

L'identificazione della persona per mezzo della voce. Atti della tavola rotonda, Padova 14-15 Sept. 1978. F. E. Ferrero (ed.). ESA (Edizioni scientifiche associate) Roma.

Speech being an intra-species mode of communication of the biogenetic entity homo sapiens, it is only natural that ethnic language should be, and has been, for about 2 1/2 thousand years now, the object of scientific or quasi-scientific study. The spoken form of language contains, however, not only linguistic information — which until recently was the only object of language study — but also information about the speaker, which only became the object of scientific interest about 20 years ago, although the development of telephony and radiotelephony should have made the problem topical long before that. Personal voice characteristics are not irrelevant for psycholinguistics. Still, a serious motivation for wide-scale research did not arise before the forensic implications were realized. The human voice turned out of be not only a means of communication, but also a vehicle of crime, one of the commonest modes to which is blackmail by telephone. Some agencies of public security and state defence may also be concerned with voice recognition.

Scientific papers dealing with voice identification and verification appear sporadically in a few acoustical, communications, phonetic and criminological journals. The following monographs devoted to the subject should be mentioned: HECKER [2], KIRSTEIN [3], RAMISHVILI [4], TOSI [5] and BOLT et al. [1]. Though some of the work in this area is reported at such acoustic conferences as the International Congress on Acoustics or the International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, yet the first large-scale meeting exclusively devoted to the subject was the round-table conference on "The Identification of the Speaker by his Voice" organized by the Italian phonetician Franco E. Ferrero (now director of the Institute of Glottology and Phonetics, University of Padua), under the auspices of the Italian Acoustical Society in the spring of 1979. The same year saw the appearance of the carefully edited proceedings of the conference, which are reviewed here. It was a national meeting, so almost all the participants were affiliated to Italian institutions, and represented the following areas: physics, phonetics, applied mathematics, electronics, electrical engineering, communications, computer science, linguistics, dialectology and psychology. Also present were representatives of the Defense Ministry.

The individual Sections included: (1) methodology and technology, (2) linguistic analysis, (3) recognition by temporal parameters, (4) recognition by spectral parameters, (5) identification based on the inspection of spectrograms and on auditory judgment, and (6) general problems of recognition.

The papers in Section 1 were mainly concerned with two problems, viz. the effect of disturbance and distortion of the signal on voice recognition rate and the alleged parallelism between identification by finger prints and by "voice prints", erroneously maintained by some American specialists. Particular note was taken of the invariability of the former compared with the substantial variability of the speech signal produced by a given voice, and of the relative ease of imposture in speech. Much attention was directed towards distortions and disturbances in telephone channels.

The leitmotif of Section 2 was the importance of dialect and linguistic-phonetic studies. There is probably no country interested in the forensic aspects of voice studies that lays so much emphasis on dialectal and linguistic-phonetic features as Italy. This accounts for an involvement of linguists in research and expertise that is stronger there than elsewhere.

The papers read in Section 3 pointed out the significance of such parameters as the duration of consonantal occlusion, relative phonation time and speech tempo for the procedures of grouping voices into classes and, in some cases, for the identification of the speaker.

Section 4 was devoted to tutorials of new techniques of acoustic parameter extraction, such as the Fast Fourier Transform and cepstral analysis. An application of this type of analysis is exemplified by forming a trajectory in the $F_1 F_2$ plane. The final decisions are taken either by the experimenter on the basis of the results of his analysis or — with the aid of an identifying algorithm — by a computer. Mathematical-statistical methods of multivariate analysis are frequently used.

Section 5 presented auditory and visual methods of voice recognition. The latter is based on the inspection of "visible speech" pictures (sonagrams). In the early sixties L. G. Kersta secured himself a sparkling though somewhat short-lived career in the USA promoting the sonagrams as fully reliable evidence in cases of voice identification, said to be as convincing as the finger prints (hence the term "voice print"). A large group of phoneticians, both from the USA and other countries launched a concentrated campaign against Kersta — a fact hardly noted in the proceedings — showing by scientific argumentation and counter-evidence that he was working under self-deception. It transpired with time (after a number of American acousticians cautiously joined the "anti-voice-print" front) that American courts of justice have passed several wrongful sentences on the basis of evidence given for the prosecution by Kersta or his disciples. Although, after a ten-year-long battle, Kersta had to suffer moral and financial defeat (his firm went bankrupt), American courts even now take recourse occasionally to the visual analysis of sonagrams. The problem seems at present to be scientifically settled. In this volume F. E. Ferrero and his associates once again prove thoroughly and comprehensively that sonagrams should not be used in court evidence and with the utmost caution in investigation, especially if the voice was transmitted over a telephone line. Ferrero supports his view by concrete evidence which he presents in another paper. Only a sketchy article is devoted to auditory recognition.

The reports included in Section 6 are general in character and present some legal aspects of voice recognition as well as some basic statistical methods which are, or can be, used in this area. However, such methods as statistical clustering or multiple scaling, which can also be applied, are not discussed.

The closing paper contains some proposals for the main lines of attack in the field under discussion, namely: (a) improvement of the methods of extracting such acoustical parameters from the speech signal as are most effective for characterizing individual voices, (b) development of statistical methods leading to correct identifications, (c) optimization of the decision-making procedures, and (d) normalization of the expertise.

The volume under review contains, on 305 pages, information which, though only coming from Italian sources, includes by virtue of its comprehensiveness, combined with a critical comparison of the methods described with those used elsewhere, valuable scientific and practical material. Research workers involved in the study of human voice and experts engaged in law-enforcing agencies should not fail to acquaint themselves with the results of the conference.

References

[1] R. H. BOLT et al., *On the theory and practice of voice identification*, National Academy of Sciences, Washington DC 1979.

[2] H. L. HECKER, *Speaker recognition. An interpretive survey of the literature*, American Speech and Hearing Association, Washington DC 1971.

- [3] M. KIRSTEIN, *Akustische Untersuchungen zur automatischen Sprecherklassifikation*, H. Buske Verl. Hamburg 1971.
- [4] G. S. RAMISHVILI, *The speech signal and the individuality of the voice* (in Russian), Izd. Mecniereba, Tbilisi 1976.
- [5] O. TOSI, *Voice identification, theory and legal applications*, Univ. Park Press, Baltimore 1979.

Wiktor Jassem (Poznań)

1. The paper should be typed on one side of size A4 paper with a margin of 4 cm at the left side and with serial numbering of the lines submitted.
2. The author's full name, the name of his place of work and the location should be typed at the top of the paper.
3. The paper should be divided into appropriate sections, which should be given appropriate brief headings.
4. Enclosed with the paper, on a separate page of the work, there should be a summary of up to 20 lines. It should contain information about the paper's purpose, the research methods applied and about the basic results obtained. It is a good practice not to cite any references in the summary.
5. At the end of the paper it is necessary to state on a separate sheet the cited references, numbered and in alphabetical order, according to the following sections:
 - (a) Papers appearing in journals and regular publications; author's initials and surname, full title of the paper, title of the publication or its abbreviation, volume number, issue number, number of publication, page and last page of the paper, and year of publication or report.
 - (b) Books; author's initials and surname, chapter title for collective works, book title, editor, publisher, place of publication, year of publication, pages.
 - (c) For lectures; [3] W. H. Mason, *Piezoelectric crystals and their application to ultrasonics*, Van Nostrand, New York 1966, p. 30.
 In the text of the paper a reference should be cited by enclosing the appropriate number in square brackets.
6. Formulae and designations should be described carefully, very legibly, using only Latin and Greek letters. Indices should be written down distinctly and with particular care. All symbols occurring in the formulae should be explained in the text at the place where they appear for the first time. The formulae should be numbered at the right-hand side of the typescript page by numbers in round brackets. Formulae which can be easily found in the literature should not be defined — it is sufficient to cite appropriate references.
 1. The International System of Units (SI) should be used throughout.
 2. All drawings, diagrams and photos should be referred to in the text as figures (Fig.). They should be made on separate sheets (not smaller than A5) and at the very bottom of each sheet of paper (vertical) the number of the figure, the author's name and the title of the paper should be written. The figure number should be marked in the margin of the typescript of the page where the drawing should be included. The captions of the illustrations should be listed on separate sheets. Good execution of figures is undertaken by the Editorial Office.
 3. Photos should be printed on high contrast glass paper.
 4. All tables or figures should be made on separate sheets and numbered in accordance with Arabic numbers. At the top of each table an unnecessary title should be given. A list of table captions should be enclosed on a separate sheet.
 Authors are entitled to 25 free reprints. Additional copies can be ordered at their own expense.
7. The author of a paper accepted for publication will receive one proof which should be corrected and returned within five days to the Editorial Office.