A number of ericaceous species cover the coniferous forest floor of northern

Europe including Finland. However the genus *Rhododendron* is restricted to a single species, *R. lapponicum* L., naturally distributed in the subarctic under open tundra-like conditions. The genus *Rhododendron* comprises about 900 species in the cool and temperate regions of the northern hemisphere with a concentration of species, about 700, in the mountains of east and southeast Asia.

The genus *Rhododendron* was first recognised by Linnaeus in *Species Plantarum* in 1753. Recently there has been a total reversion of the genus into eight subgenera. Some of them are further split into sections and even subsections (CULLEN and CHAMBERLAIN 1980). In fact, a revision of azalea types is currently undertaken and may result in the division of these rhododendrons into seven sections.

Rhododendrons have been used as ornamentals in the gardens of central Europe ever since plant explorations began their introduction from China and other parts of the world early in the 19th century. A number of famous plant hunting expeditions (Forrest, Rock, Kingdon-Ward and others) in the beginning of the 20th century introduced a large number of new rhododendron species into the gardens of Europe, particularly from China and other parts of southeast Asia (KRÜSSMANN 1968). This also promoted plant breeding efforts to improve the ornamental value and hardiness in new hybrids. Species within sections of rhododendrons are easy to cross and new character combinations of growth habits and flower colours can be produced almost *ad libitum*. Intersectional crosses are however often difficult to make and resulting hybrids are often totally sterile. Sterility may depend on strictly morphological malformations, but also ploidy levels may vary from diploid to decaploid in different species thus causing hybrids to have genomic imbalance. For instance crosses between diploids and tetraploids give sterile triploid hybrids. Ploidy level manipulations by colchicine treatments give promising results to produce new fertile allopolyploid rhododendrons. Thus more than a hundred years of selection and hybridisation

has produced over 4 800 named »cultivars» (SALLEY and GREER 1986) and many more man made products have been left unregistered. Most of this plant breeding work has been done in the United Kingdom, United States and in various countries of central Europe. Thus the released cultivars are generally not hardy in northern Europe.

At present some 50 000 rhododendron plants are yearly imported to Finland (ANON. 1986). The imported plants are mainly winter green *Rhododendron catawbiense* Michaux and deciduous *R. japonicum* (A. Gray) Suring. The first mentioned is the most commonly cultivated rhododendron species in Finland. Only some plants, grown in southern and central Finland, have shown to be of adequate hardiness (KALLIO 1966). This presumably depends on genetic variation in hardiness between different individuals of the true species in combination with particularly favourable local growing conditions. The average winter minimum temperature that *R. catawbiense* can stand is -32°C (COX 1979). The minimum temperatures in southern and central Finland have been varying between $-30,6^{\circ}\text{C}$ at Hanko to $-38,5^{\circ}\text{C}$ at Jyväskylä (ILMATIETEEN LAITOS 1986). Also following cultivars are imported and grown regularly: 'Caractacus', 'Cunningham's White', 'Dr. H.C. Dresselhuys', 'F.D. Godman', 'Nova Zembla' and 'Scarlet Wonder'. The frost tolerance of their buds varies between -23°C and -32°C (SALLEY and GREER 1986).

It can be concluded that imported species and cultivars are generally not adequately adapted to the Finnish climate. Plants suffer particularly from cold spells below -30°C that damage flower buds or sometimes vegetative buds or whole shoots. The incentive to launch a major rhododendron breeding program in Finland is based on three major facts. Firstly, it has been well proven, that imported material is not adequately adapted to the climate. Secondly, it has been shown in arboretum and garden trials that there are species of rhododendron that can stand even the coldest of winters in Finland. Thirdly, erica-

ceous species are generally well suited to grow on the acidic forest soils and particularly on the organic peat soils in Finland.

The breeding program was started in 1973. It was based on the extremely hardy rhododendron material that during 40 years had been grown and naturally selected at Arboretum Mustila, south east Finland, 60 deg. 44 min. N. lat. and 26 deg. 29 min. E. long (TIGERSTEDT 1986). This collection was established by Dr. C.G. Tigerstedt who had a clear notion of the importance of seed origins and variability in hardiness between plants of a population. Thus introductions were, whenever possible, made in the form of a number of individuals representing the species. In the years 1930—1950 Mr. B. Schalin, city gardener in Helsinki, established a number of rhododendron plantations in the Helsinki city parks and on his private property near Helsinki (SCHALIN 1953). At the Brödorp plant nurseries, Mr. B. Knape started nursery production of rhododendrons and azaleas in 1953 (KNAPE 1984). Thus in 1966 KALLIO reported that 40 different species and cultivars had been grown in Finland. These plantations have shown that there are a number of rhododendron species that adapt fairly well to the climate in the south-central part of the country.

The aim of the program was to produce superior species hybrids and particularly to find desirable recombinants in populations of crosses between species and hybrids. Frosthardeness has invariably been the number one trait selected for, but in addition a lot of effort has been put on selection for different growth habits of the bush, including foliar characteristics. A whole spectrum of flower colours from pure white to deep red has also been a collective target including the details of flower morphology and inner colour and feature of the corolla.

Long term testing at Arboretum Mustila has shown that *R. brachycarpum* subsp. *tigerstedtii* Nitz. is an exceptionally hardy species (Fig. 1). This species was named after Dr. C.G. Tigerstedt, who introduced it to the world in

1935. That year he received a seed source originating from the Kongo-San mountains in eastern-central Korea. Plants withstood $-43,5^{\circ}\text{C}$ at the arboretum in February 1940 (TIGERSTEDT 1986). This species was the only tall, evergreen species that survived without any visible damage and flowered normally after the extreme cold winters 1984/85 and 1986/87 (UOSUKAINEN 1988). At Anjalan-koski, the official weather station of the Finnish Meteorological Institute, about 20 km from the arboretum, $-39,3^{\circ}\text{C}$ was recorded close to the ground in January 1985 and $-39,8^{\circ}\text{C}$ at the same spot in January 1987 (ILMATIETEEN LAITOS 1985a, 1987). According to COX (1979) it is probably the hardiest rhododendron in the world.

The exceptionally good adaptation of the »Tigerstedt» rhododendron is well documented in its ability to produce natural regeneration at Arboretum Mustila. Some of the natural seedlings have grown to large flowering shrubs. In fact, *R. brachycarpum* has been the only introduced rhododendron species able to reproduce generatively. At Arboretum Mustila there are several observations made on coniferous trees, indicating that the ultimate proof of good adaptation can be concluded from how well the generative cycle, including meiotic division and formation of pollen, functions in the new environment. The ability to regenerate naturally also indicates that the introduced species has found an ecological niche in its new environment.

Some other species of rhododendron are worth special mentioning for being hardy at Arboretum Mustila. The true form of *R. brachycarpum*, *R. metternichii*, and *R. smirnowii* are completely hardy under normal winter conditions. However, severe damage is caused by temperature around -35°C . According to COX (1979) they should stand about -26°C . Also so called Seidel-hybrids have been hardy at Mustila. Rudolf Seidel used *R. smirnowii*, *R. catawbiense* and *R. arboreum* in his hybrids produced in Germany at the beginning of this century (KRÜSSMANN 1968). Particularly *R. arboreum* contributed



Fig. 1. *Rhododendron brachycarpum* subsp. *tigerstedtii* is a tall white flowered bush. It has been used as the maternal parent in the majority of crosses in the breeding program.




Fig. 2. Meristem tip culture methods were developed and used for clonal propagation of the selected ortets.



Fig. 3. The crosses between *R. b.* subsp. *tigerstedtii* and *R. smirnowii* resulted in very winter hardy offsprings. The F1-hybrids were medium tall bushes with a fine growth habit, attractive foliage and pinkish white flowers.



Fig. 4. F1-hybrids from the cross between *R. b.* subsp. *tigerstedtii* and *R. yakushmanum* are dense, low bushes. They have pubescent leaves and young shoots and white or pinkish flowers.

deep red flower colours to his hybrids but it was also a source of frost sensitivity.

These species and hybrids are not generally available in commercial plant nurseries because of their unsatisfactory ornamental properties or difficulties in their vegetative propagation. Their flower colours vary from pink to almost pure white and the inflorescence is often small or loose. Also their often tall and loose growth habit causes problems already during the nursery cultivation. In addition the plants are often slow flowering, requiring several vegetative years before flowering commences.

The comparison of climatic constraints in various places where rhododendrons are grown show that in Finland, in northern Scandinavia and in East Baltic, rhododendrons meet the marginal limits of their survival (COX 1979, GALLE 1985). In south-central Finland weather conditions are extremely variable from year to year due to fluctuations between a maritime and continental climatic profile. Night frosts in early June and again in mid August, heat and drought spells in the summer and cold spells in the winter demand a very high adaptive tolerance of successful plants. This is particularly the case when



Fig. 5. The matings between a species and a hybrid, such as between *R. b.* subsp. *tigerstedtii* and *R. forrestii* var. *repens*-hybrid, gave very variable offsprings.



Fig. 6. Open pollinated seed was a very good source for variation and selection. The mortality among young plants and the number of weak individuals were very high particularly in the offsprings of so called Seidel-hybrids.



Fig. 7. The first named cultivar 'Elviira' originates from the cross *R. b.* subsp. *tigerstedtii* × *R. forrestii* var. *repens*-hybrid. It is a low, dense bush with red buds and flowers.



Fig. 8. In the extremely cold winters, 1984/85 and 1986/87, differences in winterhardiness between hybrid progenies became clearly visible. In the picture taken from the field trial at Mikkeli, the frostbitten line in the middle resulted from the cross *R. b.* subsp. *tigerstedtii* × *R. arboreum*.

growing conifers or evergreen rhododendrons. They may suffer heavily from desiccation in March—April when the solar radiation is already strong, evaporation from leaf surfaces is considerable, but water uptake is virtually nil due to frozen ground.

The taxonomic and systematic classification used in this presentation needs special commenting. Latin names and names of the cultivars have been taken from treatises by STEVENSON 1930, NITZELIUS 1970, COX 1979, ENCKE et al. 1980 SALLEY and GREER 1986 and KRÜSSMANN 1968. There has been a great confusion in the classification of the Japanese

Rhododendron species in Subsection Pontica. The confusion concerns *R. degronianum*, *R. metternichii* and *R. yakushmanum*. According to recent papers, these species are all either subspecies or varieties of *R. degronianum* (CHAMBERLAIN and DOLESHY 1987). Generally the older classification has been used in this paper, because of the difficulties in determining the correct names of the used parental individuals. Thus the paternal individuals used in the crosses carry the names that were in use in the rhododendron plantations from where the pollen was collected.

Parental breeding material

All crosses were made on mother plants selected among the hardiest species, hybrids and cultivars at Arboretum Mustila. Totally 53 mothers, belonging to 8 species and 27 hybrids were used.

The hardiest species and hybrids at Arboretum Mustila were initially used as pollinators. Also less hardy species at Mustila, but with more colourful flowering and more decorative foliage and growth habit were used as pollinators. Pollen was also procured from Bengt Schalin's garden. In 1975 new pollen sources was brought in from three rhododendron collections in south Sweden (Alnarp, Christinelund and Sofiero) and from the Royal Botanical Garden of Copenhagen in Denmark. In 1976 pollen was procured from the rhododendron collections of the Proefstation voor de Boomkwekerij at Boskoop in Holland. Totally 114 individuals belonging to 23 species and 48 hybrids were used as fathers in the crosses.

It is generally very easy to handle rhododendron pollen due to the fact that it can be preserved viable even at room temperature from several months up to a whole year. Thus species flowering at very different times can readily be hybridised. The total list of parentals used in the crosses is given in appendix 1.

Methods

Time table

The duration of different stages of the breeding program are illustrated in Table 1. The program was started in 1972 with preliminary crosses. On the bases of this orientation the first large scale hybridisation effort was made in 1973. The whole crossing plan was completed within six years. Progenies were raised from 1974 to 1980 simultaneously developing an optimal nursery technique. Subsequent field trials were established 1975—1980. First selections in the trials were made in 1978 and this work phase is planned to be

completed by 1989. A research program for optimizing micropropagation of rhododendrons was carried out in 1980—1984 and subsequently applied to cloning of selected hybrids. Thus the cloning of selected ortets was started in 1982 and this work phase is estimated to take nine years. The planting of clonal field trials was started in 1983 and the work is planned to be finished by 1990. The first cultivar was named in 1986 and the clonal selection for new cultivars is estimated to last from 1985 to 1995. Thus the breeding program proper is estimated to take about 24 years.

Pollination

Most of the hybrids were produced by controlled hand pollination. Flower buds were emasculated just before opening and isolated in terylene bags, specially designed for tree breeding work (Duraweld Ltd., U.K.). Pollination was done after 7—14 days when the stigma had opened and when a drop of sticky nectar revealed its readiness to accept pollen. In some cases seed after open pollination was collected as it was found that at the arboretum, pollination between species flowering simultaneously often takes place. Totally 496 cross batches were obtained in the breeding program (Appendix 2).

Hybrid progenies

Seeds were sown and progenies were raised using methods described by UOSUKAINEN (1976). The hybrid populations were planted at seven locations in southern and central Finland (Appendix 3). No plantations were done north of 65 deg. N. lat., which was considered to be the northern limit of garden rhododendrons. In the west — east direction, the climate becomes gradually more continental as the influence of the western Golf-stream gradually decreases and the influence of the vast land masses to the east of Finland increases. These facts were taken into account in selection of experimental sites for the winter

Table 1. Timetable of the breeding program from the first crosses to the release of new cultivars.

Year	Stage of program	Duration of stage												
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9			
1972	Preliminary work	0												
1973	Crosses	1												
1974	Raising of progeny	2												
1975	Planting of progeny tests	3												
1976														
1977														
1978	Selection of progeny	4												
1979														
1980	Research program in micropropagation .	5												
1981														
1982	Cloning of ortets	6												
1983	Planting of clone tests	7												
1984														
1985	Clone selection	8												
1986	New cultivars	9												
1987														
1988														
1989														
1990														
1991														
1992														
1993														
1994														
1995														

Total tenure of breeding program: 24 years.

hardiness trials. Also the hybrid populations planted were classified in hardiness groups avoiding the planting of sensitive groups in the most severe test locations.

The experimental sites also represented varying edaphic conditions. Soil types varied from glacial moraine and podsollic woodland soils to drained sphagnum swamp. All sites had a coniferous crown canopy of Scots Pine or Norway Spruce to prevent direct solar radiation.

Selection criteria

The most important selection criterion was adaptation of hybrid plants to climatic constraints. This involves several single traits, such as low temperature tolerance, growth initiation and cessation periods and drought resistance. The duration of field testing was determined to 10—15 years depending on the occurrence of critical »bottleneck» years (Ti-

GERSTEDT 1970). In addition following characters were considered in selection:

— Flowering characters: colours, flower and inflorescens shape, durability of flower clusters and their weather resistance, flowering period, first flowering, flowering propensity.

— Foliage characters: colour, position, shape, morphology, ageing and their resistance to nutrient disorders.

— Growth habit: creeping, shrublike, tree-like, compact or loose.

— Phenology: vegetative and generative cycles.

— General plant health.

— Ageing of plants.

Cloning of selected material

In 1981 a research project was started in order to match each selected hybrid to an optimal meristem tip culture method (UOSUKAI-

NEN and NISKANEN 1985). This was necessary as it was found, that genotypes reacted differently to hormones and nutritional components in the culture medium. The selection and vegetative propagation (Fig. 2) of the hybrids is illustrated in figure 9. The vegetative propagation terminology used (ortet — ramet — clone) is adopted from tree breeding (ZOBEL and TALBERT 1984). From each ortet 10—20 ramets were planted for clone testing in Helsinki, at Överby, at Kaarina, at Mäntsälä, at Arboretum Mustila, at Jyväskylän and at Haapamäki (Appendix 3.). These clonal trials were started in 1983.

Results

The total number of matings in the breeding program was 496. There were 442 cross-pollinated batches that consisted of 148 different combinations between species, species and hybrids and between hybrids (Table 2 and Appendix 2). In addition there were 30 self-pollinated batches, 14 controls (no pollination) and 10 openpollinated seed batches. (Table 3). More than 20 000 seedlings were obtained from the seed batches and about 25 % of them died during the first year. There were also plenty of week individuals that did not survive transplanting outdoors.

Between 1975—1979 a total of 13 752 plants were planted in field trials on eight different test sites. By 1982, 37 % of these plants had died (Table 4) due to different reasons; unfavourable planting sites, replantings, theft and failure of care during the establishment of field trials. During the first 5—9 years after planting, poor winterhardiness was seldom the actual reason for mortality. Selection in the trials was started about three years after planting, when most plants had reached the height of 75 cm and thus their shoots were no longer sheltered by snow cover in mid-winter.

The frosthardiness of the breeding material was tested for the first time during the winter 1984/1985 and again two years later during in 1986/1987. These two winters were in many test sites the coldest in this century

Table 2. Number of different combinations and number of crosses (batches in parentheses), which were made in the breeding program.

Maternal	Paternal		Total
	Species	Hybrid	
Species	41 (174) (62 intra specific) (112 inter specific)	51 (98)	92 (272)
Hybrid	27 (108)	29 (62)	56 (170)
Total	68 (282)	80 (160)	148 (442)

Table 3. Number of self pollinated, control and open pollinated seed batches.

	Type of pollination			Total
	Self *	Controls **	Open ***	
Species	23	6	3	32
Hybrids	7	8	7	22
Total	30	14	10	54

* Self pollination = the emasculated and isolated flowers with pollen from the same individual.

** Controls = emasculatation, isolation or no isolation, no pollination.

*** Open pollination = without emasculatation and controlled handpollination.

Table 4. Number of planted hybrids in the field trials and the percentage of survival after establishment (1982) and after the first and second »bottleneck» winters (1985 and 1987).

Test sites	Number of planted Hybrids	Survival % of plants		
		1982	1985	1987
Mustila	713	61	46	42
Helsinki 1	1 600	68	31	26
Helsinki 2	2 917	74	57	51
Vähämäki	751	94	47	40
Piikkiö	3 129	69	59	54
Lappeenranta	211	92	84	80
Mikkeli	2 475	72	57	41
Oulu	1 995	13	5	1,5
Total	13 752			
Mean %		63,5	46,2	39,3

Helsinki 1 = Kaivopuisto.

Helsinki 2 = Haaga.

(Table 5). During these winters 30 % of the breeding material was either severely damaged or killed (Table 4). In winter 1986/87 particularly, there was severe root damage due to thin snow coverage during the lowest temperature period. In January 1987 the snow cover was only 10–20 cm in southern and central Finland (ILMATIETEEN LAITOS 1987).

There were large sib-group differences between the offsprings from different mother species and cultivars. Winter survival was invariably superior in the offsprings of *R. brachycarpum* subsp. *tigerstedtii*. Particularly hardy were its progenies after crossing with *R. smirnowii*. These hybrids survived 100 % on all test sites and over 80 % had no visible damages (Fig. 3). Even the flower buds tolerated -36°C without damage. Also »Tigerstedt» progenies after crosses with *R. catawbiense* and its variety *album* 'Glass' gave very hardy F1-hybrids. In Helsinki, all individuals of these crosses survived, 22 % without damage, 56 % slightly damaged and 22 % with severe damages on their leaves, buds and branches. However, the reciprocal crosses indicated a strong maternal effect for hardiness inherited from the »Tigerstedt» rhododendron mother.

The matings between »Tigerstedt» and a number of other species gave somewhat less hardy offsprings than the particular ones mentioned above. Crosses with *R. metternichii* and *R. yakushmanum* gave offsprings (Fig. 4) that survived -37°C but mostly with slight or even severe damages. All crosses with *R. williamsianum*, *R. wardii* or *R. orbiculare* as fathers gave offsprings with poor winter hardiness. Plants were often killed within two or three years after planting in test fields.

The matings between two hybrids or between a species and a hybrid gave extremely variable offsprings (Fig. 5). There was large variation both in morphology, flowering characteristics and in frost tolerance. Mortality was generally high in recombinant plant populations but very interesting material could be selected from such groups for further clone testing.

Table 5. The minimum temperatures at seven weather stations near the test sites (ILMATIETEEN LAITOS 1985 (a,b) and 1987).

Weather stations	Lowest recorded min.temp. °C	Minimum temp. °C 1984/85	Minimum temp. °C 1986/87
Mustila	-37,3	-33,2	-37,3
Piikkiö	-36,7	-36,7	-34,5
Helsinki 1	-34,3	-26,5	-34,3
Helsinki 2 (-52)	-35,9	-31,8	-35,9
Lappeenranta	-38,3	-31,0	-36,8
Mikkeli	-42,4	-34,7	-36,8
Oulu (-53)	-40,1	-36,5	-37,5

Mustila: Weather station of Anjalankoski.

Helsinki 1: Weather station of Kaisaniemi.

Helsinki 2: Weather station of Helsinki—Vantaa airport.

Open pollinated seed, collected at the Arboretum Mustila rhododendron valley, was a very good source for variation and selection. The mortality was very high particularly in the offsprings of *R. smirnowii* and the so called Seidel-hybrids (Fig. 6). In many cases the offsprings died already as seedlings due to fungal diseases, such as *Botrytis cinerea*. Some of these recombinant populations died totally in the field within the first five years. Among the surviving recombinants, beautiful flower colours and shapes were often combined with satisfactory winter hardiness.

By the end of 1987 about 80 individuals from hybrid populations had been selected to be used as ortets for micropropagation. The ramets were planted in clonal trials for further selection. By the end of 1987 a total of 2 410 ramets from 35 ortets have been planted in the field for repeated clone testing. Six hybrid clones have been released as named cultivars to commercial production. There are two red flowered hybrids, 'Elviira' (Fig. 7) and 'Helliikki', two hybrids with pink flowers, 'Haaga' and 'Universitas Helsingiensis 350' and two white hybrids, 'St. Michel' H42 and 'P.M.A. Tigerstedt'.

Discussion

Evergreen rhododendrons are typically

plant species that prefer maritime climates. In Europe, rhododendron breeding and use is concentrated to Ireland and the U.K., the Benelux and coastal northwest Germany. In North America the Pacific Northwest is the optimal area with some additional areas on the east coast. In Asia, Japan is the center of breeding and use although they have concentrated their efforts on the deciduous azaleas.

Extremely low winter temperatures, below -35°C , under conditions of little snow coverage is particularly detrimental to flower buds of rhododendrons. Critical low temperatures for flower bud damage may vary within species depending on the seed origin. Just as in conifers, a difference of one degree latitude or 100 m altitude may be critical for frost hardiness. Thus it is obviously somewhat uncritical to classify species of rhododendrons as hardy or not hardy in a certain location without simultaneously noting the seed origin, including the specific site from where seed was initially procured.

Particular attention must here be given to the seed origins of *Rhododendron brachycarpum*. There seem to be controversial opinions about the taxonomic classification of this species and some scientists have questioned the justification to separate it into subspecies (CHAMBERLAIN and DOLESHY 1987). From a strictly population genetic aspect, it may well be that this species varies gradually (clinal variation) within its natural distribution in Japan and Korea. Thus the subspecies *tigerstedtii* may well represent the extreme end of a cline where hardiness and even morphological traits deviate considerably from the typical *R. brachycarpum*.

The fact that there is considerable genetic variation in hardiness of different seed sources of a species is worth special consideration from the plant breeder. In fact, there may even be variation in hardiness between individuals belonging to the same seed source. Thus the breeder must *a priori* select the basic material for hybridization from seed sources originating from climatically marginal low-temperature areas of the species. Secondly, the


breeder may considerably improve hardiness breeding by using parental material that has already been naturally selected for winter hardiness in a new critical environment. This was the case in the present rhododendron breeding program, where the parental material, particularly on the maternal side, has been naturally selected in the arboretum for periods up to 40 years. Particularly hybrid populations, where both parents had been through selection at Arboretum Mustila exhibited outstanding climatic adaptation. Much hardiness was usually lost by using imported pollen from ornamentally interesting plants that had grown in better climatic conditions in southern Scandinavia or central Europe.

Critical low temperatures also damage the vegetative bud, although its hardiness is usually greater than that of the flower bud. Ultimately low temperatures may damage or kill annual shoots thus totally destroying rhododendron plants. This often occurs only after plants have grown above the snow cover, to a height of 50–100 cm in south — central Finland (Fig. 8).

In addition to the direct effects of low temperature on bud or shoot damage, evergreen rhododendrons may, as mentioned above, indirectly suffer from low temperatures due to frozen ground and subsequent desiccation. This »sunburn-effect» usually occurs in late winter or early spring when the ground is still frozen, but solar radiation is already intensive, particularly due to the strong reflection from the white snow around the plants. This particular condition is typical of the Finnish climate. In fact the Finnish language has a special word (ahava) for this kind of »sunburn-effect». It is particularly fatal to evergreen rhododendrons that grow on south slopes under heavy solar radiation but it may even damage indigenous evergreen conifers.

From the description above we conclude, that rhododendron field trials following hybrid breeding must continue at least until the test plants reach a height well over the snow cover. This may require a test period of at least 10 years for high-bush types, while this


CROSSES



M I C R O P R O P A G A T I O N


Test sites:

Helsinki
Jyväskylä
Kaarina
Mustila
Mäntsälä



Selection of clones:

The release of new cultivars:



Commercial production

Fig. 9. Selection of ortets in hybrid progenies, micropropagation of ramets, planting of clone tests and the release of new cultivars.

question may be uninteresting for procumbent growth types.

However, observations at Arboretum

Mustila have indicated, that each 15-year period during this century has experienced at least one critical year for determining woody plant

hardiness. In the rhododendron program we have been lucky to have two such years with one year interval, but generally a 10—15 year test period is mandatory so that progeny testing may with reasonable precision determine hardiness of new cultivars.

Breeding for extreme hardiness is inevitably a very long-term enterprise. It actually starts with the geographical selection of marginal seed sources of wild species. The seed sources are then grown as plant populations in the experimental area (Arboretum) whereby natural conditions further select hardy individuals within the population. This phase of »passive breeding» should take the population through at least one critical »bottleneck» year at a stage when the plants are already far above snow coverage. The rhododendrons used in the breeding program at Arboretum Mustila were introduced in the 1930s and have gone through 3—4 very critical winter periods where natural selection has been ruthless. Hybrids produced in the breeding program have now been in field trials for 8—13 years and breeder selection is still going on. Selected and cloned material has been out in replicated field trials (clone tests) for about 5 years. These tests are planned to continue until 1995 (Table 1).

Considerable time-saving can be achieved in the breeding program by effective use of meristematic cloning. Field trials of new hybrids must also be given proper time (10—15 years) to obtain reliable hardiness selection and also to select for ornamental

values. However, meristematic cloning of possible cultivar candidates (ortets) can be started during the field trial period so that material is available for replicated clonal testing once the selection decision has been made. Also optimal cloning methods can be worked out individually for each cultivar candidate so that they are available when cultivar decision is made.

Deliberate breeding for climatic adaptation, such as hardiness, is a long process, often covering half a century to be on a firm genetic resource basis. In the rhododendron breeding program described here, the crosses between *R. brachycarpum* subsp. *tigerstedtii* and either *R. smirnowii* or *R. catawbiense* gave the best genetic material for the future efforts to breed for better climatic adaptation.

Acknowledgements. We wish particularly to express our gratitude to Mrs. Sylvi Lehtonen for her valuable assistance, professional skill, enthusiasm and personal devotion to this program.

We are grateful to Arboretum Mustila for allowing us to use the living collection of rhododendrons for the breeding program.

We wish also to express our gratitude to the Academy of Finland and to the horticultural research foundation of Nikolai and Ljudmila Borisoff for financing this research program.

We are also grateful to the City Park Department of Helsinki, the City Park Department of Mikkeli, the Department of Horticulture at the Agricultural Research Centre, the Research Farm Kotkaniemi of Kemira Oy, the University of Oulu and to Mr. Niilo Karhu. They have all given us land for the field trials of the hybrid progenies. Without their help in planting and caring of the test fields the establishment of the field trials in their present extent would not have been possible.

References

- ANON. 1986. Maatilahallituksen tuontitilastot. (Import statistics. Govt. Agric. Bureau). Helsinki.
- CHAMBERLAIN, D.F. & DOLESHY, F. 1987. Japanese members of *Rhododendron* subsection *Pontica*: Distribution and classification. *J. Jap. Bot.* 8: 225—243.
- COX, P.A. 1979. The larger species of rhododendron. 352 p. London.
- CULLEN, J. & CHAMBERLAIN, D.F. 1980. A preliminary synopsis of the genus *Rhododendron*. *Notes R. Bot. Gard. Edinburg* 36: 105—126.
- ENCKE, F., BUCHHEIM, G. & SEYBOLD, S. 1980. *Zander Handwörterbuch der Pflanzennamen*. 844 p. Stuttgart.
- GALLE, F. 1985. *Azaleas*. 438 p. Oregon.
- ILMATIETEEN LAITOS 1985a. (Finnish Meteorological Institute). *Kuukausikatsaus Suomen ilmastoon*. Tammikuu 1985. 79: 1.
- 1985b. (Finnish Meteorological Institute). *Kuukausikatsaus Suomen ilmastoon*. Helmikuu 1985. 79: 1.
- 1986. (Finnish Meteorological Institute). *Kuukausikatsaus Suomen ilmastoon*. Yhteenveto 1986. 80: 1.

- 1987. (Finnish Meteorological Institute). Kuukausikatsaus Suomen ilmastoon. Tammikuu 1987. 81: 1.
- KALLIO, T.K. 1966. Koristepuiden ja -pensaiden levinneisyydestä ja menestymisestä Suomessa. Ann. Agric. Fenn. 5, Suppl. 1. 107 p. Helsinki. (In Finnish with German summary).
- KNAPE, B. 1984. Sädd- och odlingsförsök med Rhododendron i Brödtorp 1953—1983 (Sowing and cultivation experiments on rhododendrons at Brödtorp, SW Finland, in 1953—1983). Sorbifolia 15: 27—33.
- KRÜSSMANN, G. 1968. Rhododendren, andere immergrüne Laubgehölze und Koniferen. 190 p. Hamburg, Berlin.
- 1986. Manual of cultivated broad-leaved trees & shrubs. Vol III, PRU-Z. 510 p. London.
- LAWRENCE, G.H.M. 1951. Taxonomy of vascular plants. 823 p. New York.
- NITZELIUS, T.G. 1970. *Rhododendron brachycarpum* D. Don ex G. Don ssp. *tigerstedtii*, eine neue Unterart. Deut. Baumschule 22: 207—212.
- SALLEY, H.E. & GREER, H.E. 1986. Rhododendron hybrids. A guide to their origins. 391 p. London.
- SCHALIN, B. 1953. Koristepensaista kauneimmat. 141 p. Porvoo, Helsinki.
- STEVENSON, J.B. 1930. The species of Rhododendron. Rhodod. Soc. 855 p. Edinburgh.
- TIGERSTEDT, P.M.A. 1970. Dendrological Experiments at Arboretum Mustila. Lustgården 1970: 141—174. (In Swedish with English summary).
- 1986. Arboretum Mustila. Publ. Finnish Dendrol. Soc. Vol. 2. 28 p. Helsinki.
- UOSUKAINEN, M. 1976. Alppiruusun (*Rhododendron* sp.) siemenlisäys ja taimikasvatus. Dendrologian Seuran Tiedotuksia 7: 44—50.
- & NISKANEN, A.-M. 1985. Meristem tip culture of woody perennials. Hereditas, Suppl. Vol. 3: 153—154.
- 1988. Utvintringskador på *Rhododendron* och andra *Ericaceae* växter vintern 1984/85. Manuscr. 6 p. (Available at Agric. Res. Centre, Laukaa, Finland).
- ZOBEL, B.J. & TALBERT, J.T. 1984. Applied forest tree improvement. 505 p. New York, Chichester, Brisbane, Toronto, Singapore.

Talvenkestävien alppiruusujen jalostus

M. Uosukainen¹⁾ ja P.M.A. Tigerstedt²⁾

¹⁾ Maatalouden tutkimuskeskus
Tervetaimiasema
41340 Laukaa

²⁾ Kasvinjalostustieteen laitos
Helsingin yliopisto
00710 Helsinki

Alppiruusut (*Rhododendron* sp.) kuuluvat *Ericaceae*-eli kanervakasvien heimoon ja sukuun kuuluu lähes 900, etupäässä aasialaista lajia. Alppiruusujen jalostus käynnistyi Keski-Euroopassa ja Pohjois-Amerikassa 1800-luvun loppupuolella. Kohta sata vuotta kestäneen jalostustyön tuloksena on yli 4 800 nimettyä lajiketta rekisteröity virallisesti. Suuresta lukumäärästä huolimatta ei näiden lajikkeiden joukosta löydy Pohjois-Euroopassa hyvin menestyviä lajikkeita. Heikosta talvenkestävyydestä huolimatta tuodaan Suomeen vuosittain noin 50 000 alppiruusun tainta Keski-Euroopan taimitarhoista.

Helsingin yliopiston kasvinjalostustieteen laitoksella käynnistettiin vuonna 1973 alppiruusujen (*Rhododendron* sp.) jalostusohjelma, joka perustui Mustilan arboretumin kestävään alppiruusuaineistoon. Erityisen kestäväksi alppiruusuksi osoittautui korealainen kookas, valkeakukkainen laji *R. brachycarpum* subsp. *tigerstedtii* Nitz. eli mustilanalppiruusu. Erinomaisen talvenkestävyytensä vuoksi tämä laji valittiin jalostusohjelman keskeiseksi lajiksi. Pyrkimyksenä oli yhdistää mustilanalppiruusun talvenkestävyys ja muiden sitä aiempien lajien ja lajikkeiden koristeellinen kasvutapa ja kaunis kukinta. Muita keskeisiä lajeja ohjelmassa olivat *R. catawbiense*, *R. metternichii*, *R. smirnowii* ja *R. yakushmanum*.

Risteytysohjelmassa käytettiin äiteinä kaikkiaan 53 Mustilan arboretumissa kasvavaa alppiruusuyskilöä. Ne kuuluivat 8 lajiin ja 27 niistä oli hybridejä. Pölyttäjinä käytettiin kaikkiaan 114 yksilöä, joista osa oli Mustilan arboretumista tai muista suomalaisista alppiruusukokoelmista ja osa siittepölystä haettiin Ruotsista, Tanskasta ja Hollannista. Pölyttäjäjoukossa oli 23 lajia ja 48 hybridiä. Käytetyt hybridit on lueteltu liitteessä I.

Risteytysohjelmassa tehtiin kaikkiaan 148 erilaista lajien, lajien ja hybridien ja hybridien välistä risteytystä. Niiden lisäksi tehtiin 30 itsepölytysä sekä 14 kontrolleriä, joissa emaskuloitua kukintoa ei pölytetty. Vapaapölytteisiä erä oli 10. Kaikkiaan risteytysohjelmassa oli 496 risteytysä. Risteytysten tuloksena saatiin yli 20 000 siementainta, joista ensimmäisen elinvuoden aikana kuoli noin 25 %.

Jälkeläiskokeet perustettiin seitsemälle paikkakunnalle: Elimäelle, Helsinkiin, Piikkiöön, Kotkaniemen Vähä-

mäkeen, Mikkeliin, Lappeenrantaan sekä Ouluun. Vuosina 1975—79 jälkeläiskokeisiin istutettiin kaikkiaan noin 14 000 tainta. Vuoteen 1982 mennessä oli istutetusta aineistosta kuollut 37 % eri syistä johtuen. Huono talvenkestävyys oli ensimmäisinä vuosina vain harvoin syynä taimien menehtymiseen; useimmiten syynä oli epäsojiva istutuspaikka tai taimien väärä hoito istutusten jälkeen. Hyvin usein taimia myös varastettiin koepaikoilta.

Aineiston valinta käynnistyi, kun taimet olivat noin 75 cm:n korkuisia, eli niiden latvat olivat lumipeitteen yläpuolella keskitalvellakin. Tärkein valintaperuste oli ilmastollinen sopeutuminen, kuten pakkasenkestävyys, hallankestävyys ja kasvukaudenaikaisen kuivuuden kestävyys. Muita valintaperusteita olivat kukintaominaisuudet, lehdistön koristeellisuus, pensaan kasvutapa, kasvullisten ja suvullisten jaksojen rytmittyminen, kasvien terveys ja kasvien ikääntyminen.

Risteytysaineiston talvenkestävyyttä testattiin kylminä talvina 1984/85 ja 1986/87. Nämä kaksi talvea olivat useimmilla koepaikkakunnilla vuosisadan kylmimmät. Erityisesti talvella 1986/87 esiintyi poikkeuksellisen runsaasti juuristovaurioita. Mainittujen kahden kylmän talven seurauksena noin 30 % risteytysaineistosta kuoli tai vaurioitui niin pahoin, että ne poistettiin koekentiltä.

Risteytysjälkeläistöjen kesken oli huomattavia eroja talvenkestävyydessä. Mustilanalppiruusun (*R. b.* subsp. *tigerstedtii*) jälkeläiset olivat talvenkestävyydeltään selvästi parhaimmat. Kun se risteytettiin nukka-alppiruusun (*R. smirnowii*) kanssa saatiin erityisen kestäviä jälkeläistöjä. Samoin, kun pölyttäjäinä käytettiin puistoalppiruusua (*R. catawbiense*), saatiin hyvin kestäviä, joskaan ei kovin koristeellisia jälkeläisiä. Resiprookkiset risteytykset osoittivat mustilanalppiruusun voimakkaan äidinvaikutuksen talvenkestävyyden periytymisessä. Sen sijaan sen kookas kasvutapa ei periytynyt voimakkaasti jälkeläistöön. Risteytyksistä kääpiölajikkeiden kanssa saatiin hyvin hillittykasvuisia, usein kasvutavaltaan kompakteja hybridijälkeläisiä.

Risteytysaineistosta valittiin kaikkiaan noin 80 paras-ta hybridi yksilöä tarkempia lajikekokeita varten. Niiden lisäämiseksi kehitettiin meristeemilisyysmenetelmä, jonka avulla kloonattiin lajikekokeita varten tarvittavat tai-

met. Lajikekokeita alettiin perustaa vuonna 1983 seitsemälle paikkakunnalle Etelä- ja Keski-Suomeen. Vuoden 1987 loppuun mennessä kuusi hybridi-kioonia oli laskettu kaupalliseen lisäykseen. Lajikkeet 'Elviira' ja 'Helliikki' olivat punakukkaisia, 'Haaga' ja 'Universitas Helsingiensis 350' olivat vaaleanpunakukkaisia sekä 'St. Michel' H42 ja 'P. M. A. Tigerstedt' olivat valkeakukkaisia.

Jalostusohjelman tuloksena on Suomeen saatu alppiruusulajikkeisto, jonka alhaisten lämpötilojen kestävyys on ainakin -35°C ja osa lajikkeista kestäää jopa -40°C :een pakkasia ilman mainittavia vaurioita. Täten

alppiruusujen viljelyaluetta voidaan Euroopassa ja Amerikassa laajentaa sekä pohjoiseen että ilmastoiaan mantereisille alueille.

Monivuotisten puuvartisten koristekasvien jalostus vaatii runsaasti aikaa. Alppiruusun jalostusohjelma vie arviolta noin 20 vuotta. Talvenkestävyyden testauksessa kenttäolosuhteissa on otettava huomioon se, että Suomessa esiintyy keskimäärin 10—15 vuoden välein ns. »pullonkauluvuosi», eli normaalia kylmempi vuosi. Täten jälkeläiskokeita suunniteltaessa on niiden kesto arvioitava siten, että ainakin yksi »pullonkauluvuosi» osuu kokeen ajaksi.

Appendix 1. Parental species, subspecies and hybrids that have been used in the breeding program. The maternals are all from Arboretum Mustila. The paternals originate from seven different *Rhododendron* collections. Names are according to collection labelling.

1. Maternal plants at Arboretum Mustila:

Names	Number of individuals
Species and subspecies:	
<i>R. brachycarpum</i> D. Don ex G. Don	2
<i>R. brachycarpum</i> subsp. <i>tigerstedtii</i> Nitz.	10
<i>R. catawbiense</i> Michaux	1
<i>R. dichroanthum</i> subsp. <i>scyphocalyx</i> (Balf.f. & Forrest) Cowan	1
<i>R. japonicum</i> Suringar	1
<i>R. luteum</i> Sweet	1
<i>R. schlippenbachii</i> Maxim.	2
<i>R. smirnowii</i> Trautv.	8
	Total 26
Hybrids:	
<i>R.</i> 'Dr. H.C. Dresselhuys', (den Ouden, 1920)	1
<i>R.</i> 'English Roseum', (A. Waterer)	1
<i>R.</i> 'Roseum Elegans', (A. Waterer, before 1851)	1
<i>R.</i> Catawbiense-hybrid (dark red, n:o 42 at Mustila)	1
<i>R.</i> × <i>fraseri</i> W. Wats. (= <i>R.</i> 'Fraseri')	1
<i>R.</i> Oreodoxa-hybrid, (n:o 66 at Mustila)	1
<i>R.</i> Smirnowii Seidel-hybrids (Seedlings from 1930's)	17
<i>R.</i> Smirnowii-hybrid, (n:o 76 at Mustila)	1
<i>R.</i> Williamsianum-hybrid, (n:o 6 at Mustila)	1
<i>R.</i> hybrid (bright red, n:o 16 at Mustila)	1
<i>R.</i> hybrid (dark red, n:o 20 at Mustila)	1
	Total 27
Number of mother plants: 53	

2. Paternal species and hybrids:

Names	Number of individuals
2.1. Arboretum Mustila:	
Species:	
<i>R. adenophorum</i> Balf. f. & W. W. Sm.	1
<i>R. brachycarpum</i> D. Don ex G. Don	2
<i>R. brachycarpum</i> subsp. <i>tigerstedtii</i> Nitz.	16
<i>R. catawbiense</i> Michaux	1
<i>R. catawbiense</i> Michaux var. <i>album</i> Glass	1
<i>R. dichroanthum</i> subsp. <i>scyphocalyx</i> (Balf.f. & Forrest) Cowan	1
<i>R. luteum</i> Sweet	1
<i>R. metternichii</i> S. & Z.	2
<i>R. schlippenbachii</i> Maxim.	2
<i>R. smirnowii</i> Trautv.	9
	Total 36

Hybrids:

<i>R.</i> 'Cunningham's White' (Cunningham, 1850)	1
<i>R.</i> 'Dr. H.C. Dresselhuys' (den Ouden, 1920)	1
<i>R.</i> 'English roseum' (A. Waterer)	1
<i>R.</i> 'Scarlet Wonder' (Hobbie/Le Feber 1960)	1
<i>R.</i> Brachycarpum-hybrid (bright red n:o 81)	1
<i>R.</i> Catawbiense 'Album'	1
<i>R.</i> Repens-hybrid (N:o 92, Mustila)	1
<i>R.</i> × <i>fraserii</i> (W. Wats.)	1
<i>R.</i> Orbiculare-hybrid (N:o 8, Mustila)	1
<i>R.</i> Oreodoxa-hybrid (N:o 66, Mustila)	1
<i>R.</i> Seidel's hybrid (N:o 57, Mustila)	1
<i>R.</i> Smirnowii Seidel-hybrids (Mustila)	11
<i>R.</i> Smirnowii-hybrid (N:o 76, Mustila)	1
<i>R.</i> Williamsianum-hybrid (N:o 6, Mustila)	1
<i>R.</i> hybrid (bright red, N:o 16, Mustila)	1
<i>R.</i> (hybrid 73—109—29) (Elimäki)	2
	Total 27

2.2. Bengt Schalin, Jorvas, Finland 1973 and 1975

Species:

<i>R. albrechtii</i> Maxim.	1
<i>R. forrestii</i> var. <i>repens</i> , Reute, (Balf.f. & Forrest) Cowan & Davidian	1
<i>R. schlippenbachii</i> Maxim.	1
<i>R. smirnowii</i> Trautv.	1
	Total 4

Hybrids:

<i>R.</i> 'Elisabeth Hobbie' (Hobbie, 1945)	1
<i>R.</i> 'Gertrud Schäle' (Hobbie, 1951)	1
<i>R.</i> 'May Day' (A.M. Williams, 1932)	1
<i>R.</i> Calophytum-hybrid	1
<i>R.</i> Williamsianum-hybrid	1
	Total 5

2.3. The Swedish Agricultural University, Alnarp, Sweden 1975:

Species:

<i>R. yunnanense</i> Franch.	1
	Total 1

Hybrids:

<i>R.</i> 'America' (M. Koster & Sons, 1920)	1
<i>R.</i> 'Bow Bells' (Rothschild, 1934)	1
<i>R.</i> 'Dir. E. Hjelm', (D.A. Koster)	1
<i>R.</i> Fortunei-hybrid	1
	Total 4

2.4. Christinelund, Sweden 1975:

<i>R. arboreum</i> Sm.	1
<i>R.</i> hybrid (very dark, unknown)	1
	Total 2

2.5. Sofiero, Helsingborg, Sweden 1975:

Species:

<i>R. orbiculare</i> DC., 5224 Kew	1
<i>R. yunnanense</i> Franch.	1
	Total 2

Hybrids:

<i>R. 'Britannia'</i> (C.B. van Nes, 1921)	1
<i>R. 'Elisabeth Hobbie'</i> (Hobbie, 1945)	1
<i>R. 'Margarete Waterer'</i>	1
<i>R. 'May Day'</i> (A.M. Williams, 1932)	1
<i>R. 'Old Port'</i> (A. Waterer, 1865)	1
<i>R. 'Pink Pearl'</i> (J. Waterer, before 1897)	1
<i>R. Williamsianum</i> -hybrid, Böhlje 1957	1
Total	7

2.6. The Royal Botanical Garden,
Copenhagen, Denmark 1975:

Species:

<i>R. campylocarpum</i> Hook. f.	1
<i>R. decorum</i> Franch.	1
<i>R. degronianum</i> Carr. 4341/52	1
<i>R. degronianum</i> Carr.	1
<i>R. metternichii</i> S. & Z.	1
<i>R. metternichii</i> S. & Z. <i>hondoense</i>	1
<i>R. wardii</i> W. W. Sm.	2
Total	8

2.7. Proefstation voor de Boomkwekerij, Boskoop,
Holland 1976:

Species:

<i>R. decorum</i> Franch.	1
<i>R. forrestii</i> var. <i>repens</i> (Balf.f. & Forrest) Cowan & Davidian	1
<i>R. impeditum</i> Balf. f. & W. W. Sm.	1
<i>R. lutescens</i> Franch.	1
<i>R. metternichii</i> S. & Z.	2
<i>R. orbiculare</i> DC.	1
<i>R. williamsianum</i> Rehd.	1
<i>R. yakushmanum</i> Nakai	2
<i>R. yunnanense</i> Franch.	2
Total	12

Hybrids:

<i>R. 'Dr. Ross'</i> (Boskoop)	1
<i>R. 'Thunderstorm'</i>	1
<i>R. 'Vulcan'</i> (Waterer, Sons & Crisp, 1938)	1
<i>R. orbiculare</i> × <i>R. dichroanthum</i> (Boskoop)	1
<i>R. Thuin</i> hybrid <i>scyphocalyx</i> (Boskoop)	1
<i>R. Wardii</i> -hybrid <i>astrocalyx</i> (Boskoop)	1
Total	6

Number paternal plants:	Species	64
	Hybrids	50
	Total	114

Appendix 2. Summary of controlled crosses between 1973—1978.

Maternals:		Paternals:	Species and subspecies: (23)
Number of individuals			
Species and subspecies: (8)			
2	<i>R. brachycarpum</i>		1 1 1 2 16 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 6 2 2 3 10 2 1 2 4
10	<i>R. brachyc. subsp. tigerstedtii</i>		1 1 1 2 16 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 6 2 2 3 10 2 1 2 4
1	<i>R. catawbiense</i>		1 1 1 2 16 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 6 2 2 3 10 2 1 2 4
1	<i>R. dichroanthum</i> var. <i>scyphocalyx</i>		1 1 1 2 16 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 6 2 2 3 10 2 1 2 4
1	<i>R. japonicum</i>		1 1 1 2 16 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 6 2 2 3 10 2 1 2 4
1	<i>R. luteum</i>		1 1 1 2 16 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 6 2 2 3 10 2 1 2 4
2	<i>R. schlippenbachii</i>		1 1 1 2 16 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 6 2 2 3 10 2 1 2 4
8	<i>R. smirnowii</i>		1 1 1 2 16 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 6 2 2 3 10 2 1 2 4
26			1 1 1 2 16 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 6 2 2 3 10 2 1 2 4
Hybrids: (27)			
1	<i>R.</i> 'Dr. H.C. Dresselhuys'		1 1 1 2 16 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 6 2 2 3 10 2 1 2 4
1	<i>R.</i> 'English Roseum'		1 1 1 2 16 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 6 2 2 3 10 2 1 2 4
1	<i>R.</i> 'Roseum Elegans'		1 1 1 2 16 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 6 2 2 3 10 2 1 2 4
1	<i>R.</i> Catawbiense-hybrid (dark red n:o 42)		1 1 1 2 16 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 6 2 2 3 10 2 1 2 4
1	<i>R.</i> × <i>fraserii</i>		1 1 1 2 16 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 6 2 2 3 10 2 1 2 4
1	<i>R.</i> Oreodoxa-hybrid		1 1 1 2 16 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 6 2 2 3 10 2 1 2 4
17	<i>R.</i> Smirnowii Seidel-hybrid		1 1 1 2 16 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 6 2 2 3 10 2 1 2 4
1	<i>R.</i> Smirnowii-hybrid (n:o 76)		1 1 1 2 16 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 6 2 2 3 10 2 1 2 4
1	<i>R.</i> Williamsianum-hybrid (n:o 6)		1 1 1 2 16 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 6 2 2 3 10 2 1 2 4
1	<i>R.</i> Hybrid (bright red n:o 16)		1 1 1 2 16 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 6 2 2 3 10 2 1 2 4
1	<i>R.</i> Hybrid (dark red n:o 20)		1 1 1 2 16 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 6 2 2 3 10 2 1 2 4
27			1 1 1 2 16 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 6 2 2 3 10 2 1 2 4

Total 53 individuals

Hybrids: (48)	
1	<i>R.</i> 'America'
1	<i>R.</i> 'Bow Bells'
1	<i>R.</i> 'Britannia'
1	<i>R.</i> 'Cunningham's White'
1	<i>R.</i> 'Dir. E. Hjelm'
1	<i>R.</i> 'Dr. H.C. Dresselhuys'
1	<i>R.</i> 'Dr. Ross'
2	<i>R.</i> 'Elisabeth Hobbie'
1	<i>R.</i> 'English Roseum'
1	<i>R.</i> 'Gertrud Schale'
1	<i>R.</i> 'Margarete Waterer'
2	<i>R.</i> 'May Day'
1	<i>R.</i> 'Old Port'
1	<i>R.</i> 'Pink Pearl'
1	<i>R.</i> 'Scarlet Wonder'
1	<i>R.</i> 'Thunderstorm'
1	<i>R.</i> 'Vulcan'
1	<i>R.</i> Brachycarpum-hybrid (bright red n:o 81)
1	<i>R.</i> Calophytum-hybrid
1	<i>R.</i> Catawiense 'Album'
1	<i>R.</i> Repens-hybrid
1	<i>R.</i> Fortunei-hybrid
1	<i>R.</i> x <i>fraserii</i>
1	<i>R.</i> Orbiculare-hybrid
1	<i>R.</i> orbiculare x <i>R. dichroanthum</i>
1	<i>R.</i> Oreodoxa-hybrid
1	<i>R.</i> Seidel-hybrid
11	<i>R.</i> Smirnowii Seidel-hybrids
1	<i>R.</i> Smirnowii-hybrid
1	<i>R.</i> Thuinhybrid <i>scyphocalyx</i>
1	<i>R.</i> Wardii-hybrid <i>astrocalyx</i>
3	<i>R.</i> Williamsianum-hybrid
2	<i>R.</i> (hybrids 73—109—29)
1	<i>R.</i> Hybrid (very dark n:o 303)
1	<i>R.</i> Hybrid (bright red n:o 16)
50	

Total
114

Appendix 3. Map of hybrid progeny tests and clone tests in Finland.

